1 Supporting Information for:

2 Air Quality and Health Impact of Future Fossil Fuel Use for Electricity Generation and

- 3 Transport in Africa
- 4 Eloise A. Marais1,*, Rachel F. Silvern2, Alina Vodonos3, Eleonore Dupin4, Alfred S. Bockarie5,
- 5 Loretta J. Mickley₆, Joel Schwartz₃
- 6 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, U.K.
- 7 (eloise.marais@le.ac.uk).
- 8 ² Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138, U.S.A.
- 9 ³ Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, 02115, U.S.A.
- 10 4 Department of Chemical Engineering, INSA, Cedex, 76800 France.
- 11 5 School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15
- 12 2SA, U.K.
- 13 6 John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA,
- 14 02138, U.S.A.
- 15 * Corresponding Author
- 16 Contains: 9 pages (including cover page); description of the attributable fraction calculation; 3
- 17 tables (SI spreadsheet); and 6 figures.

18 Description of Attributable Fraction Calculation

The fraction of baseline deaths attributable to exposure to PM_{2.5} in each country in Africa for people > 14 years, the attributable fraction (*AF*) (Equation (2)), is calculated in each GEOS-Chem gridbox (*i*,*j*) as follows:

23
$$AF_{i,j} = \frac{\left[exp^{\left(\bar{\beta}_{i,j} \times \Delta x_{i,j}\right)}-1\right]}{exp^{\left(\bar{\beta}_{i,j} \times \Delta x_{i,j}\right)}}$$
(S1),

24

where $\Delta x_{i,j}$ is the increase in PM_{2.5} from 2012 to 2030, and $\bar{\beta}_{i,j}$ is the mean estimate of mortality for each grid cell (in % change in mortality per 1 µg m-3 PM_{2.5}) determined as the area under the curve shown in Figure S1 between the hazard risk ($\beta_{i,j}$) at the PM_{2.5} for 2012 and for 2030:

29
$$\bar{\beta}_{i,j}(PM_{2.5}) = \int_{PM_{2.5}(2012)}^{PM_{2.5}(2030)} \beta_{i,j}(PM_{2.5})$$
 (S2).

30

The relationship shown in Figure S1 was determined from a meta-analysis of the association between long-term exposure to PM_{2.5} and mortality from 53 cohort studies1. The relationship is non-linear, so percent change in mortality decreases with increase in PM_{2.5}. The meta-analysis approach yields greater sensitivity to a unit change in PM_{2.5} than the Global Exposure Mortality Model (GEMM), detailed in Burnett et al.2, for PM_{2.5} > 10 μ g m-3; opposite for PM_{2.5} < 10 μ g m-3 (Vodonos et al.3). Mortality estimates from GEMM are at least double that obtained with the Integrated Exposure–Response (IER) function used in Global Burden of Disease studies2.





Figure S1. The shape of the concentration-response curve used in this work. The plot shows the

- 40 relationship between percent change in mortality per unit change in PM2.5 and PM2.5
- 41 concentration. Shading shows the 95% confidence interval. Blue hash marks indicate mean PM_{2.5}
- 42 for each cohort used to generate the model.



43

44

Figure S2. Evaluation of GEOS-Chem (red) and satellite-derived (black) PM_{2.5} against surface observations. Points are annual mean PM_{2.5} for 2012. Regional monitoring network PM_{2.5} is the quality screened South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) data from Garland et al.4 GEOS-Chem and satellite-derived data are the $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.667^{\circ}$ grids coincident with the monitoring sites. Figure S4 shows the spatial distribution of the monitoring network. The reduced major axis (RMA) regression fits (solid lines) and statistics are shown for GEOS-Chem (red) and satellite (black) data. The dashed grey line is the 1:1 line.



53

Figure S3. Evaluation of GEOS-Chem PM_{2.5} in 2012 with the satellite-derived PM_{2.5} data (see manuscript for details). Data are annual means compared on the same $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.667^{\circ}$ grid for the African continent. The line is the RMA regression fit and inset numbers are regression statistics and the Pearson's correlation coefficient.



PM_{2.5} in the Highveld of South Africa

Figure S4. Spatial distribution of annual mean PM_{2.5} in the Highveld of South Africa. Circles are
quality screened measurements from the South African air quality monitoring network given in
Garland et al.4 Background is GEOS-Chem. Triangles show locations of power plants operating
in 2012. Inset map shows the Highveld domain sampled.







67 NO₂ tropospheric (left) and SO₂ total (right) column densities from the Sentinel-5P (S5P)

68 TROPOMI instrument averaged during May-September 2018 and gridded to $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$.

69 Symbols indicate locations of power plants operational in 2012 (open circles) and added since

- 70 (upside-down triangles). Inset map shows the Highveld domain sampled. TROPOMI level 2
- reprocessed NO₂ (v1.02)₅ and SO₂ (v1.05)₆ data were obtained from the S5P data hub
- 72 (https://s5phub.copernicus.eu/dhus/#/home; last accessed 13 August 2019).



74

Figure S6. Impact of future fossil fuel emissions on surface ozone. GEOS-Chem annual 24-hour
mean surface ozone concentrations in 2012 (left) and the change in modeled ozone in 2030
relative to 2012 (right) due to an increase in fossil fuel emissions from power plants and
transport.

79 **References**

(1) Vodonos, A.; Abu Awad, Y.; Schwartz, J., The concentration-response between longterm PM2.5 exposure and mortality; A meta-regression approach. *Environ. Res.* 2018, *166*, 677689.

83 (2) Burnett, R.; Chen, H.; Szyszkowicz, M.; Fann, N.; Hubbell, B.; Pope, C. A.; Apte, J. S.; 84 Brauer, M.; Cohen, A.; Weichenthal, S.; Coggins, J.; Di, Q.; Brunekreef, B.; Frostad, J.; Lim, S. 85 S.; Kan, H. D.; Walker, K. D.; Thurston, G. D.; Hayes, R. B.; Lim, C. C.; Turner, M. C.; Jerrett, 86 M.; Krewski, D.; Gapstur, S. M.; Diver, W. R.; Ostro, B.; Goldberg, D.; Crouse, D. L.; Martin, 87 R. V.; Peters, P.; Pinault, L.; Tjepkema, M.; Donkelaar, A.; Villeneuve, P. J.; Miller, A. B.; Yin, 88 P.; Zhou, M. G.; Wang, L. J.; Janssen, N. A. H.; Marra, M.; Atkinson, R. W.; Tsang, H.; Thach, 89 O.: Cannon, J. B.; Allen, R. T.; Hart, J. E.; Laden, F.; Cesaroni, G.; Forastiere, F.; Weinmayr, G.; Jaensch, A.; Nagel, G.; Concin, H.; Spadaro, J. V., Global estimates of mortality associated with 90 91 long-term exposure to outdoor fine particulate matter. PNAS 2018, 115, (38) 9592-9597.

92 (3) Vodonos, A.; Schwartz, J.; Marais, E. A.; Sulprizio, M. P.; Mickley, L. J., Global
93 mortality from outdoor fine particle pollution generated by fossil fuel combustion: Results from
94 GEOS-Chem, in review. *Environ. Res.* 2019.

(4) Garland, R. M.; Naidoo, M.; Sibiya, B.; Oosthuizen, R., Air quality indicators from the
Environmental Performance Index: potential use and limitations in South Africa. *Clean Air Journal* 2017, 27, (1) 33-41.

(5) van Geffen, J. H. G. M.; Eskes, H. J.; Boersma, K. F.; Maasakkers, J. D.; Veefkind, J. P.
 TROPOMI ATBD of the total and tropospheric NO₂ data products, Royal Netherlands

100 Meteorological Institute (KNMI): The Netherlands, 6 February 2019,

101 https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/2476257/Sentinel-5P-TROPOMI-ATBD-NO2-data-

102 products (accessed on 15 February 2019).

103 (6) Theys, N.; Wagner, T. S5P Mission Performance Centre Sulphur Dioxide [L2_SO2_]

Readme, 18 February 2019, http://www.tropomi.eu/sites/default/files/files/publicSentinel-5PSulphur-Dioxide-Readme.pdf (accessed on 15 February 2019).