Understanding the elevated suicide risk of female soldiers during deployments


1 National Center for PTSD, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA
2 Department of Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
3 Departments of Social and Behavioral Sciences, and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
4 Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
5 Departments of Psychiatry and Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA
6 VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA
7 Department of Psychiatry, Stony Brook University School of Medicine, Stony Brook, NY, USA
8 US Army Public Health Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA
9 Division of Services and Intervention Research, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
10 Department of Psychiatry, Uniformed Services University School of Medicine, Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, Bethesda, MD, USA
11 Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
12 Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
13 Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
14 Office of Science Policy, Planning and Communications, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Background. The Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS) has found that the proportional elevation in the US Army enlisted soldier suicide rate during deployment (compared with the never-deployed or previously deployed) is significantly higher among women than men, raising the possibility of gender differences in the adverse psychological effects of deployment.

Method. Person-month survival models based on a consolidated administrative database for active duty enlisted Regular Army soldiers in 2004–2009 (n = 975,057) were used to characterize the gender × deployment interaction predicting suicide. Four explanatory hypotheses were explored involving the proportion of females in each soldier’s occupation, the proportion of same-gender soldiers in each soldier’s unit, whether the soldier reported sexual assault victimization in the previous 12 months, and the soldier’s pre-deployment history of treated mental/behavioral disorders.

Results. The suicide rate of currently deployed women (14.0/100,000 person-years) was 3.1–3.5 times the rates of other (i.e. never-deployed/previously deployed) women. The suicide rate of currently deployed men (22.6/100,000 person-years) was 0.9–1.2 times the rates of other men. The adjusted (for time trends, sociodemographics, and Army career variables) female: male odds ratio comparing the suicide rates of currently deployed v. other women v. men was 2.8 (95% confidence interval 1.1–6.8), became 2.4 after excluding soldiers with Direct Combat Arms occupations, and remained elevated (in the range 1.9–2.8) after adjusting for the hypothesized explanatory variables.

Conclusions. These results are valuable in excluding otherwise plausible hypotheses for the elevated suicide rate of deployed women and point to the importance of expanding future research on the psychological challenges of deployment for women.
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Introduction

Women in the US military have taken on unprecedented responsibilities during Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in numbers deployed (Defense Manpower Data Center, 2009) and operations (Street et al. 2009). This is likely to continue in the future based on changing US military demographics (Defense Manpower Data Center, 2014) and policies (Department of Defense, 2013), making it increasingly important to understand the effects of deployment on females. Suicide related to deployment has received considerable recent attention (Kang & Bullman, 2008). The
focus of this work has largely been on males, given that service members are mostly males and, as in the general population, have a much higher suicide rate than females (Bell et al. 2010; Black et al. 2011). However, in light of the suggestion that risk factors for military suicides differ by gender (Gradus et al. 2013b), the question of female military suicides is of interest, although investigation has been limited.

A recent analysis of administrative data from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS) (Ursano et al. 2014) provided new and potentially important information about gender difference in Regular Army suicides by documenting that variation in the suicide rate by deployment was more pronounced among women than men, resulting in a significant gender × deployment interaction in predicting suicide (Gilman et al. 2014). Specifically, the suicide rate of currently deployed female soldiers was more than three times the rates of never-deployed and previously deployed women, while the suicide rate of male currently deployed soldiers was only slightly higher than the rate of never-deployed men and lower than the rate of previously deployed men. This pattern raises the possibility that the adverse effects of current deployment on suicide might be greater for women than men.

We investigate four hypotheses to account for this pattern in the current report using data from the Army STARRS Historical Administrative Data System (HADS). The first of the four is a hypothesis that we believe unlikely but needing empirical evaluation: that enlisted soldiers in military occupational specialties (MOSs) with the highest proportion of women (e.g. administrative roles, medical technicians) might have the most dramatic increases in suicide during deployment regardless of gender. If this hypothesis is supported, the association between P_{FR/MOS} (the proportion of soldiers in a given MOS who are female) and suicide would be stronger among currently deployed than non-deployed soldiers and the interaction between gender and current deployment would attenuate when controlling for the interaction between P_{FR/MOS} and current deployment.

A second hypothesis is related to the previously documented findings that female service members experience less social support than their male counterparts during deployment (Street et al. 2013), that low unit support is more strongly related to poor post-deployment adjustment among female than male veterans (Vogt et al. 2011a), and that women receive more of their social support than do men from same-gender friends (Turner, 1994). If the social support hypothesis is correct, we would expect the association between P_{SG/UNIT} (the proportion of members of each soldier’s unit who are the same gender as the soldier) and suicide to be stronger among currently deployed than other soldiers, leading the interaction between the soldier’s own gender and current deployment in predicting suicide to attenuate after controlling for the interaction between P_{SG/UNIT} and current deployment.

A third hypothesis is that sexual assault victimization might increase either in frequency or psychological impact during deployment. We already know that female soldiers are far more likely than males to be sexual assault victims (Street et al. 2013) and that sexual assault victimization is a significant predictor of subsequent suicide (Belik et al. 2009; Devries et al. 2011; Gradus et al. 2012, 2013a). There is also a suggestion in the literature that sexual assault victimization might increase during deployment (Leardmann et al. 2013a; Street et al. 2013), although this evidence is indirect. If true, this increase could lead to the higher suicide rate of currently deployed women observed in the earlier Army STARRS analysis. We are unaware of any previous research on whether the adverse psychological effect of sexual assault on women is magnified during deployment, but such a specification could be another basis for an elevated suicide rate among currently deployed women. If the sexual assault victimization hypothesis is correct, we would expect a meaningful attenuation in the gender × deployment interaction in predicting suicide after controlling for differential exposure and reactivity to sexual assault victimization during deployment.

A fourth hypothesis is that female soldiers are more psychologically vulnerable to deployment stresses than their male counterparts. This possibility is indirectly consistent with the fact that women have elevated rates of the mental/behavioral disorders most strongly associated with suicidality in the general population (Kessler et al. 2005) and the Army (Kessler et al. 2014). Consistent with this possibility, a history of mental/behavioral disorders in medical records is among the most consistent predictors of military suicides (Bachynski et al. 2012; Conner et al. 2012; LeardMann et al. 2013b). If the differential vulnerability hypothesis is correct, we would expect a meaningful attenuation of the gender × deployment interaction in predicting suicide after adjusting for prior treatment of mental disorders.

Method

Sample

The HADS includes data from numerous Department of Defense (DoD)/Army administrative data systems for all soldiers on active duty at any time between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2009. We focused initially on HADS records for all 975,057 Regular Army
soldiers who met this definition, 569 of whom died by suicide. There were approximately 37 million person-months for these soldiers over the study period. To create a manageable file, an equal probability sample of 0.25% person-months was selected from the control population, stratified by gender, rank, time-in-service, deployment history (never, currently, previously), and historical time, yielding 92,507 control person-months. These controls were combined with the 569 suicide person-months to create a case–control sample of \( n = 93,076 \) person-months (Schlesselman, 1982). Each control person-month was assigned a weight of 400 (1/0.0025) to adjust for under-sampling.

**Measures**

The HADS includes de-identified data from 38 Army/DoD administrative data systems (see eTable 1 at http://www.armystarrs.org/publications). The limited predictors used in the Army STARRS report that initially documented the gender \( \times \) deployment interaction came from the DoD Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) Master Personnel & Transaction Files (MPTF); sociodemographic and Army career characteristics) and Contingency Tracking System (CTS; activations, mobilizations, deployments) as well as from the Armed Forces Medical Examiner Tracking System (AFMETS; suicides). This set of predictors was expanded for the current report to include the four hypothesized explanatory variables considered here: the proportion of female soldiers in each MOS (obtained from the MPTF); the proportion of same-gender soldiers in each unit (also obtained from the MPTF); sexual assault victimizations reported in the prior 12 months [obtained from the Sexual Assault Management System (SADMS), Criminal Investigation Division Information Management System/Automated Criminal Investigation/Criminal Intelligence (CIMS/ACI2), Centralized Operations Police Suite/Military Police Reporting System (COPS/MPRS), and Army Central Registry (ACR)]; and healthcare visits (both in-patient and out-patient) with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) 290–319 diagnoses (whether primary or secondary) of mental/behavioral disorders in the prior 2–4 years [obtained from electronic medical records in the Medical Data Repository (MDR) and Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS)]. We limited analysis to sexual assault victimizations in the past 12 months, as we were interested in sexual assaults that occurred contemporaneously with deployment. We excluded information on healthcare visits in the past 12 months because we wanted to focus on healthcare records documenting a history of mental/behavioral disorders prior to current deployments. History of mental/behavioral disorders was considered present if medical records included ICD-9-CM diagnoses of any impulse-control disorder, stress disorder, substance use disorder, depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, personality disorder, or evidence of suicidality (for details on coding, see eTable 2 at http://www.armystarrs.org/publications).

**Analysis methods**

Discrete-time survival analysis with person-month as the unit of analysis and a logistic link function (Willett & Singer, 1993) was used to examine associations of the predictors with suicide. The initial Army STARRS report in which the gender \( \times \) deployment interaction in predicting suicide was documented focused on basic sociodemographics and Army career predictors of suicide in interaction with deployment-status history (i.e. distinguishing never-deployed, currently deployed, and previously deployed soldiers) (Gilman et al., 2014). These interactions were examined exclusively among enlisted soldiers (i.e. non-officers), as over 90% of Army suicides over the study period were committed by enlisted soldiers. The models included controls for calendar month based on the fact that the Army suicide rate increased over the study period (Black et al., 2011). The implicit assumption that strength of survival coefficients did not vary over time was tested and, consistent with earlier bivariate HADS results (Schoenbaum et al., 2014), supported. The more in-depth analyses reported here built on the final model developed in the earlier analyses, which included gender, a dummy variable for being currently deployed, an interaction between these two variables, and controls for marital status, age at enlistment, rank, number of prior deployments, and dwell time between two most recent deployments for soldiers with a history of multiple deployments.

In order to evaluate the four hypotheses, we determined the extent to which the elevated gender \( \times \) deployment interaction became smaller when the hypothesized explanatory variables were included in the model. In the case of \( P_{FAMOS} \), we also evaluated the subsample of soldiers that excluded those with Direct Combat Arms MOs (i.e. those classified by the Army as having duties ‘to destroy or capture the enemy, or while repelling the enemy’s assault by fire, close combat, or counterattack’ (Department of the Army, 1992), as there were virtually no women in those MOSs during the study period.

Importantly, we included in all explanatory models two-way interactions of gender with each hypothesized explanatory variable and of current deployment with each hypothesized explanatory variable. The
interactions involving gender adjusted for possible compositional effect of the explanatory variable; that is, that women might have been more adversely affected by the explanatory variable than men regardless of deployment status and the explanatory variable was more prevalent among women than men during deployment than when not deployed. This might have been the case, for example, for sexual assault (i.e. women might have had a higher risk of sexual assault victimization during deployment than when not deployed). The interaction involving deployment, in comparison, adjusted for the potentiation effect of the explanatory variable, that is, for the possibility that the explanatory variable was associated with increased suicide risk during deployment compared with when not deployed regardless of gender but that exposure to the explanatory variable was higher for women than men throughout the Army career. This might have been the case, for example, for an MOS with a higher proportion of women (e.g. administrative roles in which lack of preparation for deployment may have resulted in increased suicide risk during deployment).

Results

Suicide rates by gender and deployment history

As reported elsewhere (Gilman et al. 2014), the mean suicide rate of enlisted Regular Army soldiers over the study period was 20.1/100 000 person-years. As documented in the earlier Army STARRS report (Gilman et al. 2014), variation in this rate by deployment status was significantly more pronounced among women than men, with the suicide rate of the currently deployed women three times the rates of the previously deployed and never-deployed (14.0/100 000 person-years compared with 4.0–4.5/100 000 person-years) and the suicide rate of currently deployed men proportionally much closer to the rates of the never-deployed and previously deployed (22.6/100 000 person-years compared with 19.1–25.6/100 000 person-years) (Table 1).

The ratio of the suicide rate among the currently deployed v. others (i.e. either never-deployed or previously deployed) is three times as high among women as men [odds ratio (OR) 3.0, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.2–7.4]. The goal of our analysis was to determine whether this significantly elevated OR could be explained by introducing the explanatory variables considered here into the prediction equation.

Distributions of control variables

Female soldiers had MOSs in which an average 26.5% other members were women. This is much higher than for male soldiers, who had MOSs where an average of 11.5% of members were women ($\chi^2 = 120.5$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 2). These proportions did not vary substantially by deployment status (24.8–27.4% in the MOSs of female soldiers; 10.4–12.6% in the MOSs of male soldiers). Women also made up a significantly higher proportion of the members of the units of female than male soldiers ($23.6\%$ v. $12.1\%$, $\chi^2 = 590.1$, $p < 0.001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (Rate)</th>
<th>Female (Rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($n_{1}$)</td>
<td>($n_{2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never-deployed</td>
<td>19.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>25 469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently deployed</td>
<td>22.6 (2.0)</td>
<td>16 438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously deployed</td>
<td>25.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>25 036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>66 970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Suicide rate per 100 000 person-years by gender and deployment-status history in the Army STARRS 2004–2009 HADS sample ($n = 77 610$)

Army STARRS, Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers; HADS, Historical Administrative Data System; s.e., standard error.

*The sample of 77 610 person-months includes all 569 suicides of active duty Regular Army enlisted soldiers in the administrative records during the years 2004–2009 plus a 0.25% (1/400) stratified probability sample of all other person-months in the population exclusive of those associated with other types of death (i.e. combat death, homicide, and death due to other injuries or illnesses). All records in the 0.25% sample were assigned a weight of 400 to adjust for the under-sampling months not associated with suicide.

$\chi^2 = 590.1$, $p < 0.001$
Increased risk of suicide of female soldiers during deployment

These proportions again did not differ greatly by deployment status (21.0–25.1% of the members of the units of female soldiers; 9.8–13.9% of the members of units of male soldiers). Officially reported sexual assault victimization in the past 12 months was reported by a substantially higher proportion of female than male soldiers (9.4/1000 females v. 0.3/1000 males; $\chi^2 = 484.1$, $p < 0.001$), but was not significantly more common among currently deployed (8.8/1000) than never-deployed (10.3/1000; $\chi^2 = 0.3$, $p = 0.57$) or previously deployed (8.1/1000; $\chi^2 = 0.1$, $p = 0.81$) women. Finally, a significantly higher proportion of female than male soldiers had a history of healthcare visits with ICD-9-CM diagnoses of mental/behavioral disorders in the past 2–4 years (27.3% v. 19.6%; $\chi^2 = 333.3$, $p < 0.001$).

Effects of control variables on the gender × deployment interaction

The OR of 3.0 describing the gender × deployment interaction in predicting suicide was reduced to 2.8 (95% CI 1.1–6.8) when we adjusted for the sociodemographic and Army career variables found to be significant predictors of suicide in the previous HADS analysis (Gilman et al. 2014). The interaction was further reduced to 2.4 (95% CI 0.9–5.9) when we excluded soldiers with Direct Combat Arms MOSs. Although the 95% CI for this OR included 1.0, the OR was marginally significant ($p = 0.066$) despite the comparatively small number of currently deployed women. We consequently pursued further analysis of the interaction, a decision consistent with guidelines encouraging a focus on effect size indicators rather than tests of significance when interpreting substantively meaningful analytic results (Cumming, 2014).

The OR of 2.4 remained unchanged when we adjusted for the compositional effects (i.e. main effects plus interactions with gender) of the hypothesized explanatory variables (Table 3). Also, the OR of 2.4 did not become substantively smaller when we adjusted for the potentiating effects (i.e. main effects plus interactions with deployment) of MOS gender composition, unit gender composition, recent sexual assault victimization, or past healthcare visits for mental/behavioral disorders. However, the OR became unstable when we controlled for the potentiating effect of unit gender composition. This instability is indicated by the CI becoming extremely wide in comparison with other specifications, resulting in the OR not being significant even though it increased from 2.4 to 2.8. The OR decreased to 2.1, in comparison, when we controlled separately for the potentiating effects of MOS gender composition and sexual assault victimization and to 1.9 when we controlled simultaneously for both the

| Table 2. Distributions of control variables by gender and deployment history in the Army STARRS 2004–2009 HADS sample (n = 7,761) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Total           | Never-deployed  | Currently deployed | Previously deployed | | | | | |
|                  | Male            | Female          | Male            | Female          | Male          | Female          | Male            | Female          | Male            | Female          |
| Proportion of women in MOS, % | 11.5 (0.1)* | 26.5 (0.1) | 12.6 (0.1)* | 25.1 (0.1) | 10.4 (0.1)* | 24.8 (0.1) | 21.0 (0.1)* | 22.0 (0.1) | 27.4 (0.1) | 27.4 (0.1) |
| Proportion of same gender in unit, % | 87.9 (0.1)* | 23.6 (0.1) | 86.1 (0.1)* | 25.1 (0.1) | 10.0 (0.1)* | 21.0 (0.1) | 9.4 (0.1)* | 10.0 (0.1) | 9.0 (0.1) | 11.8 (0.1)* |
| Sexual assault victimization past 12 months | 0.0 (0.0)* | 0.1 (0.0)* | 0.9 (0.0)* | 0.0 (0.0)* | 0.0 (0.0)* | 0.0 (0.0)* | 0.9 (0.0)* | 0.0 (0.0)* | 0.2 (0.0)* | 0.1 (0.0)* |
| Past healthcare visits with ICD-9-CM mental diagnoses | 19.6 (0.2)* | 27.4 (0.4) | 11.8 (0.2)* | 27.4 (0.4) | 20.0 (0.3) | 22.0 (0.3) | 21.0 (0.3) | 22.0 (0.3) | 16.4 (0.3) | 16.4 (0.3) |
| $p$             | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           | 0.001           |

*Significant gender difference ($p < 0.05$; two-sided test).
Army career variables found to be significant predictors of suicide in an earlier analysis of these data (Gilman et al. 2014). The value of this interaction in the absence of controls is 2.4 (i.e. the entries in the ‘None’ row). The OR remains elevated regardless of the controls included in the model, but varies in the range 1.9–2.8 across these models and becomes statistically insignificant at the 0.10 level with controls for the interaction of the explanatory variables with current deployment.

Eight different types of mental disorders (impulse-control disorders, stress disorders, substance use disorders, depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorders, personality disorders, suicidality) were distinguished (i.e. eight main effects and either eight or 16 interactions in each model).

* Significant (p < 0.10; two-sided test).

### Table 3

Variation in the estimated interaction (OR) of female gender with current deployment (compared with being either never-deployed or previously deployed) in predicting Regular Army enlisted soldier suicide depending on the absence or presence of controls for hypothesized explanatory variables in the 2004–2009 Army STARRS HADS sample (n = 66 273)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory variable</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–5.9)*</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–5.9)*</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–5.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of women in MOS</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–5.8)*</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8–5.4)*</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8–5.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of same gender in unit</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–6.1)*</td>
<td>2.8 (0.5–15.9)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.4–17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual assault victimization past 12 months</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0–6.2)*</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9–5.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7–5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past healthcare visits with ICD-9-CM mental diagnoses&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–6.0)*</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–6.2)*</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8–5.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The above results demonstrate that the higher proportional elevation in female than male suicides during deployment could not be explained completely by the hypothesized explanatory variables considered here. It is important to recognize, though, that statistical power was severely restricted by the small proportion of women in the Army, the even smaller proportion of women among the currently deployed, and the rarity of suicide. Results were also limited by being based on administrative data, which limited the precision and completeness of measured constructs. For example, the measure of sexual assault victimization was based on reported cases, while we know that many sexual assaults are not recorded in administrative records because victims either do not report the assaults (Mengeling et al. 2014) or choose a restricted reporting option that is recorded without victim-identifying information (Department of Defense, 2012). It is noteworthy in this regard that a self-report survey found suggestive evidence that sexual assault might increase during deployment (Leardmann et al. 2013a) although that study could not definitively distinguish assaults that occurred during deployment from those in the months either before or after being deployed. Based on this result, though, we consider the question still open as to whether increased sexual assaults during deployment account for the higher suicide rate of women during deployment. Our use of administrative data sources also...
introduced imprecision into the assessment of prior psychopathology, which was based on information about past healthcare visits rather than true prevalence. The proxy measure we used for social support (i.e. percentage of women in the soldier’s unit) was also highly indirect.

We were unable to examine other plausible explanations for the significantly greater increase in the female than male suicide rate during deployment because indicators were not present in the administrative data. For example, we were unable to evaluate the effects of gender- and sexually-based harassment (Street et al. 2007), which are known to be associated with deployment-related adjustment (Vogt et al. 2005; Street et al. 2013), but are not recorded in administrative records. Also, we were not able to adjust for the likelihood that ready access to firearms almost certainly increased more during deployment for women than men even though previous research has suggested that access to means is instrumental in accounting for elevated suicide risk (Miller & Hemenway, 2008; Miller et al. 2013). The obvious way to evaluate this possibility would have been to carry out the analysis of gender differences separately for firearms suicides and other suicides, but we did not have adequate statistical power to do this. Statistical power due to the small proportion of soldiers who were women and the low base rate of suicides also made it impossible to carry out more refined analyses that we would have liked to implement, such as analyses of three-way interactions among gender, deployment, and the proposed explanatory variables.

One way forward to address the above limitations would be to study gender × deployment differences in onset of untreated mental/behavioral disorders, which are known to be powerful predictors of suicide (Nock et al. 2013), rather than suicide itself. Given that mental/behavioral disorders are much more common than suicide, this shift in focus would address the problem of low statistical power to study determinants of gender × deployment difference in suicide. A critical question, though, is whether a similar gender × deployment interaction exists in predicting self-reported mental/behavioral disorders. We plan to examine this in future analyses of the longitudinal Army STARRS Pre-Post Deployment Survey, a survey of over 7000 soldiers assessed just prior to deployment and then again at three time points after returning from deployment (Kessler et al. 2013) once this database becomes available for analysis. The shift in focus to gender × deployment differences in mental/behavioral disorders would also be appealing in that it would address the problem of selection bias into deployment, as deployment does not occur at random (Warner et al. 2011; Ireland et al. 2012). This problem cannot be examined by using within-person inter-temporal comparisons of suicide because never-deployed soldiers who commit suicide cannot be followed into deployment and currently deployed soldiers who commit suicide cannot be followed into redeployment.

Our finding that MOS gender composition does not account for the gender × deployment interaction in suicide suggests that vulnerability factors associated with gender differences in selection of MOS cannot explain the seemingly more adverse effect of deployment on women than men. It is important to recognize, though, that gender differences might nonetheless exist in selection into deployment, as unit commanders must make choices about which soldiers to deploy based on mission requirements (e.g. task size, MOS needs) and soldier variables (e.g. training status, medical problems, mental fitness). It might be that the success of this process in excluding psychologically vulnerable soldiers from deployment is higher for men than women, which could account, at least in part, for what appears to be a more adverse effect of deployment on women than men. We know that selection leads to soldiers diagnosed with mental/behavioral disorders early in their Army careers being less likely than others to deploy (Larson et al. 2008; Wilson et al. 2009). Unit leaders might be aware of other vulnerabilities that lead to non-random selection out of deployment in ways that differ by gender and that account for the proportionally higher elevation of the suicide rate during deployment among women than men. As it would be easier to detect such differences in analyses of survey data than administrative data, future analyses of the Army STARRS New Soldier Survey, a survey of over 38 000 new soldiers interviewed just prior to beginning basic combat training (Kessler et al. 2013), will be carried out once these data become available to evaluate the possibility that the associations of pre-enlistment mental/behavioral disorders and other suicide risk factors (e.g. personality characteristics, coping styles) with subsequent within-MOS variation in probability of deployment differ by soldier gender.

Although Army STARRS data collection was completed before the January 2013 Women in the Service Implementation Plan (Department of Defense, 2013), which rescinded the direct combat exclusion rule for women, female soldiers already had expanded roles during OEF/OIF compared with historical patterns (Street et al. 2009), exposing women to many deployment-related stressors known to be risk factors for suicidal behavior (Pietrzak et al. 2010; Maguen et al. 2011). Most, although not all (Luxton et al. 2010; Skopp et al. 2011), of the limited evidence examining associations between deployment-related stressors and later mental health by gender shows that these associations were not stronger for women than men.
(Department of the Army Office of the Surgeon General, 2006; Hoge et al. 2007; Vogt et al. 2011b; Gradus et al. 2013b; Street et al. 2013). However, the Army STARRS finding of a significant gender × deployment interaction in predicting suicide suggests that a more thorough investigation of these patterns is needed. In particular, it is noteworthy that previous studies focused on the mental health of previously deployed, rather than currently deployed, soldiers, whereas the results presented in the current report show that the focus with regard to suicide risk should be on the currently deployed, as it is only among the currently deployed that the suicide rate of women is elevated. We hope to address this limitation in future analyses of the Army STARRS All-Army Survey (a cross-section survey of over 35,000 respondents sampled to be representative of all never-deployed, currently deployed, and previously deployed active duty soldiers other than those in training) (Kessler et al. 2013) as soon as those data are available for analysis.

Conclusions

We found that none of the explanatory variables considered here could fully account for the disproportionately elevated female suicide rate during deployment among Regular Army enlisted soldiers over the years 2004–2009. Future analyses need to investigate the extent to which this gender × deployment interaction in suicide is due to gender differences in individual-level processes of selection into deployment, deployment-related experiences, or differences in vulnerability to deployment-related experiences. Such analyses could have important implications for Army suicide prevention efforts as women play increasingly important roles in future combat deployments.
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