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PURPOSE OF THIS WORKBOOK

For too long, the call for an “honest dialogue about race” has been thought about as a conversation between white folks and people of color (POC). As important as that conversation is, the idea behind this workbook is that some progress on race relations is best achieved through conversation among white people. According to public opinion polls in 2017, about 55% of white people think that racism against white people is as big of a societal problem as racism against people of color. Unless white public opinion is shifted, it will be difficult to create initiatives that address the significant problems related to racial equity.

The goal of the project this workbook springs from - Ally Conversation Toolkit, or ACT - is to flip this 45/55 split by 2025. For this to occur, many things are needed, such as better articulation about racism from diverse political and corporate leaders, better messaging about race in mass and social media, and more sophisticated talk about race in our education systems, to name just a few. What is also needed is more and more effective one-on-one conversation between white people who know that racism is a special burden on the lives of people of color and the more than half of whites who think that racism affects every group equally.

It would be neither fair nor feasible for POC to carry the burden of having these conversations. There are not enough POC - or alternatively, there are too many white folks – for that to work. And POC are increasingly fatigued by educating white people; they are already dealing with the additional burden of actually coping with racism. Of course, some of that educational work by POCs to whites is much needed; open-minded white folks need to hear the stories from POCs about what racism looks like in modern day America. It is time to shift some of the burden of educating racism denying white folks away from POCs and on to people who might be considered white comrades in the fight against racism.

This workbook and the ACT project is based on the idea that allies to a manifestation of societal oppression (i.e. and “ism”, like racism, sexism, or homophobia) need to community in a very mindful way in order to have
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effective conversations with others who are also not direct targets of that “ism.” (To clarify, when the “ism” in question is racism, the work of the Ally Conversation Toolkit is often referred to as the White Ally Toolkit). To do that, these white folks need to prepare for these conversations by doing some reflective work of their own – by themselves, in groups with other like-minded white people, and preferably both.

Too many white folks readily talk about “checking their privilege” to their POC friends and other whites who are “woke” without having the long, slow, frustrating, and socially risky series of conversations with their Cousin Hannah or Uncle Tristan (to use a couple of white names) who don’t think racism against people of color is real. To put it directly, white people who care about addressing racism need to learn how to do a version what people of color have been doing for centuries, which is code switching. This term refers to a practice of speaking differently in different settings in order to increase one’s effectiveness. The approach to discussing racism in such a conversations with those who are not like-minded about racism needs be done to be very differently than the way racism is discussed in settings where people have a shared understanding that racism exists. This workbook is designed to foster the deep reflective internal work that is needed, to describe a strategy for this code switching, and to give guidance about how to apply it in a variety of situations.
White allies need to be much more serious about how they view the work of having effective conversations with people who deny racism than many of them are now. In the view of this project, it is not enough to go to white ally meetings, or to work with people of color on institutional change. Of course, these are very important activities. But too many white folks readily talk about “checking their privilege” to their POC friends and other whites who are “woke” without having the long, slow, frustrating, and socially risky series of conversations with their Cousin Hannah or Uncle Tristan (to use a couple of white names) who don’t think racism against people of color is real. Besides taking this obligation seriously, white allies need to more deeply analyze what is working and not working as they try to change the perspective of whites in their circle of influence.

The goal of this project - including the website www.allyconversationtoolkit that is attached to it – is to help white allies see the task of using their influence to bring other whites along (i.e. “woking” others up) as part of their lifelong mission in dismantling racism. This project also hopes that as part of that goal, white allies who are somewhat “wokish” will join together in learning communities dedicated to woking others.

The approach to racial equity work by this project is well within the progressive anti-racism tradition. However the approach taken here does embody some differences than the stance of many racial equity advocates today. It is useful to lift some of these differences up explicitly.

**PROPHETIC VOICE V. DIALOGIC ENGAGEMENT**

The core approach of ACT is that white allies should try to engage people who deny -isms and listen to them without judgment, share stories that build trust, and try to expand their sense of how the -ism works through stories, data or useful concepts. As mentioned, this will usually not happen in a single conversation. (In a few paragraphs, the research that backs this approach to engagement will be briefly reviewed).

This empathetic listening approach is in sharp contrast to the way many racial justice advocates approach conversations about race with people who are not on board with their point of view. Partly out of frustration
with the slow pace of progress on racism, many racial justice advocates only talk about racial issues in a way that that uses what could be called a “prophetic voice.” This approach to discussing racial topics emphasizes unvarnished truth telling, calling out the hypocrisies of others, sharing a deep analysis of racial power, and confronting people with the hard truth of racism and how it harms people of color.

The prophetic voice is a vital part of social change, especially when trying to motivate and organize people. When trying to mobilize people against an opponent, it is important to draw sharp distinctions, to portray opponents as deeply flawed, and to diminish the sense of ambiguities in the situation. Such portrayals – especially in groups settings - can be very energizing to existing allies, and sometimes to people who are on the fence.

When trying to influence people who doubt the reality of racism, it is more effective to listen to them, and to draw out how they feel about race, racism, and issues related to it. However, this prophetic voice tends to be less helpful in one-on-one and small group conversations. Moreover, the prophetic voice is not just unproductive when talking to people who disagree with you, it is often counterproductive.

This project is based on helping people who think anti-POC racism matters cultivate a set of communication strategies that are more akin to a coach guiding a novice player than a prophet speaking to a congregation. When coaching someone, it can be important to demonstrate patience and empathy, and to purposefully choose moments, topics, and strategies that are adapted to the moment. When trying to influence people who doubt the reality of racism, it is more effective to listen to them, and to draw out
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how they feel about race, racism, and issues related to it. (See the section below titled “Why White Allies Should do the Work of Woking”). This way of engaging could be described as one that calls upon an ally’s skills in dialogic engagement, instead of their ability to capture the prophetic voice.

Terminology

Every term involved in social justice work has been deconstructed, analyzed, and almost inevitably found problematic. Some people don’t like the term “ally” for a variety of reasons, many of which make sense. For the sake of this discussion about how to move white public opinion, this terminology is not particularly important. The use of the term “white ally” in this workbook is meant as a shorthand for any white person who thinks that racism against people of color is a special problem and who thinks of themselves as sometimes taking specific action to combat it. As noted, this is slightly less than half of adult white Americans.

Similarly, we will use the term “white skeptic” or “racism skeptic” to refer to the slightly more than half of white folks who think that racism against people of color is no worse that racism against whites, and who don’t think that they or society should feel a special obligation to address this problem.

White Fragility

In the past few years, “white fragility” has emerged as a concept to try to explain different ways that some white people can become dysfunctional within conversations about race, typically when interacting with one or more people of color.1 Examples of white fragility are excessive tears, anger, frustration, and questioning well-established facts in a way that tends to derail a conversation. Part of the idea of labeling this behavior “fragility” is to make two points: 1) it is sometimes very difficult to keep some white folks engaged in a meaningful race conversation, and 2) the emotional reaction of that some white people have that is counterproductive to conversations is often not primarily a function of the behavior of the people of color who are present.

1 This term was coined by Robin DiAngelo, The International Journal of Critical Pedegogy, Vol. 3, Number 3, 2011.
Despite deep misgivings about whether this term is the best way to label this behavior, this project takes white fragility very seriously. Arguably, the core motivation for this project is to figure out a way to make large-scale progress on racial attitudes while not putting the burden on people of color to have to work around this white fragility. The expectation is that white folks will be noticeably less fragile when they are talking about race with another white person, and when they are not in a large group situation. Even so, this fragility is real, and can be an obstacle to looking racism square in the face.

This project suggests that the best methods for influencing racism skeptics are to take very gradual, non-confrontational approaches to conversation, and to do this in ways that are less likely to activate their fragility. To do that, you should not think of yourself as attempting a quick conversion. Although now and then people have epiphanies after poignant and logically tight sermonettes about racism, allies should not count on this. Racial skepticism has a deep background in America, and has been long brewing in individuals. When it comes to working with skeptics, an ally needs to be always ready, but never in a hurry. This is long and slow-going work.

POLITICAL IDEOLOGY

For a variety of reasons, many racism skeptics are on the right/conservative side of the political spectrum, and many white allies are on the left/liberal side. Of course, this is not universally true, as there are allies who are conservative and skeptics who are liberal. But realistically, if the white ally population is going to attempt to reduce the number of skeptics out there, there will have to be a lot of conversations between liberals and conservatives. It is worth noting that if people can figure out how to have such conversations successfully, it might have additional benefits outside of the race context.

According to a 2017 survey by Pew Research, 75% of Republicans think that blacks who can't get ahead in the US are responsible for their own condition, while 66% of white Democrats said that racial discrimination is the reason that blacks can't get ahead. http://www.people-press.org/2017/10/05/4-race-immigration-and-discrimination/
This project does not take the position that bringing white skeptics out of their denial of racism necessarily means getting them to abandon their conservative beliefs. In fact, trying to shift a skeptic’s general worldview is much too big of a project, and may undermine your attempt to reduce their denial about racism. Political worldview is deeply ingrained, and people are often extremely attached to their perspective. (Some implications of political worldviews will be explored in the Odds and Ends section). The materials of this project are not designed to assist with turning conservatives to liberals. If enough liberal allies are successful in bringing conservatives out of racism denial, the conservative movement will have to grapple with how to expand anti-racist discourse within political conservatism. That is a healthy project that progressive allies should support.

ANECDOTES FROM PEOPLE OF COLOR

As the idea of white allies against racism has grown in recent years, the number of groups that have emerged to support white allyship have increased, as have the amount of literature. Much of this literature has focused on how white allies and people of color can work in healthy partnership toward changing institutions and creating multi-racial settings of common sense of community. Groups such as Showing Up for Racial Justice, Coming to the Table AWARE-LA, the YWCA and others are to be admired for their efforts that result in productive encounters across racial lines. When such cross-racial encounters occur, there are many complexities to manage. Issues of bias, privilege, stereotypes, and structural advantage can remain challenges even if everyone has the same basic belief that racism matters.
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This workbook acknowledges that these challenges in encounters across racial lines can be complex and difficult, and that allies will benefit from thinking about these topics. However, this workbook will not address the complexity of creating such settings or managing these difficulties.

Nevertheless, there is recognition that white allies will be more effective if they have some reasonable degree of emotionally honest contact with people of color, both as personal friends and as a part of a culturally diverse group that encourages the discussion of racism. These friendships will hopefully nurture white allies, as well as provide occasional feedback for their ongoing journey as anti-racists. These friendships are important for an additional reason. Specifically, the conversational approach suggested in this workbook is grounded fundamentally in listening and sharing stories. Every person has a race, so we all have stories about how race has affected us. This applies to white folks too, even if they will need to do some introspective digging to unearth the stories. But it will be useful to have stories from people of color whom you know personally and with whom you have relationships of mutual trust. At the right time, deploying a secondhand story from a person of color you trust may be very effective.

TONE AND PERSPECTIVE

As this project has delivered workshops to boost white ally effectiveness across the country, many people of color have participated. Most have found the material useful in helping understand the dynamics
of influencing people we disagree with. Similarly, people of color are welcomed to spend time with this workbook.

Even though the basis of the tools offered in this workbook is findings from social psychology and cognitive studies and persuasion science that are applicable to everyone, the tools themselves are specifically aimed at white anti-racism allies. Accordingly, the tone will sometimes speak to the reader as “you,” with the presumption is that the reader is a white person.

The expectation is that this workbook will be used primarily by individuals, but some white ally groups may make influencing racism skeptics an important part of their collective work. In several places, we will provide additional guidance for processes that groups can use to deepen people’s understanding of the material. As this project goes forward, it will produce a Discussion Leaders’ Guide that will be useful for people wanting to guide a group through a multi-session program to deeply integrate the content into their personal ally practice.

**USING THIS WORKBOOK**

This workbook is primarily a set of worksheets designed to help you prepare for encounters with racism skeptics. Sprinkled among the worksheets are guidance and explanations of the thinking behind the worksheets, essays and commentary, references to research materials, and a few lists that may be useful in different situations.

The workbook is arranged in four parts; each with a number of separate elements that will be briefly described below.
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PART ONE: KNOWING YOURSELF

Part 1 helps white allies gain more discernment as they take a detailed look at several aspects of the history and current practice of interacting with racism skeptics.

White Ally PRIORITIES Ranking Tool

This tool helps white allies take an honest look at areas of allyship they are focusing on, balancing effort spent and results gained. The tool covers a number of dimensions of white allyship, even though the rest of the workbook only focuses on the specific dimension of working with racism skeptics. This section is particularly useful if you are not clear that you want to spend a greater portion your ally energy on engaging skeptics.

Why white allies must take over THE WORK OF “WOKING”

This subsection reviews different lines of argument about why white allies should increase their energy toward the burden of changing other white folks’ minds, and why they should engage their peers very differently than they often do now. This section is particularly useful if you need to be reminded of reasons why white allies should spend more time engaging skeptics and why it is important for them to do so by in a manner that emphasizes empathic listening.

Ally Listening SELF-ASSESSMENT Instruments

This interactive instrument is a set of four worksheets augmented by commentary that encourages you to look clearly at your listening patterns. In addition, some listening tips that other white allies have found helpful are provided. This section is particularly useful if you are not sure that your listening skills are maximally effective.

Creating Your LISTENING Best Practices Tool

This subsection reviews some best practices in listening and encourages you to create a best practice list personalized to you. Knowing your own best practices will be useful when you get frustrated with racism skeptics.

The White Ally RESPONSE Assessment Tool

This tool helps allies notice what they tend to do when people say or do racially problematic things in their presence. It turns out there are some common patterns. This section is particularly useful if you have not done much thinking about how you tend to respond in these situations.
This part of the book focuses on preparing you to address racially problematic statements that emerge from time to time.

### The RACE Method – An Overview

This subsection explains the core strategy of dialogic engagement that the White Ally Toolkit advocates. This explanatory section explains the general method that will reappear and be adapted to specific racism-denying statements in the modules sections.

### STRUCTURE of the Modules

This reviews how the modules will be presented and guidelines about when different aspects of the modules will be helpful to you.

### Combating Racism Denial - THE MODULES

These short pieces provide detailed guidance of how white allies can use the primary method of the project (called the RACE Method) to turn racially problematic statements into opportunities for dialogue and learning. There are eight of these modules, each based on specific racism-denying statements that skeptics often make. Over time, more of these modules will be created and will appear on the project website and in future editions of this workbook. The modules are:

1. “Racism means having intentionally negative views of other groups. So if I don’t have conscious negative intent, I don’t have to worry about being racist.”
2. “Nowadays, there are very few advantages to being white. I am not ‘privileged’.”
3. “Very few people are consciously racist anymore.”
4. “Slavery and segregation were a long time ago. We should not talk about them any more and just move on.”
5. “The economic problems of people of color are primarily due to the bad choices that they make.”
6. “If people of color would just act properly, law enforcement would treat them fairly.”
7. “It is vital that America drastically reduce the level of immigration so that we can return to our cultural greatness.”
8. “Those athletes and others protesting should be grateful that they live in America and should not disrespect the nation and the flag by kneeling during the National Anthem.”
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PART THREE:
THE ALLY COACHING CURRICULUM

This part of the book prepares allies who want to intentionally focus on a person’s racial awareness and strengthen it over time.

The PRIMER

This section is intended to serve as a lesson plan for allies who want to try to intentionally engage someone in a series of focused conversations. The primer focuses on the key phenomena in race relations that can be directly experienced by individuals and provides reflection questions for you to examine your own experiences with some discernment before you ask the skeptic to do so. Each topic provides multiple potential paths you might take in upgrading the skeptic’s awareness.

Racial Issues - THE COLLECTIVE CONCEPTS

This essay highlights key concepts about race that are largely collective concepts that cannot as easily be observed through individual experience. To see these concepts, it is generally necessary to use statistics, data, and other lenses.
PART FOUR: ODDS AND ENDS

This includes instruments and commentary that did not fit within other sections but will be useful to allies in increasing their focus on influencing skeptics.

The subsections within the Odds and Ends can be summarized in the core questions that they focus on:

* Your past experiences of people trying to influence each other – What has your experience been of different approaches to engaging racism skeptics?
* Continuum of Retorts – What are some possible things to say when dialogue is not an option?
* Resetting a Broken Communication Pattern with a Skeptic – How do I reset the table of dialogue if I have made errors in the past?
* Shame: Does it affect your work as an ally? – Is unprocessed racial shame affecting you?
* Choosing Skeptics to Engage – How do I make good choices about who to invest energy on?
* Using insights about conservative and liberal moral frameworks and orientations – How can I leverage research about worldviews to improve my ally practice?
* Why anti-racist progressives need to support conservatives – Is there a role for progressives in creating anti-racist conservatism?
* The value of group learning – How can I create a group of allies who can help improve my practice?
* Closing Encouragements – What are some key points to remember so I can stay on the path?
APPENDIX
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

This includes a listing of resources allies might use to bolster their understanding of some key topics that this workbook has reviewed.

* Othering
* Unconscious Bias
* Attribution Error
* Racial Anxiety
* Unearned Racial Advantage (Commonly Called White Privilege)
* Racial Threat
* White Backlash
* Institutional Racism Vs Structural Racism
* Racial Inequity
Part 1 helps white allies gain more discernment as they take a detailed look at several aspects of the history and current practice of interacting with racism skeptics.
As stated, this project emerges from the idea that white allies are not effectively leveraging their influence with racism skeptics. This critique is offered with the understanding that there are many things that a white ally could do with their “ally time” and that allies are human beings who have other interest besides racial equity. It would be great if every white ally made racial justice the top priority of their life; but it is not likely that this will happen anytime soon. Allies who have different levels of commitment and focus on racial issues need to be conscious and intentional about which activities will comprise their ally practice, as well as how effective these practices are.

The white ally community is systematically under-investing in the task of influencing skeptics, and this has very important social, institutional, and political consequences. If society is to move more successfully to implementing racial equity initiatives with organizations or communities, it will be vital for more people who think of themselves as allies to have more frequent and effective conversations across the divides in the white community about whether anti-POC racism merits special attention.

The Ally Priorities Tool encourages allies to take a clear-eyed look at the energy and activities that comprise their anti-racism ally practice. In the instrument, a number of activities are presented that allies have said comprise their ally practice. The instrument encourages you to do a short thought experiment where you create the ideal ally who is still you. Then you will take note of the most important and secondary priorities of your time if this were the case.
After doing this thought experiment, you will see the same list of activities. This time, you are asked to indicate which of these activities are actually the most important and secondary focus of your ally time and energy. Some people taking this instrument have noticed a disconnect between what they think they should be doing and what they are doing. Exploring these potential disconnects is the purpose of the instrument.

The instrument also includes two additional questions based on the same list; the second time, the context of the rating is for an ally organization. These questions are provided to assist an organization that supports allies or for a group of allies to have a conversation about their personal and collective priorities.

**ALLY PRIORITIES TOOL**

This tool explores 10 categories of behavior that white allies engage in because of their passion for racial equity/reconciliation/justice. They are all legitimate and important ways that a white person can express their passion for racial issues. Of course, we all have a finite amount of time, and we must sometimes make hard choices to live a balanced life.

The next two questions ask you to use discernment to assess both what you think should be happening and what you think actually is happening for you and for other white allies.
QUESTION 1

Looking at your own best feasible version of yourself at this stage of your journey as a white ally - and recognizing that all of these are important – please put an H next to the three activity dimensions that you think SHOULD BE highest priority for you, and an M next to the two dimensions that SHOULD BE next two highest priority areas for you?

1. Efforts to create moments of the “beloved community” that includes fellowship between whites and people of color not based on accomplishing a task

2. Serving as a collaborative leader with POC while working for institutional change

3. Working on my personal interaction with POCs so that my behavior does not reflect white privilege

4. Giving and getting peer support from other white allies

5. Intervening when you witness racially problematic statements/behaviors

6. Persuading white non-allies that racism is an important problem

7. Managing your own learning path as an ally through media/book/art consumption

8. Making lifestyle/personal choices that reflect your passion for racial equity

9. Supporting racial equity efforts with money or time

10. Managing your online presence to promote racism consciousness
**QUESTION 2**

*Imagine that an objective assessment is being made of the focus of your white ally work. Looking at your actual behavior, use the same scale of H's and Ms to indicate what the observer would say ACTUALLY ARE the 3 highest (H) and the next two most important (M) priorities of your white ally activity.*

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Efforts to create moments of the “beloved community” that includes fellowship between whites and people of color not based on accomplishing a task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.</strong> Serving as a collaborative leader with POC while working for institutional change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.</strong> Working on my personal interaction with POCs so that my behavior does not reflect white privilege</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.</strong> Giving and getting peer support from other white allies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.</strong> Intervening when you witness racially problematic statements/behaviors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6.</strong> Persuading white non-allies that racism is an important problem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.</strong> Managing your own learning path as an ally through media/book/art consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.</strong> Making lifestyle/personal choices that reflect your passion for racial equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9.</strong> Supporting racial equity efforts with money or time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10.</strong> Managing your online presence to promote racism consciousness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOME REFLECTION QUESTIONS FOR INDIVIDUALS

- Were there activities that you engage in that did not fit well into the categories provided?

- What are the biggest disconnects between the activities that your ideal ally self would be doing and what you are actually spending your time and energy on? What are the drivers of this disconnect?

- How motivated are you to make adjustments? What are examples of new choices you would need to make?

- The following questions are primarily aimed at people who are involved in formal or informal groups of white allies trying to make a difference about racism.
QUESTION 3

Think about others who you can reasonably call a group of allies; it may be an official ally organization or an unofficial group that you have formed or are forming. If you could wave a magic wand and folks would adjust how the community of allies focus on spending their time, what are the three areas that you think should get the most focus (H), and the next two areas that need secondary focus (M).

1. Efforts to create moments of the “beloved community” that includes fellowship between whites and people of color not based on accomplishing a task
2. Serving as a collaborative leader with POC while working for institutional change
3. Working on my personal interaction with POCs so that my behavior does not reflect white privilege
4. Giving and getting peer support from other white allies
5. Intervening when you witness racially problematic statements/behaviors
6. Persuading white non-allies that racism is an important problem
7. Managing your own learning path as an ally through media/book/art consumption
8. Making lifestyle/personal choices that reflect your passion for racial equity
9. Supporting racial equity efforts with money or time
10. Managing your online presence to promote racism consciousness
QUESTION 4

Looking at what your white ally group is actually doing these days - and recognizing that all of these are important – please put an H next to the three activity dimensions that you think actually are the highest priority for our group, and an M next to the two dimensions that actually are the next two highest priority areas for our group?

(Don’t get distracted by the fact that group efforts will inevitably entail time on task execution. For example, if a group is mobilizing dozens of people for a protest, there will be tasks needed to accomplish that goal which are not on list. For the sake of this instrument, these administrative tasks should be categorized as time spent on the strategic activity of supporting a protest.)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Efforts to create moments of the “beloved community” that includes fellowship between whites and people of color not based on accomplishing a task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Serving as a collaborative leader with POC while working for institutional change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Working on my personal interaction with POCs so that my behavior does not reflect white privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Giving and getting peer support from other white allies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Intervening when you witness racially problematic statements/behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Persuading white non-allies that racism is an important problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Managing your own learning path as an ally through media/book/art consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Making lifestyle/personal choices that reflect your passion for racial equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Supporting racial equity efforts with money or time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Managing your on-line presence to promote racism consciousness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHY WHITE ALLIES MUST TAKE OVER THE WORK OF “WOKING”

ARGUMENTS FROM SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY AND COGNITIVE SCIENCE

The multiple decades of racial dialogue work undergirding this project has led to the conclusion that the best way to change and expand a skeptic’s view on race is to first engage in empathetic listening. In addition, there is a growing body of scientific evidence that shows to the extent that a change in people’s views can be catalyzed by others, empathy-based dialogues are the way to go. According to this research, the best way to foster “de-biasing” is to first listen empathetically to someone so they feel heard, and after that, raise experiences and facts that invite them to a broader and more nuanced perspective. As one journalist titled his review of this research: “Research says there are ways to reduce racial bias. Calling people racist is not one of them.”

Social scientists who study influence would also advise that aggressively calling out someone who has said racially problematic things is not the only action that an ally may want to refrain from if their intention is to maximize influence. Scholars of social psychology have strong evidence about the existence of a dynamic called the Backfire Effect. This term describes what happens when people are confronted with facts that challenge their views. In a remarkably high percentage of circumstance, people’s response to facts that contradict one’s position – no matter how esteemed the source - is to double down on one’s beliefs and find some

3 https://www.vox.com/identities/2016/11/15/13595508/racism-trump-research-study
rationale for dismissing this new information. (These days, accusing others of dispensing “fake news” is often what this strategy looks like).

People who study the Backfire Effect suggests a number of steps in trying to influence people when you know that facts are not likely to work. One expert suggests these steps.4

- Discuss, don’t attack (no ad hominem and no ad Hitlerum),
- Listen carefully and try to articulate the other position accurately,
- Show respect,
- Acknowledge that you understand why someone might hold that opinion, and
- Try to show how changing facts does not necessarily mean changing worldviews.

Julia Galef, head of the Center for Applied Rationality, offers this advice:

“One important mental shift that I and other people have found really useful in remaining fair minded and objective in arguments is instead of thinking about the argument as a battle where you’re trying to win, reframe it in your mind so that you think of it as a partnership, a collaboration in which the two of you together or the group of you together are trying to figure out the right answer.”5

The conclusion by people who study persuasion that attacking someone is unlikely to change their minds is also bolstered by people who study neurobiology. Many researchers have found that the circuitry in our brains that is activated when humans feel under physical threat are also activated when we believe our worldview is being attacked.6 Thus, telling someone that their viewpoint is the same as that of someone they view as morally inferior (e.g. “Your views are clearly racist!”) is likely to be experienced in a similar way in the brain as telling them that you are about to hurt

5 http://bigthink.com/in-their-own-words/the-key-to-rational-argument-reframe-it-as-a-partnership
6 https://boingboing.net/2017/01/17/the-neuroscience-of-changing-y.html
them physically. Often, people’s fight or flight responses will be activated, including the adrenaline and other chemicals that our body has evolved to help us mount counterattacks when we are threatened.

This is not to say that lambasting someone’s racist point of view does not feel good, and can provide an effective release valve for us. Such diatribes may even be persuasive with onlookers common if there are any. Sadly though, there is good evidence that such strategies have very little chance of working with the person you are talking to.

Social psychology and cognitive science are not the only disciplines that have come to similar conclusions about persuasion and influence. Many experts in diplomacy and conflict resolution – where the capacity to influence others is a critical skill – have concluded that being able to listen empathetically to people you sharply disagree with can be vital when trying to move people to one’s own position.

**EXTENDING DIGNITY**

Harvard scholar Donna Hicks, who has done diplomatic and conflict resolution work around the world, says that granting people you disagree with dignity is very important when trying to influence them.” Dignity is the desire to be treated well. It is an unspoken human yearning that is at the heart of all conflicts, yet no one is paying attention to it,” she says. Hicks’ years of experience taught her that the key to shifting people to a mindset of collaborative problem solving around an ongoing conflict is to make them feel that their dignity is being acknowledged by the other side. Yet all too often, people who are trying to engage others and move them to a different mindset fail to do this, even as they feel anger or hurt because they have not been afforded dignity by the other party.

But what exactly is this dignity that you are supposed to grant to people you disagree with in order to influence them?

One definition of dignity is “the quality of being worthy of esteem or respect.” Hicks goes further, and says that dignity actually has 10
component elements, each associated with what we must do so that others feel that they dignity is recognized. The following is taken almost directly from an article by Hicks.

- **Acceptance of Identity**—Approach people as neither inferior nor superior to you; assume they have integrity.
- **Recognition**—Validate others for their talents, hard work, thoughtfulness, and help; give credit to others for their contributions, ideas and experience.
- **Acknowledgment**—Give people your full attention by listening, hearing, validating and responding to their concerns and what they have been through.
- **Inclusion**—Make others feel that they belong at all levels of relationship (family, community, organization, nation).
- **Safety**—Put people at ease at two levels: physically, where they feel free of bodily harm; and psychologically, where they feel free of concern about being shamed or humiliated, that they feel free to speak without fear of retribution.
- **Fairness**—Treat people justly, with equality, and in an even-handed way, according to agreed upon laws and rules.
- **Independence**—Empower people to act on their own behalf so that they feel in control of their lives and experience a sense of hope and possibility.
- **Understanding**—Believe that what others think matters; give them the chance to explain their perspectives, express their points of view; actively listen in order to understand them.
- **Benefit of the Doubt**—Treat people as trustworthy; start with the premise that others have good motives and are acting with integrity.
- **Accountability**—Take responsibility for your actions; if you have violated the dignity of another, apologize; make a commitment to change hurtful behaviors.
THE IRONIES OF EXTENDING DIGNITY

If we apply these concepts at the societal level, it is easy to see that that racism tends to undermine the dignity of people of color in all of the ten elements. If we apply this analysis to interpersonal racism – especially the conscious kind – the result is not much different.

However, what Hicks and similar experts say about the importance of granting dignity leads to an ironic conclusion about changing people who deny racism. It may very well be that even though racism directly subverts the dignity of people of color, it will take people extending dignity to people who question the reality of racism in order to eliminate racism.

Regardless of whether we think it is reasonable or not, racial progressives and racial conservatives have done things that have collectively produced a racial discourse in which racism skeptics often feel that their dignity is undermined when talking about race. This results in a white fragility that is so extreme that virtually any suggestion that a white skeptic is connected to historical or current racism causes extreme reactions, shutting down, and disengagement.

Without question, a lot of this is caused by white racism skeptics and people with racist views. Some of this apparent white fragility – especially as it plays out in the media – are cynical attempts to undermine racial progress by limiting any discussion of societal accountability for racism. For many non-progressives now, if an anti-racist advocate mentions racism, they are accused of “playing the race card” or being “the real racists.” This is a clever and convenient result that the forces of racial retrenchment have collectively created.
But it is also true that the behavior of anti-racist activists toward racism skeptics has often been dismissive, condescending, and lacking respect. With respect to the issue of dignity, anti-racist activists have often violated several of the key ways to afford dignity to skeptics in discourse about racism. Members of the anti-racism movement must ask themselves hard questions, most importantly: How frequently do we fail to grant dignity to racial conservatives when talking about race? Arguably, there are several dimensions of the 10 elements of dignity that are not commonly granted to others in conversations with people who hold racially conservative views. (Most frequently not granted are acceptance of Identity, Acknowledgment, Safety, Understanding, and Benefit of the Doubt).

It is understandable why an anti-racist advocate – particularly one who is a person of color - might be disinclined to spend energy trying to afford dignity to a racism skeptic. Why should an anti-racist advocate give understanding to a person who denies a basic reality of life that affects millions of people? Why should an anti-racism advocate give the benefit of the doubt to someone who denies that racism exists, especially when the ally knows that modern racism often works below the conscious awareness of the person who is racist? For that matter, why should an anti-racist advocate try to give psychological safety to a person who denies racism, when their racially backwards beliefs are part of a system that is designed to withhold both psychological and physical safety from millions of people?

It is also understandable why an anti-racist activist of color may choose to not push themselves past these questions. Their lives are stressful enough
simply coping with the task of surviving racism itself. Why should it be their responsibility to be empathetic when listing to the views about race that hurt them directly? Furthermore, when anti-racist advocates of color try to challenge racism skeptics’ views on race, they are seen as self-interested, or even whiners. Because of perceptions like this, many anti-racism advocates increasingly regard spending time trying to influence skeptics as a fruitless endeavor.

**It is time to shift the work of changing hearts and minds of racism skeptics away from people of color and onto white allies.** Their dignity is not as directly undermined by racism as is that of people of color. They are perceived as having more credibility by white racism skeptics, not only because they are white, because they are not seen as advocating for themselves. For these reasons, white allies are in a much better position to change hearts and minds of other white people about racism.

It is useful to remember that as a white person, you are in a much better position to interact with racism skeptics with a listening-based strategy than are people of color. On a daily basis, POCs must endure the indirect impact of white skepticism that racism really matters, and they must do so as they are experiencing overt and subtle racism in many parts of their lives. As you may have heard from POCs in the past, the natural emotional reaction to this spans a broad range that includes irritation, rage, depression, and the feeling of being gaslighted 

Some people of color might want to choose to have conversations where white people’s racial skepticism is consciously expressed. That is great for those who want to engage in this way. But it would not be fair for society to expect people of color to endure this. People of color have enough of a burden just coping with the results of this skepticism every day. Drawing white folks out so they can examine and potentially revisit their views should be white people’s work.

---

7 gaslighting - manipulating someone by psychological means into questioning their own sanity
Many passionate white allies argue that it is their moral obligation to respond aggressively to people who, at this late stage in the anti-racism struggle, express views that are either explicitly racist or demonstrate they have chosen to remain blissfully ignorant about the realities of racism. While passion and empathy with POCs that is the root of that outrage is appreciated, this project flips that argument around. Specifically, there is an argument that a white person indulging in verbal outrage when interacting with anyone but the most unreconstructed racist is, in fact, an act of white privilege.

What people of color need is for white allies to be focused on using their common whiteness with racism skeptics/racists – except perhaps virulent ones – and the tools in the workbook to reach racism skeptics. While white allies may be energized by the ritual of verbally blasting whites who are not sufficiently woke, the question must be asked: Is such an exchange doing anything to reduce the amount of racism that people of color are facing? White allies who are interacting with skeptics are not having their core personhood challenged; they are not being insulted, as a person of color might be in the same situation. Given that, reading a run of the mill skeptic/racist the critical race theory riot act for their unsophisticated racial views seems rather like like an emotional indulgence that does not serve the cause of racial equity.

Undoubtedly, it takes a great deal of emotional and even spiritual discipline to extend dignity to people who are demonstrating racism-skeptical/racist views. It is natural to want to throttle them verbally, and perhaps physically. But the discipline of resisting this lashing out at racists for the sake of greater goals is the work that people of color have had to do for hundreds of years. It is now time to white allies to take on this work, even though it does not feel good.

In addition, it is likely that you as a white ally are more capable of getting white people to be honest about their views on race and racism. Remember, a significant portion of white people are willing to express to anonymous surveyors that they think people of color are some version of inferior, whether this is less intelligent, more criminally-minded, lazy,
or otherwise less than white. Only the most brazen racists don’t have the couth to hide these views from people of color in conversation. Clearly though, to the extent that anyone can draw out the candid beliefs of racism skeptics as well as overt racists – and these beliefs are best brought out in the open if they are to ever change – it is white allies, equipped with proven communications strategies of empathetic listening, who can do this work.

Essentially, it is the task of white allies to use their privileged status and consistently do the very hard work of recognizing skeptics’ dignity so that they can help them see how they, the skeptics, are denying dignity to others.

---

8 For example, a 2014 study the University of Illinois found that about 22 percent of whites think that whites are more intelligent than blacks and 33 percent reported thinking that blacks are less hardworking. http://igpa.uillinois.edu/programs/racial-attitudes
As noted, empathetic listening is foundational to methods of engagement advocated in this workbook. It is important that you take a honest self-assessment of your tendencies, habits, and capacities around listening. This lesson includes four instruments that encourage you to reflect on your listening – when you have done it well and poorly and when the conversation involved race and when it did not. Engage these assessment forms when you are able to take sufficient time in probing your memory, writing, and making sense of what you wrote and remember.

You are encouraged to complete all the forms, but be prepared for the fact that this may take a fair amount of time. The exercises are designed to help you think more deeply about your listening behavior so that you can become a more intentional listener. If you engage these forms, you will be taking a few trips down memory lane to recall important internal and external subtleties of several past situations. Of course, you have the option of just reading through the exercise instructions and not actually doing them. As you decide about how much to engage the exercises, remember that becoming a better listener will not only help your work as a white ally against racism, but will also help you in other realms where listening matters, which is virtually everywhere.

After the Reflection forms, there will be brief review of listening best practices that other people have found to be useful. You are encouraged to experiment with these and refine what works best for you.
The four assessment forms are as follows:

**WORKSHEET 1 - SUCCESSES IN EMPATHETIC LISTENING ON HARD TOPICS NOT RELATED TO RACE**

**WORKSHEET 2 - FAILURES IN EMPATHETIC LISTENING ON HARD TOPICS NOT RELATED TO RACE**

**WORKSHEET 3 - THE EMOTIONS THAT EMERGED WHEN YOU WERE NOT EFFECTIVE DURING A RACE CONVERSATION**

**LISTENING SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET 4 - THE SITUATIONAL FACTORS IN SITUATIONS WHEN YOU WERE EFFECTIVE DURING A RACE CONVERSATION**
Preamble to Worksheet 1 – The questions in Listening Worksheet 1 review a few aspects of yourself that may have contributed to the results you experienced in your previous attempts at empathetic listening.
LISTENING SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET 1:
SUCCESSES IN NON-RACIAL EMPATHETIC LISTENING

Think of 2-3 times when you think you were successful at empathetic listening on a difficult topic, not necessarily related to race. To clarify your separate memories, name each of the times.

Successful listening episode 1


Successful listening episode 2


Successful listening episode 3


For the rest of this worksheet, try to remember some specifics circumstances of each of these episodes. Be especially attentive to commonalities between the episodes.

How would you describe what you were doing physically: posture, breathing, etc.?
What was your emotional state going into the situation(s)? How were you feeling during it?

What kinds of thoughts were you having that were the backdrop to the content of the conversation(s)? What was your conscious intention in the encounter?

How would you describe your verbal behavior? Your speaking style, tone, and pace of speech? Were there some words or phrases you were using more or less than usual?
Post-Script to Worksheet 1 – Whether the topic is about race or not, it is important to be aware of what behaviors in various dimensions support our success. Sometimes, if we catch ourselves doing things that are associated with poor listening, we can shift to better listening just by changing to behaviors that we do when we are successful.
Preamble to Worksheet 2 - In order to improve your listening practice, it will be important to work on your skill in re-examining listening episodes. It is most important to honestly examine your behavior, and its effect on both you and the other person.
LISTENING SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET 2: UNSUCCESSFUL EPISODES IN NON-RACIAL EMPATHETIC LISTENING

Think of a 1-2 times when you were unsuccessful at empathetic listening on a difficult topic, not necessarily related to race.

Unsuccessful listening Episode 1

________________________________________________________________________

Unsuccessful listening Episode 2

________________________________________________________________________

How would you describe what you were doing physically: posture, breathing, etc.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

What was your emotional state going into the situation(s)? How were you feeling during it?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
What kinds of thoughts were you having that were the backdrop to the content of the conversation(s)? What was your conscious intention in the encounter?

How would you describe your verbal behavior? Your speaking style, tone, and pace of speech? Were there some words or phrases you were using more or less than usual?
Post-Script to Worksheet 2 - Take a moment to review Worksheets 1 and 2. If there are some significant contrasts in the answers to the questions about successes and failures, you should pay special attention. Many people create success by being mindful of the subtle decisions they make about their body, thoughts, and emotions and trying to make conscious choices that are associated with successful outcomes.
Preamble to Worksheet 3 – This form helps you pay attention to how you behave in unproductive exchanges about race with racism skeptics. (This project defines a productive exchange as where 1) the ally gets to be their authentic self in a significant part of the encounter, and 2) the skeptic leaves the encounter with some level of interest in talking to the ally about race in the future). The focus is on the comments that tend to serve as emotional triggers and that make it difficult to stay centered and in a mode of empathetic listening.

Worksheet 3 helps you reflect on how different racist or racism-skeptical statements might affect you in a way that undermines your listening. Here is a list of common racism-denying statements.

- “Racism means having intentionally negative views of other groups. So if I don’t have conscious negative intent, I don’t have to worry about being racist.”
- “Nowadays, there are very few advantages to being white. I am not ‘privileged’”.
- “Very few people are consciously racist anymore.
- “Slavery and segregation were a long time ago. We should not talk about them any more and just move on.”
- “The economic problems of people of color are primarily due to the bad choices that they make.”
- “If people of color would just act properly, law enforcement would treat them fairly.”
- “It is vital that America drastically reduce the level of immigration so that we can return to our cultural greatness.”
- “Those athletes and others protesting should be grateful they live in America and should not disrespect the nation and the flag by kneeling during the National Anthem.”

Feel free to focus on other racism-skeptical statements if they are more likely to prevent you from an empathetic listening response.
LISTENING SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET 3: EMOTIONS DURING FAILURES IN RACE CONVERSATIONS

After reviewing the list of racism-denying statements skeptics say that bug you, list the comments that most push you off-center. If the sentiment that you want to focus on is not on the list, add it.

- “Racism means having intentionally negative views of other groups. I am colorblind. So if I don’t have conscious negative intent, I don’t have to worry about being racist.”
- “Nowadays, there are very few advantages to being white. I am not ‘privileged’.
- “Very few people are consciously racist anymore.”
- “Slavery and segregation were a long time ago. We should not talk about them anymore and just move on.”
- “The economic problems of people of color are primarily due to the bad choices that they make.”
- “If people of color would just act properly, law enforcement would treat them fairly.“
- “It is vital that America drastically reduce the level immigration so that we can return to our cultural greatness.”
- “Those athletes and others protesting should be grateful they live in America and should not disrespect the nation and the flag by kneeling during the National Anthem.”
- ____________________________
- ____________________________
- ____________________________
What are the statements that tend to make it most difficult for you to engage the skeptic from a place that is centered?

1. 

2. 

3. 

Think back to specific episodes when you heard the statements you listed above and you were not able to stay centered maintaining a practice of listening. Give the incident a name to help focus your memory work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episode name</th>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on interviewing white allies, here are some examples of the emotions that white allies have said they feel in the face of some statements and also some of the underlying reasons behind those feelings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANGRY</td>
<td>...that someone who shares my name could be so stupid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRUSTRATED</td>
<td>...that someone who claims Christianity could be so unloving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD</td>
<td>...that this person is clearly cutting themselves off from many good people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERWHELMED</td>
<td>...at all of the conversational work that this person needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRRITATED</td>
<td>...that I have to have this conversation again.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FATIGUED</td>
<td>...that so many white folks have learned so little.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAME</td>
<td>...that I used to feel like that and have only recently awakened to reality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Script to Worksheet 3 – In order to improve your skills as an effective listener, it is useful to put space between the skeptic’s statement and your intense emotional response. One way to do this is to consciously re-visit the linkages between a statement and its underlying impact on you. Having looked at this chain, you can remind yourself that there are other ways of thinking about the issue and hopefully break this unhelpful cycle.

Take for example, the hypothetical ally’s emotion on the second line on the example table in the Worksheet 3. Here, the ally is frustrated at some racism-denying comments made by Christians because they seem unloving. To strengthen this ally’s ability to stay centered, it might be useful to remind themselves they know that many Christians are unloving and they accept this daily. Then the ally can make a decision to try to replicate the way they accept this fact when race is not in the conversation. Similarly, they can spend some time thinking about a new question: Why do racist remarks make it harder to accept that some Christians are unloving than to accept this is true when race is not involved?

By going down either of these roads of reflection, the hypothetical ally is reminding themselves that their reaction/response is not inevitable. That is the ultimate goal of the entire reflective enterprise – to encourage you to describe your internal process and reflect on it with a slight bit of distance. The hope is that after doing this, you will be better prepared so that the next time a similar situation arises, you will be able to manage yourself with greater intention and effectiveness.
Preamble to Worksheet 4 – Most white allies have had at least a few experiences where a skeptic said or did something that was racist or racially problematic, and when the ally was able to invite the skeptic into a productive conversation to reevaluate their point of view. Even if these situations have been rare, it is useful to learn lessons from them by asking yourself a few reflection questions.

The success of the situation is typically affected by circumstances that are largely environmental and/or that the ally cannot control. Perhaps the environment was more conversational and relaxed. Perhaps someone had previously set the tone of an attitude of inquiry. Maybe bad weather had trapped people in the space, so that people had to settle in and talk to each other.

Undoubtedly, there may have been factors within you that likely made a difference. Perhaps you had just come from the gym, so your endorphins were engaged. Perhaps you had just gotten some good news. Maybe it was a party, and you had just the right amount of snacks or alcohol, whatever level that is for you.

The point of these instruments is to consider which internal and external factors tend to support your effectiveness.
Think of two different experiences in which you turned a racially problematic moment with a skeptic into a productive dialogue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience 1</th>
<th>Experience 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What was the situation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some factors you had little influence over that likely helped?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that you had significant influence over that likely helped?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using the previous table as example input, try to remember each episode in more detail, and try to make sense of your what emotions came up for you in wake of the racist/racism denying behavior your observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Were there any physical factors in the situation, either from your choices or things mostly beyond your control, that you think contributed to your success?


Post-Script to Worksheet 4 – If you are reading this after filling out all four Listening forms....Congratulations! Kudos for translating your commitment to better allyship into the energy necessary for a very extensive reflection process.

To fully capitalize on your efforts, it might be helpful to review the four worksheets, and think about three broad questions:

1. Looking at your body, mind, and emotions, what are the things that you should pay attention to and affirmatively do to maximize your chance of staying in an empathetic listening mode?

2. Looking at your body, mind, and emotions, what are the decisions you should try to avoid making so that you can be most effective?

3. Are there situational factors outside of your control that you should be aware of because they impact your effectiveness?
ush your own reflection, you can benefit from learning from other people’s best practices for becoming a better listener. You may want to experiment with integrating some of these methods into your own listening practices, while taking note of which ones are helpful and which are not.

Note: Listening experts point out that there is a lack of general appreciation for the fact that good listening requires advance preparation. Kai Degner of the Listening Corps (www.listeningcorps.com) points out that while many people know that one should prepare advance to speak to people, very few people recognize that it can be equally important to prepare for a listening session. J. Scott Wagner, author of A Liberal’s Guide to Conservatives (strongly recommended for white allies!) also advises that you consciously prepare for situations that you know will test your listening skills. As a part of that preparation, the act of envisioning yourself having a successful listening session can help. Three things to focus on are:

1. Reminding yourself that you can listen effectively and stay centered;
2. Envisioning how the session will go, and how you will feel and behave within it;
3. Reminding yourself that listening empathetically to views you disagree with does not mean that you agree with those views.

One method of strengthening your listening is to consciously separate strategies into three parts of yourself: your values, your physical body, and your attention/thoughts.
Degner asserts that anyone attempting to maintain a high level of listening throughout an encounter should recognize they will inevitably have to contend with what he calls “Listening Blocks”. These are factors within the listener or within the environment that can get in the way of listening; they can vary from a distracting air conditioner noise to a persistent thought that the person you are talking to looks like someone from your past who rubbed you the wrong way.

Degner suggests that when listening blocks emerge, one general strategy is to emotionally/mentally refocus on one of three values that, in preparation for the session, you have already committed to trying to during the session. These three values - empathy, curiosity, and patience – have been very helpful in helping listeners reground themselves when they stray from the path of empathetic listening. Some questions that you might ask that relate to these values are:

Empathy: Is there a positive intent in the person that I can connect to? Curiosity: Is there a perspective within the person’s point of view that I need to understand more? Patience: How can I extend to the skeptic the same willingness to stay engaged that I would want if someone became distracted while listening to me?

MIND-BODY TACTICS

Some white allies have found that a good way to re-center oneself when your listening orientation might drift is focus on the connection between their mind and their body and to make adjustments that are associated with success in listening. It helps if you have mentally prepared to call on this action for this purpose in your preparation for the listening session. Some examples of helpful actions that some white allies have used include:
• Biting one’s lip
• Touching your tongue to the roof of your mouth
• Shifting your position to one that is more relaxed
• Taking deeper breaths
• Keeping your eyes focused on the speaker’s eyes
• Envisioning there is super-glue on your lips preventing you from talking
• Keeping your eyes focused on the speaker’s mouth

Your objective is to examine if there are any choices of managing your body that tend to help you become more effective.

What mind-body strategies do you think (or have found) are most effective for you in helping you stay in empathetic listening mode?

1. 

2. 

3. 

ATTENTION-BASED TACTICS - LISTENING FOR X

Degner says that apart from focusing on your body or on your values, another strategy is to enhance your mindfulness about what you are listening for. He calls the strategy “Listening for X.” The first step is to focus energy on figuring out what you are listening for in situations where your listening has been effective. The idea is that frequently, listening blockages happen because at key moments, the listener is paying excess attention to the possibility of some specific idea being raised, and as a result misses
other important information in the conversation. This exercise is especially important for white anti-racist allies. In conversation with racism skeptics, white allies are often paying special note (i.e. listening for) something the skeptic might say that reflects racially problematic beliefs, whether they are deep-seated, conscious, or based around ignorance. In fact, for many allies, a core part of their personal practice is to monitor language and publicly call out people as being a part of the tradition of white supremacy when they say problematic things.

Even in less extreme cases, there are still patterns in what allies are often listening for during encounters with racism skeptics (or other allies). These can include:

- Evidence of beliefs about POC inferiority
- Beliefs that reflect unacknowledged white privilege
- Inaccurate understandings of how racism works
- Deficits in empathy or compassion for people of color
- Ignorance of key facts in American history
- Conservative political ideology
- Evidence that the skeptic is more racist than they think of themselves as being

This is just a partial list. A common element in all of these issue is that the listener judges the other person is wrong, and may merit correction.

It is important to try to be as honest with yourself as possible about what you might be listening for when talking to skeptics that undermines your capacity to empathetically listen. Think about settings in which you have not been successful in empathetically listening.
In conversations about race with other white people, what are some things you tend to listen for that sometime impede your staying in a stance of empathetic listening?

1. 

2. 

3. 

To be clear, you are not wrong for listening for these things. As you grow in your lifelong journal of allyship, it is actually useful for you to listen for the various ways that racial skepticism manifests itself. You need to be listening for the ways that the existing system of entrenched racial hierarchies affects people’s thoughts, feelings, and actions. Any conversation with a skeptic is a chance to learn about how resistance to racial equity is held together within the thoughts, feelings, and perspectives of a skeptic.

While listening to these things, you must also be listening for other things. If you want to take people on a journey of collaborative dialogue that might expand their outlook, you may need to shift your focus, or at least expand it. If you want to have a reasonable chance of moving a skeptic, you will need to listen for additional things that are very different than the above. Fruitful possibilities include:

- Experiences that are similar to ones you have had
- Things you can agree with
- Underlying needs embedded within what the person is saying
- Potential openings for future conversation
The space below is for any summary notes about your own listening practice.
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PART ONE
KNOWING YOURSELF

THE WHITE ALLY RESPONSE ASSESSMENT TOOL

SELF-ASSESSMENT: RESPONDING TO RACIALLY PROBLEMATIC STATEMENTS AND SITUATIONS

This instrument assesses what happens in your heart/mind and behavior when racially problematic statements or behaviors happen in your presence.

Think about what happens when people do or say things that you find racially troubling. Here are some examples of statements.

- “Racism means having intentionally negative views of other groups. I am colorblind. So if I don’t have conscious negative intent, I don’t have to worry about being racist.”
- “Nowadays, there are very few advantages to being white. I am not ‘privileged’.”
- “Very few people are consciously racist anymore.”
- “Slavery and segregation were a long time ago. We should not talk about them anymore and just move on.”
- “The economic problems of people of color are primarily due to the bad choices that they make.”
- “If people of color would just act properly, law enforcement would treat them fairly.”
- “It is vital that America drastically reduce the level immigration so that we can return to our cultural greatness.”
- “Those athletes and others protesting should be grateful they live in America and should not disrespect the nation and the flag by kneeling during the National Anthem.”
You will assess your reaction to hearing statements like this in two ways:

**Dimension 1:** What happens in your heart and mind? How often do you have a visceral response – whether you show it or not – when racially problematic statements are made in your presence?

- If you have a visceral reaction 2/3 of the time or more, your score is 3
- If you have a visceral reaction between ½ and 2/3 of the time, your score is 10
- If you have a visceral reaction less than 1/3 of the time, your score is 20.

Write your visceral response score here: ____________________________

**Dimension 2:** What happens with respect to your actual behavior? How often do you engage the person who has made the racially problematic statements?

- If you engage the person and the situation more than 2/3 of the time, you score is 100
- If you engage the person/situation less than 2/3 of the time, your score is 20.

Write your behavioral response score here: ____________________________

Add together the our overall response score here: ________________________

- If your score is 120, you are an Undercover Spy in Training / Zen Activist
- If your score is 110 or 30, you are a Reservist / Contextual Player
- If your score is 103, you are a Cavalry / First Responder
- If your score is 40, your are an Analyst / Quiet Observer
- If your score is 23, you are a Scout / Mental Warrior
PART ONE
KNOWING YOURSELF

THE CAVALRY...AKA THE FIRST RESPONDERS

DIAGNOSIS
You’ve got to love these folks...When it comes to expressions of racial skepticism, they are like the Cavalry or First Responders – they may not clearly see the path forward, but their prime directive is to act. They often are having a strong internal reaction they have to manage, and they really don’t understand where skeptics are coming from. Regardless, they consistently engage. Sometimes with a well developed plan, but sometimes without one.

When a racism-skeptic reveals him or herself, First Responders feel a big gulf that sometimes causes a sinking feeling in their gut. It is very hard for them to understand this type of thinking and hearing it often triggers them emotionally. Many manage this situation well enough that their internal tribulation is largely hidden from the other person. Other members of the Cavalry are less opaque with their feelings, and their reaction is apparent. But no matter how well they manage their emotions, engaging is a lot of work for First Responders since they have very little understanding or empathy for this way of thinking. It just seems foreign to them! And the idea of having understanding or empathy for this point of view itself seems weird.

In their minds, the most important thing to do when bumping against people denying of racism is to counter it, and so they do - almost every time.

PRESCRIPTION
Since these people are already consistently engaging, they don’t need coaching for increased action. For them, the primary growth edge is to focus on greater effectiveness. A good place to start is getting a better handle on their own internal response. Getting a better grip on themselves when they hear racially problematic statements is likely to be a challenge, since they don’t understand racism skeptical thinking and this thinking creates a visceral reaction in them. Often they don’t want to understand it, since trying to understand it can feel like a concession and a granting of legitimacy.
GROWTH EDGE QUESTIONS FOR FIRST RESPONDERS

For Managing Emotions
1. How long have racism-denying statements by other whites really gotten to you emotionally?
2. If there was ever a time when this did not happen, what changed?
3. Are there past people or situations that your mind subtly goes to when you are triggered?
4. In other situations not related to race, what strategies have you found useful in managing your emotions in the face of behavior that really bothers you?

For Understanding and Empathy
1. Now you respond to racial skepticism like a firefighter putting out a small fire before it grows. How would it feel, every so often, to meet racial skepticism like a spy who asks innocent questions so you can be more effective the next time?
2. Can you reconnect with the empathy you have felt in the past for someone with racially skeptical views? Has anyone you deeply loved held these views? Can you remember feeling at least one racially skeptical view yourself?
3. Have you asked any other allies about books, movies, or videos that helped them better understand racially skeptical thinking?
THE SCOUTS...AKA THE MENTAL WARRIORS

DIAGNOSIS
Racism-denying statements really bug these folks. They really don’t understand or empathize with this thinking. Even though they may seethe or get very sad internally when such statements are made, these folks tend toward caution with engaging skeptics. They don’t have a clear plan about what will be effective, and they don’t want their reaction to hurt the moment or the relationship. As a result, they don’t usually choose to engage the skeptic. When racism or racism-skeptical thinking emerges, the Scouts/Mental Warriors often just inwardly shake their heads, sigh, and talk about it later after reporting the difficult moment to people they trust.

The mistake Scouts/Mental Warriors most fear making is engaging poorly and thus reducing the chance that they or anyone else will ever move the needle with this person. The Mental Warriors have a strong sense that engaging poorly will undermine any chance of the skeptic changing their minds at any time.

PRESCRIPTION
The growth edge for Scouts/Mental Warriors is to figure out a way to engage more frequently and do so in a way that does not confirm their fears of backlash.

GROWTH EDGE QUESTIONS FOR MENTAL WARRIORS
1. Can you recall a time when someone you loved (including yourself) thought like a racism skeptic?
2. What happens to your compassion for skeptics when you recall that American society is designed to keep racism hidden from white people?
3. Can you imagine now and then using the appearance of skepticism about racism as a learning opportunity about not only what the skeptics think, but about your own ability to ask a non-judgmental question?
4. In other areas of life outside of race, what strategies have you found successful for engaging points of view that you find unattractive?

5. Think back to a time when a skeptic did/said something problematic and you did not engage. Imagine what might have happened if you engaged the skeptic with a non-judgmental question about what experiences made them feel like that.
THE RESERVISTS...AKA THE CONTEXTUAL PLAYERS

DIAGNOSIS
Contextual Players have some handles to help them engage skeptic with a good chance of having some impact. They often have some reasonable understanding of where skeptics are coming from, and usually are not overly triggered. Sometimes they are flummoxed by the skeptics’ response and sometimes they are triggered by it. Sometimes they stay centered and have an empathetic understanding, even though they think the skeptics are wrong. Many factors matter to both their internal reaction and their behavior, including their own mood and the setting,

Their behavior is highly dependent on what is happening inside of them, as well as factors in the situation. Overall though, like all of the other ally types, they often have little confidence that their engagement – when it does happen – will produce positive results.

PRESCRIPTION
To improve their effectiveness, Contextual Players can focus on the reflective work needed to engage more frequently as well as on the analytical work to make their engagements more effective. The good news for them is that because they have a mixed response both in their thoughts/feelings and in their behaviors, they can look to themselves to find useful lessons that might help them boost their weaknesses.

GROWTH EDGE QUESTIONS FOR CONTEXTUAL PLAYERS:
For Emotions and Understanding
1. For the situations in which you mostly understand and stay centered around racial skepticism, what are the keys reasons you are able to engage the way you do?
2. What would have to happen to apply those lessons to when you don’t stay centered or don’t engage?
3. What are the key differences between the situations that have to do with your thoughts and feelings, such as your level of compassion for the person, or your mood?
4. What are the key differences between the situations that are outside
of you, such as the behavior itself, the kinds of people present, your perceptions about skeptic, or other factors?

For Effectiveness

1. What are my typical communication strategies? How effective am I at creating an encounter that feels authentic?

2. If I knew I would be rewarded for increasing my effectiveness with skeptics, what would I change about when and how I engaged them?
THE UNDERCOVER SPIES IN TRAINING…
AKA THE ZEN ACTIVISTS

DIAGNOSIS
These folks, the Zen Activists/Undercover Spies of the anti-racist ally community, are rather infrequently triggered by expressions of racial skepticism. They usually have developed a keen understanding of where skeptics are coming from. Some Undercover Spies/Zen Activists have developed this understanding through a good deal of reading about how racism-skeptical thinking is a useful byproduct of a society that replicates racial hierarchies. Others have empathy for where skeptics are coming from because they have done a good deal of internal reflection and remember thinking like this themselves. Still others can stay calm and not reactive because they have spent previous time sorting out how they could deeply love and generally respect people whose thoughts on race they find deeply troubling.

Because the Undercover Spies/Zen Activists stay centered and can connect with where skeptics are coming from, when they engage skeptics, they can often make strategic choices in guiding the conversation in ways that, over time, invite the skeptic to think differently without making them feel judged.

PRESCRIPTION
The primary thing these people should focus on is their effectiveness. The ambiguous nature of working with skeptics makes it hard to know if your engagements are doing anything, which also means its easy to overestimate one’s own impact. Since Zen Warriors/Undercover Spies represent the people who are at the pinnacle of effort, they should also spend some energy helping the vast majority of other allies who are not at the same place in their ability to engage skeptics empathetically and strategically.

GROWTH EDGE QUESTIONS FOR ZEN ACTIVISTS
1. What are things that I don’t know about skeptic’s thinking that I should ask about when engaging?
2. Could I improve the depth, breadth, and effectiveness of my storytelling when I engage skeptics? Are there experiences I can more effectively bring to bear from my past when I try to connect with skeptics?

3. Can I improve the questions I ask that are trying to help get to the heart of the matter?

4. Are there risks that I am not taking when engaging skeptics that might help me become more effective when engaging them?

5. What are some lessons about staying centered and coming from a place of empathy that I can pass on to other allies?
THE ANALYSTS......AKA THE QUIET OBSERVERS

DIAGNOSIS
You care about race relations and racial justice, but you are confused about what your proper role is or even the proper response you should have at an emotional level. You know that there are a lot of people with messed up views, but it is unclear whether it is your place to address them. For some Analysts, it is unclear whether their restrained emotional response is something to be addressed.

PRESCRIPTION
These folks care about racial equity, but too frequently stay on the sidelines as a detached observers. Their primary focus should be on going from inaction to action. If they make a decision to change their behavior, they can start by tuning in to what happens in their minds and body when they hear racially problematic statements. Do they experience anger, sadness, or frustration? Even though they rarely have a visceral reaction, they may still have a subtle physical reaction that is a signal of their connection to this issue. After noticing this reaction, Analysts can use their own reaction as a signal to themselves that it is time to engage.

GROWTH EDGE QUESTIONS FOR QUIET OBSERVERS:
1. To what extent is your emotional response on issues of race similar or different to your emotional reaction on other social issues you care about?
2. What is your fear of what would happen either inside you or within the situation if you were to respond to engage people who make statements that you define as racially problematic?
FINAL THOUGHTS FOR PART 1

Now that you have done all or most of the exercises in this section, it is time to transition to a focus on which type of interventions with allies are most important to you. Section 2 is designed to get you ready to engage a variety of racially problematic statements that racism skeptics sometimes make. After reading the overview of the section, you may want to go directly to the statements that feel the most urgency to respond to. In time, it is important that you develop strategies for addressing comments about unconscious bias and unearned racial advantage, since these specific issues are foundational to progress on white racial attitudes. But if you are more drawn to other modules initially because they come up more frequently or they just bug you more, feel free to prepare for those first. The primary problem is that allies too frequently ignore racism skeptical remarks or engage them combatively; from this project’s perspective, the most important thing allies should do is to start engaging differently on some topic. So let your passions guide the sequence.

Instead of preparing to respond to unexpected racially troubling comments, some allies will want to focus their work with skeptics on the task of intentionally taking a skeptic or two up a ladder of understanding. If this applies to you, consider skipping most of section to going directly to Section 3 (The Primer), which provides a curriculum comprising a suggested sequence of ideas that you might make the focus of conversations. You might consider reading the initial explanation of the RACE Method that comprises the first portion of Section 2, before skipping the rest of the section. Even though the Primer does not use the RACE Method specifically, the materials in Primer reflect the sensibility and general approach of the RACE Method, which is focused on creating a planned conversation that leverages active listening, empathy, and mutual storytelling.

Whether you read Section 2 and 3 next, you should be sure to read the Odds and Ends section that is Part 4. There are several instruments within the section that will deepen your practice, as well as some short essays that will help you prepare for some of the sometimes difficult interactions that you may have with both racism skeptics as well as other anti-racism allies.
Part 2 of the book focuses on preparing you to address racially problematic statements that emerge from time to time.
This workbook is based on science that indicates that the best way to produce long-run changes in how skeptics think about race and racism is to invite them into an authentic dialogue. We suggest that you manage this dialogue in a way that is natural and flexible, but also in accord with a general sequence of phases that have been proven to be most effective. We are calling this method of managing conversations about race “The RACE Method”. This term is an acronym representing a set of steps/conversation phases (Reflect, Ask, Connect, Expand) that the ally should take before and during the conversation. The phases of the conversation in the preferred sequence are:

**REFLECT** – preparing to be in listening mode, and refreshing one’s own personal stories  
**ASK** – inquiring about the experiences that have led the skeptic to their beliefs  
**CONNECT** – telling an anecdote that demonstrates some degree of similarity  
**EXPAND** – telling an anecdote that invites an awareness of race/racism

As will be discussed, there are other optional processes (mini-steps) that an ally might bring to bear, such as addressing contradictions in the skeptic's thinking, or bringing to bear facts that support the ally's view. But these additional steps are not essential to the four major phases of the RACE Method.

Fundamentally, the RACE Method involves purposely shifting the conversation away from a clash of the opinions and a battle of “facts” between allies and skeptics. Instead, the ally will create an experience-based inquiry of the issue at hand where the ally and the skeptic begin their dialogue by trying to make sense of the world based on comparing experiences. Maybe later in the set of exchanges - maybe on a different day - the conversation might begin to include external information – statistics,
mental models, references - that might be relevant to the journey of inquiry they are involved in.

This section focuses on providing you with materials for conversation with skeptics that start with racially problematic statements the skeptic may have made. The practical advice is organized in separate modules focused on strategies to engage specific statements. All of the modules are based on the RACE Method. Of course, there will be many times when the topic of race comes up in a way for which there is no RACE Method module prepared. Thus, it is important that you understand the basic structure of the RACE Method so that you can adapt to the situation at hand.

Some additional guidelines to be aware of:

● The RACE Method phases usually work best if they happen in the suggested sequence. In some cases, you may need to vary from the sequence.

● You should attempt to manage the dialogue so that it feels natural; in fact, some people may be put off if they sense that you are “running a program” on them.

● Furthermore, some skeptics will want to talk about “facts” before talking about experiences (potentially setting you both up for an unproductive debate about facts), or may want to hear about your experiences that opened your eyes before you have shared experiences that show you have some similarities to them (potentially putting you and the skeptic at odds at the start of the conversation). As you manage the conversation, you may very well have to subtly redirect the conversation flow now and then in order to keep personal experiences as a core tool for your joint effort to make sense of the topic.

● The more you practice the method, the better you will make choices about when to vary the sequence.

● In preparation for practicing the method with racism skeptics, you can practice the method with other allies.

Let’s walk through the phases one at a time.
PHASE 1: REFLECT
PREPARE FOR THE CONVERSATION, AND CENTER YOURSELF IN THE MOMENT

There are two levels of reflection – one well before the encounter with a skeptic, and one at the start of it. In improving your practice as an ally, you should spend some time thinking about your listening blockages, your counter measures to stay balanced if you start engaging unproductively, your response patterns, and the anecdotes you might bring to bear. This type of reflection is what this workbook is for.

The second level of reflection occurs in the moment when a racism-denying or racist statement happens. You are likely to respond more effectively if you take a moment to compose yourself and quickly bring to mind things you have reflected on before, such as your listening challenges and relevant anecdotes that might be relevant. Some people can do these things by taking a deep breath, while others may need to go to the bathroom, refresh your drink, or take a short break from the conversation. No matter which works for you, re-centering yourself through a moment of reflection leads to better outcomes when you engage.

After you have done some work to augment your general listening skills, there is additional reflective work to do to prepare to talk about specific issues related to race and racism. Each module here has reflection questions that can prepare you for talking to skeptics. Since race is a very broad topic and you have had hundreds or thousands of experiences with it, this is not a one-time process. Just as people of color are on a never-ending journey to become more effective at dealing with the varieties of racism, your journey as a white ally is also never ending. As they say, it’s a marathon, not a sprint.

You should think about which racism-denying statements you most want to engage a skeptic around, and prepare for them specifically. The modules below provide specific guidance about how to use the RACE Method with respect to specific statements, and include the reflection questions you should think about before you engage a skeptic on these topics. Over time,
the number of statements that are addressed by this project (both the workbook and the website) will grow. Once you understand the basics of the method, you can potentially follow the phases of the RACE Method to create your own steps for preparing for and navigating encounters with racism skeptics on additional topics.

**THE KEY STEPS WITHIN THE REFLECT PHASE**

1. It is useful to be specific as you prepare to respond to racially problematic statements. For example, the two statements “White privilege does not exist” in contrast to “People of color create most of their own economic problems” may affect you very differently on an emotional level, and require different strategies of engagement through conversation. You should think about the statements you most want to be ready for, and prioritize preparing to respond to them specifically. And since there are many racially problematic statements, preparing to respond to them is an long-term ongoing practice.

2. In your pre-reflection, you should become aware of the self-management strategies you will need to call upon to stay in empathetic listening mode. These will help you during the Ask phase, when you need to be ready to hear perspectives that will likely bother you.

3. When a skeptic makes a racially problematic statement, your goal is to identify something within it that you can align yourself with. Depending on the sentiment and your own history, your point of alignment may be that you used to think like that yourself. If that is not true, you can look for something within the statement that is true and that you do not totally disagree with. (For example: the statement “Police treat everyone fairly” includes the idea the obviously true statement, “All police are not bad.” The statement, “People of color often face challenges because of bad choices,” includes the obviously true statement, “Personal decisions matter to people’s economic outcomes.”)

4. With respect to a specific racially-troubling statement, jot some notes about one or two experiences that illustrate some aspect of the statement that you can agree with. These will be the stories you
will tell during the Connect phase. It can be useful to think of these anecdotes as having a few key story elements, such as the set up, the key moment, and the takeaway. Having this clear conception of the anecdote helps you have the flexibility of telling the story at different durations (for example, 45 seconds versus 3 minutes) so you can adapt to different situations. Some allies even turn the practice of relaying their anecdotes into an important part of their anti-racist practice.

5. In addition to developing at least one Connect anecdote that will help a skeptic feel aligned with you at least partially, you will develop at least one Expand story that reflects your current awareness that racism is real and something that needs more attention. If your Connect story demonstrates that you used to think like the skeptic does now, it can be very useful for your Expand story (also known as the “But later, I realized” story) to capture a moment when your more enhanced understanding of race came into focus. If you do not have such a transformation story, your Expand story might simply recount an experience that reflects your understanding of race/racism. It is best if your anecdote is something that happened to you personally, but it might be a second-hand experience from someone you trust. The critical thing is that your anecdote be reasonably compelling, and your takeaway is a reasonable lesson from the experience. As with the Connect story, you may want to practice telling the story at different durations.

6. There are two optional additions to the Expand Phase. One possibility is to raise some underlying issues that may seem like contradictions to the skeptic but are actually are not. This may be as simple as saying something like: “Maybe it’s possible that ‘the vast majority of cops have good intentions’ and it’s also true that ‘unconscious bias still affects many cops.’ Getting clear about how you articulate seeming contradictions to foster a skeptic’s understanding should be part of what you reflect on in preparation.

7. Another possibility with the Expand phase is to add a few relevant facts that will support your perspective. You don’t want to overload yourself with these facts, because doing so will push you to try to base your conversation strategy on the delivery of facts. As we discussed in
Part 1, facts are only effective if the person is open-minded, and this may not happen until much later in your journey of dialogue with the skeptic.
The overall goal of the Ask phase is to shift the focus of the skeptic from their beliefs about race/racism to the experiences that are animating their beliefs. If they have made a racially problematic statement – or a racist statement – and you have decided to engage them, your goal is not primarily to rebut the remark, although you might overtly demur from it, depending on its severity. If you decide to try to create a moment of engagement, your first goal is to invite them to freely express as much of their authentic views about race as you are comfortable hearing. After a brief additional focus on their beliefs, your primary goal is to move the conversation through the skeptic’s beliefs to focus on the experiences that have founded their beliefs. These experiences may be long ago – like what they were taught growing up – or they might be events that have happened relatively recently.

One thing that is important to decide is how much you will push the skeptic to go beneath the surface of their beliefs. In considering this approach, it is important to keep in mind a harsh reality: a significant portion of white people will tell anonymous pollsters on the telephone that they think that people of color (especially blacks but also Hispanics) are some combination of intellectually inferior, more innately criminal, or lazier than white people.¹

Nowadays, people know that expressing these views to people they are interacting with will subject them to being accused of being a “racist,” which is widely considered to be morally repugnant and socially unacceptable. The fact that large portions of the white public hold these views of people of color but rarely discuss them openly makes it extremely difficult to have an honest conversation about racial equity.

¹ For example, a 2014 study the University of Illinois found that about 22 percent of whites think that whites are more intelligent than blacks and 33 percent reported thinking that blacks are less hardworking. Citation: http://igpa.uillinois.edu/programs/racial-attitudes
White allies are uniquely positioned to get skeptics to own up to the beliefs they have that are troubling to the ideal of racial equity, and may be troubling even to those who hold these views. But in any specific encounter, it does not make sense for you to push them to express these underlying beliefs if you will only judge them after they do so. You need to make conscious choices about how deep you want to go. For two of the modules (law enforcement and economic opportunity) we provide probes and reflections for you if you think you want to probe for these more deeply biased views.

It is not critical to go to these deeper places to effectively work with a skeptic on their racism-denying beliefs. There are people with racially progressive beliefs who hold deep-seated views about the inferiority of some groups of color. This demonstrate that progress on people’s beliefs about racism can be made even while people hold these views of people of color they don’t like to discuss.

The most important thing is to not open up this door to deeper beliefs about people of color if going there will prevent you staying in a stance of non-judgment about them.

**THE KEY STEPS WITHIN THE ASK PHASE**

1. Once you hear a racially problematic statement emerge, you should assess how suitable the setting is for you to try to engage in some dialogue, let it go, or make a “rejoinder” that expresses your disagreement but is not really an invitation to more dialogue. (In the Odds and Ends section at the end of the workbook there is a Ladder of Retorts that gives you some options for different ways to respond.

---

10 I listened to my Jewish father talk about the schvartze, and how they were going to try to come in and steal everything. So I grew up with a lot of narratives. I recently had a black family move into my neighborhood. And even thought I stanchly want to be an ally, all of these things come up. “There goes the neighborhood. The property values are going to go down. Dammit those people are loud. They talk 10 times louder than white people What’s up with them? The dogs are barking all day long…don’t they ever training their dogs? Why don’t they fix the muffler on their car?” So the point is if you want to be an ally, I think that you have to admit that you have those voices and you have to give other people the permission to have those voices. I think the important work is that we identify our own unconscious bias. – Participant in White Ally Toolkit Workshop, Pittsfield MA
to racially problematic statements). As you make this assessment, you should consider issues such as time, level of privacy, atmosphere, your and the skeptic’s moods, your relationship with the skeptic, and so on.

2. Think about how deeply you want to pursue their views about race, and their feelings toward people of color.

3. Ask questions to learn more about their beliefs about race/racism. Pay attention to how skeptical they are that racism matters in the situation you talking about. If you decide to go deeper, you might also ask questions about their beliefs about people of color that they might feel uncomfortable expressing.

4. Don’t focus the conversation too long on their beliefs. Instead, shift your questions to inquire about an experience or two that they think validates their beliefs.

5. Make a strong effort to let them know you are not judging them for their beliefs, even if you may feel very differently. If you are judging them, try to hide it. Let them know that you find their experiences interesting to hear about.
PHASE 3: CONNECT
EVEN THOUGH YOU SEE RACIAL ISSUES RATHER DIFFERENTLY FROM THEM, SHARE AN ANECDOTE OR TWO LIKELY TO HAVE SOME RESONANCE WITH THEM THAT IS RELEVANT TO THE TOPIC.

Your primary goal in this phase is to demonstrate to the skeptic that you are someone who has some level of alignment with them. As noted above, most racism-minimizing views encompass some belief about reality that is actually true. However, many racism skeptics have been taught to think of these beliefs as antithetical to the idea that racism against people of color is a real problem. Thus, for many skeptics – especially ideologically conservative ones – their perspectives about race are part of a larger battle between what they see as healthy conservative values (such as hard work, obeying authority, merit, and uniform standards, to name a few) and the liberal attack on these values. In this phase of the dialogue, your goal is to offer a story or two that make it harder for them to see you as the enemy of these values, because you are connecting with them on some piece of their viewpoint.

If their racism-denying point of view is something that you used to believe, you can potentially create a moment of connection even stronger than when you have to find one truth embedded in their viewpoint. If at some point in your past, you held the view they have now, your best bet may be to let them know that. You can do that just by describing this, but often it is more powerful to convey a brief anecdote that illustrates that you formerly thought this way. This may as simple as recounting a conversation that happened long ago. The key is to tell the story in a way that does not convey judgment of yourself in the past, which means that you are not judging them now.

THE KEY STEPS WITHIN THE CONNECT PHASE
1. Share a brief story or two that aligns with as much of the skeptic’s views as you authentically can.
I listened to my Jewish father talk about the schvartze, and how they were going to try to come in and steal everything. So I grew up with a lot of narratives. I recently had a black family move into my neighborhood. And even though I stanchly want to be an ally, all of these things come up. “There goes the neighborhood. The property values are going to go down. Dammit those people are loud. They talk 10 times louder than white people What’s up with them? The dogs are barking all day long... don’t they ever training their dogs? Why don’t they fix the muffler on their car?” So the point is if you want to be an ally, I think that you have to admit that you have those voices and you have to give other people the permission to have those voices. I think the important work is that we identify our own unconscious bias.

Participant in White Ally Toolkit Workshop, Pittsfield MA
2. If you have ever thought like they did, describe an experience that illustrates your thinking this way. (Do not frame your prior perspective as “stupid” or “unenlightened.”) Remember, you are not saying everything they believe about race is true. Rather, for a brief moment, you are telling them that you agree with a small piece of what they believe, or that you used to think like they did.

3. If you have external data or facts that validate the part of the issue you agree on, share this information. (For instance, if you are dealing with the statement “No one is racist anymore,” it may be helpful to state your knowledge of national opinion survey data showing how much racial bigotry has declined in the past 50 years).  

4. If it will not be perceived as weird, create a moment – even if it is very brief moment of eye contact - where you and the skeptic are taking in the fact that you do have some agreement on an aspect of the issue.

This last point is largely the door to the rest of the conversation. It is important to make the skeptic feel that you place some importance on the point of agreement. In most instances, this can happen non verbally, perhaps with a brief pause and direct eye contact. Your intention is create a brief moment where you are sharing in the sense of alignment. Remember, you are about to invite them to expand their thinking. No matter how gently you do this, there is some chance they will experience this as telling them their prior thinking has been wrong. Before you do this, it is important that they know you feel that they have been right about something.

---

11 For example, in the portion of whites who oppose laws prohibiting inter-racial marriage was less than 38 percent in 1963, , and is more than about 90 percent now. Similarly, the portion of whites who thought that blacks and whites should attend the same schools was about 50% in 1955, and is about 95% now. Citation: [http://igpa.uillinois.edu/programs/racial-attitudes](http://igpa.uillinois.edu/programs/racial-attitudes)
PHASE 4: EXPAND
RELATE YOUR EXPERIENCES THAT SUGGEST A BROADER UNDERSTANDING OF RACE/RACISM THAN THEY HAVE NOW

By this point, you have established that each of you have had personal experiences with legitimate sources of truth, wisdom, and insight. You have also established that you are not some raving liberal apologist who enables the dysfunctional behavior of people of color, but rather that in some way you actually agree with at least some specific element of how they view the situation. The next step is to share one or two stories that illustrate the larger truth about race and racism that you see. Ideally, these should be first-person experiences. A less preferred but still useful option is to recount a second-hand experience that might have affected you deeply when you first heard it. Your objective in telling the stories is to try to help the skeptic see that if the same experiences had happened to them, they might have come to a similarly expanded understanding of how racism works.

It is best if you have at least two stories in your arsenal. If you told a “I used to think like that” story (i.e. a “I Confess” anecdote), it will be useful to not only relate an experience linked to your “waking up”, but also one that shows that you still have experiences that confirm your new view. If your Connect story focused on one embedded truth within their overall perspective (i.e. an “I Concur” story), it will still be helpful if you have more than one anecdote that animates your view that race/racism matters. A skeptic may try to frame your first story as anomalous or invalid for some other reason. Having a second story – even if it is a secondhand one - can be helpful.

THE KEY STEPS WITHIN THE EXPAND PHASE
1. Assess which personal experiences you have had that are most suitable, given the topic, setting, and dispositions of the skeptic. Decide whether the longer or shorter version of the stories is most suitable given the setting, your level of connection with the skeptic, their apparent ability to listen, and similar factors.
2. Tell you anecdote(s), all the while noting how much the skeptic is engaged.

3. If the skeptic wants to argue with your conclusions, it may be necessary to gently remind them that you are not trying to make them believe anything. Instead you are simply sharing experiences that you have had that led you to see things not seen before.

4. If you think it has a reasonable chance of success, think about asking the skeptic whether they have ever had an experience that tend to support your perspective. Such as question might look like:

5. Have you ever seen a time when a cop gave a white person a big break when they did not have to?

6. Even if you don’t feel this all of the time, have you ever felt grateful that you were not a person of color given how many of them seem to constantly wonder how they will be received?

7. Has there been a time in the last 10 years when a white person surprised you with how unabashed they were about their dislike of an entire racial/ethnic group?

Note: the strategy of asking a skeptic for experiences that align with your view should be used only when you sense that the skeptic has actually joined you in an open-minded inquiry into the issue. It is easy for this strategy to activate either your or the skeptic’s argumentative impulses, which is not helpful to your goal of a joint dialogic inquiry into the topic.
EXPAND – EXTENSION 1
EXPLORING THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

In many circumstances, it will useful to take a break from the story telling and lift up a question that expands the view of a situation. This step will more likely be useful for people who are conceptual thinkers or who have a bent toward curiosity. The purpose of this step, if you take it, is to get their agreement that your conversation may be uncovering an additional truth that is not completely at odds with what you have already agreed on. One of the most direct expressions of this is in the form of:

Can X be true, AND also Y also be true?

Here are some examples of what a question like this might look like:

- Is it possible that the vast majority of police are fair AND a significant minority treat POCs differently some of the time?
- Could it be that many poor people of color make bad choices AND there are fewer opportunities available for many people of color than for many white people?
- Is it possible that people can have no conscious negative views of other groups AND still have biases against them that they are not aware of?

By trying to expand the conversation in this way, you are signaling that you are not trying to refute their position, particularly the part you agreed to. Rather, you are trying to add a new truth, that acknowledges racism, to the collective understanding of truth that you and the skeptic share.

Sometimes, raising these conceptual questions can help get their buy-in for more dialogue and future exploration of the topic.

This strategy of exploring the seeming contradictions can be used before telling your Expand story, or after the expand story to help put a “bow” around the racial dialogue conversation you have just had. In each of the modules on specific topics, we provide some possible
questions that might expand the conversation.

THE KEY STEPS WITHIN EXPAND EXTENSION 1

1. Make a decision about whether this is a situation where raising a “broader view” question is likely to enhance or derail the momentum of the conversation.

2. Think about which specific question is most appropriate, given what you have already shared and which stories you think you might bring to bear next.

3. Raise the question, and try (not too hard) to get them to assert that it is a somewhat interesting question.
EXPAND – EXTENSION 2
HIGHLIGHT DATA, FACTS, OR ILLUSTRATIONS THAT SUPPORT A BROADER VIEW

If the skeptic has joined you with a spirit of some curiosity about your conversation, there may come a point when it is useful to go beyond direct experience as a way of furthering your point that racism against people of color is a thing. This is when you can bring into the conversation facts, data, research findings, and other similar information.

Our hope is that white allies prepare for such moments, and have practiced relating a fact that powerfully drives home the idea that a specific aspect racism is real. It would be great if you have many facts to choose from. But it is vital that the skeptic feels that you are not trying to beat them into submission with facts. Many people who are skeptical of racism are defending a position they have deep emotional attachments to. If you are clearly attempting to “blow them away” with overwhelming evidence, the Backfire Effect might only become stronger and roll back the progress you may have made through sharing experiences.

A few points to keep in mind:

● Skeptics will more likely accept your facts if you have earlier brought up facts that support some part of their point of view.

● Polling data often can make compelling points to skeptics who are open to science.

● Large scale social science experiments (e.g. sending out hundreds of resumes to employers and testing whether “ethnic sounding” names get a difference response from “white sounding” names) can sometimes make powerful points.

THE KEY STEPS WITHIN EXPAND EXTENSION 2

1. Take a mental note of facts (or findings, analogies, etc.) that you can easily and comfortably relay that seem relevant to the conversation.

2. Choose 2-3 that seem to best support your attempt to broaden the skeptics view.
3. Float one fact, and note how effective it seemed in keeping the skeptic’s mind open.

4. If deploying a fact seems useful, bring another to bear when the time seems appropriate.

Note: Though allies often find them compelling, think carefully about using analogies, metaphors, allegories, and conceptualizations. Sometimes, these rhetorical strategies are extremely useful in helping novice new allies think in a new way about race. On the other hand, you need to carefully think through whether rhetorical strategies that are very powerful to anti-racist allies are in fact helpful when engaging racism skeptics. As helpful as the right metaphor or example can be in illuminating the complexities of race and racism for some allies, many of these are only powerful if the listener already believes that racism is real.
PART TWO
RACE METHOD

CLOSING THE CONVERSATION

It is important not to stay in this conversation to the point of diminishing returns. Remember, you are not likely to change someone’s perspective about racism in one sitting – though of course this happens now and then. Most likely, to affect the skeptic, you will need to engage them in multiple conversations as you try to shift deeply ingrained views.

A critical point to remember is that a skeptic will have more difficulty getting out of denial about racism if they sense that you are trying to change their entire ideological worldview. You should only be trying to get them out of their denial about race and racism raised in the current conversation. (This will be discussed a bit more in Odds and Ends.)

Your goal is not to get them to admit defeat to your superior logic; instead, you are trying to get them to be honestly intrigued by the possibility that the world is somewhat more complex than they had previously thought.

Even on the specific topic you are discussing, your goal is not to get them to admit defeat to your superior logic; instead, you are trying to get them to be honestly intrigued by the possibility that the world is somewhat more complex than they had previously thought.

Doing that may mean articulating a question that positions your conversation as a joint attempt to make sense of things. A straightforward one is: “Given everything we have said, how do you think that we should try to make sense of all of this?” Whether or not you raise a question that intrigues the skeptic, you should consider whether you want to try to get them to have additional conversations with you.
These steps may be helpful as you try to close the conversation

1. Assess whether the skeptic would likely find a thought-provoking question to be the best way to end the conversation. If so, ask it to the skeptic as you attempt to wrap up the conversation.

2. No matter how you transition away from the conversation, convey your appreciation to the person for being willing to share their experiences, and for listening to your experiences.

3. Remember, you are not trying to claim a victory; you are also not trying to transform their entire worldview and ideology.

4. Tell them that you would like to talk more about this topic again. If you can say so sincerely, tell them you don’t have conversations like this as often as you would like to, that you have enjoyed it, and you hope they did too.

5. Unless doing so would seem weird to you or them, make some actual plan to talk again.

DEBRIEFING YOUR ENGAGEMENT

Having covered this much ground in conversations with the skeptic, it is useful to do some reflection on which tactics seemed to increase the skeptic's engagement, and which did not. You might do this in writing. Hopefully, you are connected with other allies who are also trying to improve their engagement of racism skeptics; if so, having a conversation with them might be helpful.

Some questions that you should consider as part of your debriefing:

1. Were there moments when your listening skills were better or worse than others? What lessons can you draw about how you can be a more effective listener?
2. Which point of agreement did you focus on in your Connect stories? How effective was your storytelling in creating the feeling of alignment? Might a different point of agreement have produced a different outcome?

3. How did your attempt to expand the skeptic’s view go? What stories did you tell? Is there something that you might have done differently to be more effective?
4. Were there any subtle moves in the exchange outside of the storytelling that seemed to increase or decrease the skeptic’s engagement?

The rest of this part of the workbook focuses on applying the RACE Method to specific racism-denying sentiments that skeptics often express.
What follows are eight modules that provide guidance about applying the RACE method to specific sentiments that racism skeptics sometimes say. The modules provide a clear but flexible plan for how to manage the dialogue journey, which will probably last more than one conversation. Each module includes example questions that you might raise with the skeptic as well as reflection questions that can help you construct first- or second-hand brief anecdotes that you can use in the conversation. For the most part, each module follows the sequence of steps outlined in the RACE method.

The goal of the modules is to give you an engagement strategy for addressing a troubling statement – in the moment or later - instead of simply mentally labeling the person as “racist” or “racially backward” and either attacking them or doing nothing.

The eight sentiments included the Spring 2018 edition of the workbook are:

1. “Racism means having intentionally negative views of other groups. I am colorblind. So if I don’t have conscious negative intent, I don’t have to worry about being racist.”
2. “Nowadays, there are very few advantages to being white. I am not ‘privileged’”.
3. “Very few people are consciously racist anymore.”
4. “Slavery and segregation were a long time ago. We should not talk about them any more and just move on.”
5. “The economic problems of people of color are primarily due to the bad choices that they make.”
6. “If people of color would just act properly, law enforcement would treat them fairly.”
7. “It is vital that America drastically reduce the level of immigration so that we can return to our cultural greatness.”

8. “Those athletes and others protesting should be grateful they live in America and should not disrespect the nation and the flag by kneeling during the National Anthem.”

The modules follow a similar format, which is reviewed and explained below.

**ALTERNATIVE ARTICULATIONS**

For many of the modules, you will see alternative ways that this sentiment gets expressed. Your objective is not to listen for the exact words, but rather to be attuned to moments when the core sentiment is expressed. (Most allies will recognize these sentiments – even when expressed subtly – because they often have a visceral reaction to them). When these ideas are expressed, you should make a decision about whether this moment has the potential of being a good starting place for a dialogue journey with the skeptic. If this is not the best moment, you might let them know that you will get back to them later – then you can refer to the relevant module, and prepare for the next time you will talk to them.

For many of the statements, there is an overview that conveys some relevant guidelines about the way that skeptics tend to view this issue. This information is meant to give you broad thematic guidance about your strategy for engaging the issue. In some cases, this discussion will focus on ideas that allies often spend energy fighting skeptics about unnecessarily; it is important to not let the conversation energy exhaust itself while pursuing the wrong goal. In some cases, this will include the most likely elements of Connect and Expand anecdotes.
STEP 1: REFLECT

As discussed in Part 1, it is important that you do some reflection on what kind of statements are “hot buttons” for you and are likely to impede your attentive and empathetic listening. (Hot buttons are issues that, when raised, throw you enough off your center that you are subject to making flawed moment-to-moment decisions). You should also reflect on what strategies you should take to recover if you are thrown off balance. Some topics are more likely to cause you emotional upset than others. As was discussed in Part 1, it is vital for you to reflect on your listening challenges, and remind yourself of strategies to stay in empathetic listening mode when skeptics say things that are hard to hear. Some allies have found that each racism-denying sentiment has specific hot button statements that are likely to emerge in conversation. You should reflect on whether each of these issues reveals emotional landmines for you. Having said that, the Reflect description in the modules that follow will assume you will do the appropriate amount of reflection on these issues for each topic.

The module will proceed by providing reflection questions for four types of anecdotes that you should consider bringing to bear for each racially problematic statement.

CONNECT ANECDOTE TYPE 1
These are anecdotes in which you identify some embedded element of the speaker’s perspective that you find to have some merit. Each module will provide some reflection questions that attempt to get you to reflect on potentially meritorious ideas embedded within a skeptic’s overall racism-minimizing perspective. The hope is that you can find an anecdote that conveys your alignment with a true idea buried within the skeptics point of view. We will refer to this as the “I exactly half agree with you” or an “I Concur” story. (Some allies have found this phrase to be an intriguing way of signifying partial agreement with somebody at the beginning of a conversation).
CONNECT ANECDOTE TYPE 2
These are anecdotes where you convey that you understand much of the skeptic's overall perspective because you used to think that way yourself. This will only apply in some cases, because many allies never looked at the issue in the way that the skeptic they are talking to does now. But when an ally can authentically convey that they have empathy for a way a skeptic is thinking because they used to think that way themselves, the conversation often can make significant progress. If you can non-judgmentally look back at your own previous perspective – and thus at the skeptic's current view – you can enhance the sense of emotional connection with the person. Perhaps more importantly, you can also present your current perspective as one that is merely enhanced by more information and experience. Depicting your subsequent racism-acknowledging position in this way can help the skeptic see that changing their position is not bending to your will, but rather going down a developmental path based on learning new information. We will refer to this anecdote as the “I used to think that way” story or an “I Confess” story.

EXPAND ANECDOTE TYPE 1
These anecdotes are the flip side of the “I used to think that way” stories. Ideally these stories vividly capture some experience that was part of your becoming more “woke” to some aspect of the issue being discussed – usually, this is a reality that is based on seeing issues of race and racism that were previously invisible to you. It is best if this anecdote can be highlighted in a specific personal story that has a powerful moment when your new understanding came together. In reality, sometimes white allies’ new understandings are baked over a period of time through many experiences - in a class, conversations with people, or by consuming media. You are not encouraged to lie about your experience. However, most people will be more impacted by the story of a specific moment when a new realization came together powerfully, even if that moment was preceded by reading, a class, several conversations, or some set of gradually accumulating experiences. The reflection questions for this type of anecdote try to get you to recall an experience when your new understanding came to a head. We will refer to this as your “But later, I realized” story.
EXPAND ANECDOTE TYPE 2
These anecdotes are ones that you might share to make the point that you have had experiences that validate your view of the issue at hand. If you had a “But later, I realized’ story, this anecdote will likely have occurred later than that. You will relate these anecdotes to convey to the skeptic that you have had or are aware of personal experiences that tend to support your view of the issue and tend to not support some of theirs. The reflection questions are designed to help you think through your experiences in the hopes that you can find anecdotes that are clear, compelling, and persuasive to most people. We will refer to these anecdotes as the “Why I see it this way” stories.

The reflection questions will be presented in clusters by their type. There will be space to take notes on the questions that seem most compelling, and perhaps to start constructing your anecdotes.

STEP 2: ASK
As discussed, your goal in this critical step is to get the skeptic to discuss some experiences that animate their point of view. The module will provide questions that have a good chance of being fruitful, given the patterns in how racism skeptics tend to think about these issues. This section of each module includes initial questions that help you probe their beliefs beyond what they might have initially said. Your core objective is to get at the experiences behind the beliefs; most of the questions focus on experiences.

Each module also includes space for additional questions that you think might be useful.

STEP 3: CONNECT
After you have attentively listened to the skeptic convey an experience or two that lies beneath their belief, you want to turn the conversation to anecdotes that will help you connect with them. In the Connect section, the module gives you space to jot down the core elements of the anecdotes.
you plan to use based on the Reflect questions that have been provided. To assist with your clarity in thinking about and telling the anecdote, the module will provide space for you to jot down the set-up, the key moment, and the take-away from the anecdote. Having clarity about these points will be helpful in order for you to tell your anecdote compellingly and in multiple lengths as the circumstance warrants.

### STEP 4: EXPAND

After you have solidified your connection with the skeptic through sharing anecdotes, the RACE method suggests you will shift the conversation to one or more stories that embody your perspective that race/racism does matter to a reasonable understanding of the topic. The module will highlight key possible takeaways from your anecdote, and provide space to jot down the core elements of the story.

In some modules (e.g. Patriotism, Slavery/Jim Crow), it is suggested that you take the skeptic through a multi-staged back and forth. This will be briefly reviewed in the Overview Notes and more extensively addressed in the Expand explanation.

#### EXPAND – EXTENSION 1: GETTING PAST THE SEEMING CONTRADICTION

Many racism skeptics often look at race-related issues in a defensive way, in that they are subject to thinking that the existence of racism potentially obliterates everything they understand about how people and institutions work. As mentioned in Part 1, your position is not that racism is the dominant factor in every interaction, but rather that racism often matters to what happens in interactions. The objective of the RACE method is to use the sharing of experiences to convey the message that you don’t think the skeptic’s view of the world is completely wrong, but rather that you have come to learn about an additional factor (race/racism) that helps explain situations more fully.
For some people, it will be helpful to explicitly articulate these factors at a conceptual level. You may find that it is helpful to remind them that the idea that racism sometimes matters does not destroy their conception of the world. For example, it is possible that most police officers are good AND that unconscious racial bias too frequently affects how people of color are treated. In some cases, it is helpful to explicitly float the possibility that something the skeptic typically views as the exact opposite of racism may not, in fact, be contradicted by the existence of racism. Posing this question, just after you have provided experiential evidence, is sometimes powerful in opening people’s minds to the idea that racism sometimes matters.

To help your task of moving the skeptic forward, each module includes a table that frames some beliefs that skeptics have as potentially co-existing with racism-acknowledging beliefs. For some people, articulating things this way will be helpful; for others, doing so may be counter-productive. The hope is that you will experiment with such articulations, and pay attention to lessons you learn about when such articulations are helpful and when they are not.

At the end of some modules are a few additional notes that you may find helpful.
COMBATING RACISM DENIAL:
THE MODULES

RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 1:
“RACISM MEANS HAVING INTENTIONALLY NEGATIVE VIEWS OF OTHER GROUPS. SO IF I DON’T HAVE CONSCIOUS NEGATIVE INTENT, I DON’T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT BEING RACIST.”

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:
- “I have a lot of friends of color, so I can’t be racist.”
- “I am colorblind.”
- “Racist applies to people like the KKK.”
- “All of this talk of unconscious racism is really aimed at making white people feel guilty for something they did not do.”

POTENTIAL KEY POINTS FOR YOUR CONNECT STORIES
1. Intentions are important, and not having negative intentions is an important thing to recognize.
2. Given that many people were exposed to negative messages about POCs, white people who have resisted consciously embracing these messages should be acknowledged for this.
3. Many people were taught to be colorblind by adults; this teaching has some value at some points in childhood.
4. There has been progress over the decades with fewer white people having hostile feelings about people of color.
5. Sometimes, assertions that white people are racist are inaccurate. On occasions, these assertions are made cynically.
POTENTIAL KEY POINTS FOR YOUR EXPAND STORIES

1. Most white people were exposed to negative messages about POCs. Many – perhaps most people – absorbed some of these messages at least a little. Doing so does not make you a bad person.

2. It is possible to absorb these messages in ways that are below your conscious awareness. That is, unconscious racism is a real thing.

3. Sometimes, good-hearted white people mentally turn people of color into people from “other groups”, and this can happen consciously, semi-consciously, or unconsciously.

4. It is healthy to admit that these messages have affected you, and we should not shame ourselves or others for doing so. (There will more discussion of shame in Odds and Ends). In fact, admitting these messages exist is key to reducing their effect on us.

5. It is possible to believe that one is “colorblind” and still unconsciously think of people in some racial groups as “other.”

6. Even though the teaching to be colorblind can have value at some point in childhood, this idea can also lead people to not recognize some important ways that their own and other people’s perceptions actually function.

Note: This module will prompt you to try to formulate three Connect and three Expand stories. The concept of othering/unconscious bias is one of the most important in modern race relations, so it is suggested that you put extra effort into creating engagement strategies about this topic.

STEP 1: REFLECT

Reflection Questions for “I exactly half agree with you”/”I concur” anecdotes

1. Describe an experience where you realized there was a difference between two white people in their level of bad intentions toward people of color. (It is OK if one of the persons is you).

2. As you were growing up, did you ever resist teachings by any adults that you should harbor negative feelings against a different racial group?
3. If you have ever observed an experience where a white person was accused of having bad intentions against a person of color and you thought this was unfair, jot some notes about it.

4. If you were taught to be “colorblind” by your parents and you tried to follow this teaching, make a few notes about what you were taught and how you tried to follow this guidance.

5. When it came down to open discussions about race in your family and friendship circles, how was this issue discussed?

6. When did you first notice that you were receiving messages that encouraged you to see some people of color as “other”? (These messages may have come from family, friends, the media, or other sources). What were some of these messages?

7. How did feel about these messages at the time? Did you ever feel: a sense of pride in your group? Relief that you were not in another group? Pity for other groups? Angry at the messengers?

8. If you have recent examples of a person of color having an unconscious bias against a white person, make some notes about it here.

Reflection Questions For “I Used To Feel That Way”/”I Confess” Anecdotes

1. If you can remember having resistance to the idea of unconscious bias before you grew in your acceptance of it, make a few notes that will allow you to capture your previous sense of disbelief.

2. Within your family circles, how was the idea of “othering people” or unconscious bias discussed? Within your friendship circles?

Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes

1. What was a formative experience that taught you that unconscious bias outside the context of race matters?

2. What was a formative experience that taught you that unconscious racial bias matters?

3. Can you remember an early experience where you noticed that you had an unconscious bias against a group? How did you learn this? How did you feel? Was there a part of you that wanted to reject the idea
that this was possible? Do you remember a time when this happened because of race?

4. Can you remember an early time when you mentally turned people from a different group (maybe not race-based) into “other”?

**Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes**

1. Do you have any recent stories that powerfully illustrate someone having unconscious bias against a POC?

2. Do you have any stories within the past 10 years that illustrate another white person looking at a POC as “other” even if only for a moment?

3. Have you ever noticed yourself mentally turning a POC into an “other”?

4. Do you ever have responses to people in other racial groups that you don’t like to admit to yourself?

5. Have you ever noticed yourself having an emotional reaction to POC that may differs from how you feel about similar white people- feeling more afraid of POCs, for example? More superior?

6. Have you ever noticed yourself acting differently towards POCs than you do towards white people?

7. Have you ever come to the conclusion that the narrative of “I am colorblind” actually can be harmful to your or other people’s self-awareness?

8. Have you ever noticed a situation where a white person appeared to see a person of color as “other” but had little awareness of this?

**STEP 2: ASK QUESTIONS THAT DRAW OUT THE SKEPTIC’S PERSPECTIVE AND THE EXPERIENCES BEHIND IT.**

**Potentially useful experience questions to ask your skeptic**

1. What were you taught about race and bias growing up? Were you taught to be colorblind, and if so, how much did this teaching help and/or hurt you? Were there any differences in the views of the adults who were in a position to influence you?

2. Did you ever consciously attempt to ensure that you had no biases against any group? Why did you do this?
3. When it came down to open discussions in your family and friendship circles, how were these issues discussed?

4. Have you ever seen a white person being accused of having a bias against a person of color that you thought was misguided?

5. What do you think about the idea of unconscious bias? What is an experience that helped solidify your point of view about this?

STEP 3: SHARE AN EXPERIENCE THAT CONNECTS TO THE SKEPTIC’S PERSPECTIVE

Use the following space to clarify the anecdotes that you will tell to connect to a piece of the skeptic’s view.

Connect Anecdote One

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Two

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Note: One potential concern of racism skeptics is that the idea of unconscious bias will produce a level of self-doubt that is irritating. This has some truth of course – if you know about the possibility of unconscious bias and you are committed to treating people equally, there is more for you to think about. If the skeptic raises this concern, it is valuable to
not initially rebut this observation. Later in the conversation, you can come back to the idea that this additional complexity is worth the trouble because of your commitment to treating everyone with the respect they deserve.

**STEP 4: EXPAND**

An option before you tell your Expand anecdote(s):
You will need to make a decision about whether it may be useful to probe them about if and when they have experienced bias because of some characteristic that is not about race. Recognizing an experience they have had of non-racial bias may help them overcome their resistance to the idea of racial bias. The question might be phrased something like this:

*Leaving race aside, have you ever been in a situation where you felt that someone’s behavior toward you was biased because of who you were, and they were not even aware of it?*

Many thoughtful people will have at least one example, because bias is such a pervasive phenomenon. Though you don’t want the conversation to run out of steam here, if they have an answer, you might ask a follow up probe about whether there were others who observed this situation who did not think bias was occurring. The purpose of this follow up is to establish that even in cases where the target is confident bias is at work, both the perpetrator and some bystanders to the situation might disagree.

Put your notes about the Expand Anecdotes below.

**Expand Anecdote 1**

**Describe the situation:**

**Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:**
Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote 2

Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote 3

Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:


Clarify the takeaway:

Make sure you manage the time and energy in this conversation so that it does not run out of steam. Your primary goal is to shift from the Connect story to relating at least one anecdote to establish that you – a good-hearted white person – has been someone who has acted on an unconscious bias.

For this issue, it can be especially useful to have one story in which you concluded that you were operating based on a bias, and one in which you notice another white person's bias affecting their response to a person of color. If the white person did not notice this until it was pointed out to them and later realized it, so much the better.

It is useful to have a couple of stories about unconscious bias that do not involve you. Many skeptics are subject to thinking that whites who think racism is real have been duped by a progressive rhetorical regime that blames guilty white liberals for all of the self-inflicted problems of people of color. Your having stories of about unconscious bias being recognized by other whites helps counter that ideas. In the best case scenario, the skeptic will get the message that bias is something that is not only recognized by you and other white people, but also that there is a recognition by increasing numbers of whites and people of color that even small unintended bias can have a big impact.

If you have one or more of these stories, try to bring some vividness to the point of view of the person of color in the story. Your overall message is that unconscious bias is a relatively small and easy to commit offense by well-meaning white people but that it can result in significant impacts on people of color.

You will need to make a decision about whether/how to broach if they have ever operated on a bias. They may naturally bring this up. But many skeptics will not do so because this topic is very emotionally disturbing for
some people. This sequence of the conversation has suggested to them that they might be a “racist”, and this may feel very scary to them. It may be important to let the conversation settle with them so they can integrate all that they have learned. Hopefully, this is not the last conversation you will have with them, and you can bring this up in the future.

OPTIONAL STEP: EXPLORING THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

As mentioned, you may decide that tying a bow around the conversation by exploring the seeming contradictions would be helpful to your objectives. If so, the following table can be supportive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Having positive intentions toward other groups is critically important</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>There are still some people who have both positive intentions and biases about other groups they are not aware of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes people are accused of being unconsciously bias and this may not be true</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Sometimes people act on biases they don’t see until later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considering unconscious bias makes it more complicated to get through situations</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Connecting to this hidden factor makes our lives fairer, richer, and more interesting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINAL NOTES

There is a wealth of scientific studies and objective data showing that large portions of people have unconscious bias against people of color, as well as a great deal of similarly objective data that shows that the subtle bias of whites has a profound impact on the lives of people of color.

If you find yourself in conversations about unconscious bias more than a few times, it might be useful to familiarize yourself with both of these types of data. It will be important to make good choices about when and
how to deploy this information. Skeptics will vary in how they respond to data of this type. Some people have a higher degree of something called “scientific curiosity”, which means they are more open to being persuaded by evidence that might disconfirm their original point of view. On the other hand, there are also people who become more hardened in their position when confronted with data that suggests they are wrong. So think about whether and how to move to a data-based conversation with the skeptic you are talking to.

**Discussing Internalized Racism May Be Useful**

There is an important fact related to unconscious bias that may help you even with skeptics who are not oriented toward hard data. **Specifically, many people of color have a negative bias against their own group.** This fact has been borne out by the results of the Implicit Association test that has been taken by millions of people.

As an illustration, Pew Research found that about 28% of Africa-Americans who take this instrument demonstrates an implicit preference for whites over African-Americans. (48% of whites do this, according to the same poll).\(^ {12}\) Section 3 of this workbook has a sub-section on Unconscious Bias/Othering with references that may get you started in finding helpful external sources.

Since many skeptics associate racial bias with bigotry that they find morally reprehensible, you can position the facts about internalized racism by people of color to show that racism is not a personal moral failing by individual whites, but rather is a societal problem affecting everyone.

Another piece of data that gives skeptics a certain degree of cover to posit or admit the possibility of bias comes from a comment by Jesse Jackson.

“There is nothing more painful to me at this stage in my life than to walk down the street and hear footsteps and start thinking about robbery. Then look

---

around and see somebody white and feel relieved.... After all we have been through. Just to think we can’t walk down our own streets, how humiliating.”

The following quote along the same lines may be useful at the right moment.

“What is the black shadow? It’s the running inner dialogue we have with ourselves all day long about our fears of being inferior as black people. It is our internalization of the white man’s lie that blacks are inferior to whites -- the very lie that was the foundation of our ancestors’ enslavement.”

**On Language**
Depending on their level of skepticism to racially progressive rhetoric, it may be counter-productive to label this behavior “racist” in your first conversation. In progressive circles, white people saying “I too, am racist,” is valuable, and can do some important tone setting for collective explorations of a group’s complicity in a society that exhibits racism in multi-faceted way. But remember, many skeptics feel themselves in a deep ideological battle with what they see as excessively race-conscious rhetoric coming from the progressive movement. Think through whether the understanding of the skeptic you are talking to is best served by such confessional language and framing, or whether you are better off framing biases that you have demonstrated in a more low-key way. Calling this feeling “racial prejudice” instead might be helpful, for example.

Don’t let the conversation become distracted by an argument about terms. Whether they call it “racism”, “racial prejudice”, “bias” or other terms is much less important than trying to get the skeptic to acknowledge that sometimes people are affected by such thoughts without being aware of it.

**Do Not Ask For a Concession Speech**

---

14 From Facing the Black Shadow, Marlene F. Watson, 2013.
Remember, at one or more points in your conversational journey, you may want to check to see how the skeptic is thinking about integrating their experiences, the experiences you have shared, as well as whatever data you have brought to bear. This may be as simple as asking something like, “What do you make of all of this that we have talked about?” Your goal is not get them to make a summary concession to you. If you get the skeptic to consider that this conversation has been thought provoking and they want to come back to it, you can declare victory mentally, and celebrate the success later with other people with a similar ally practice.
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 2: 
NOWADAYS, THERE ARE VERY FEW ADVANTAGES TO BEING WHITE.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:
- “I have worked for everything I have. The idea that I am privileged is ridiculous.”
- “White privilege does not exist.”

The core conversational objective is to first let them know that you acknowledge that white people have struggles. If necessary, you can acknowledge that some POCs have an advantage over some white people. Your main message is to convey that it is still easier to be white, though it may not be easy.

Some potential key takeaways of a story that might connect with a skeptic:
1. Just because you are white does not mean that everything is handed to you easily.
2. There are times when white people – especially ones with working class roots – are looked down upon.
3. The advantages of being white in comparison to POC are less than they used to be. (POCs opportunities compared to whites are much better than they used to be).
4. Even if there are some advantages of being white in some circumstances, it may not be helpful to say white people are inherently “racist”.
5. Claims of racism/white privilege can be used cynically or in way that tends to silence people.

KEY TAKEAWAY FOR YOUR EXPAND STORY

You can concede all of the points above because they don’t matter to your central point, which is that you have knowledge of personal experiences that have lead to your conclusion that being white has advantages.
On Language

When you are speaking to a white male who has been raised in a white environment, do not bring up the term “white male privilege” and expect them to know what you are talking about. It only goes downhill from there. I realize I had to break it down and get to that point, not start at that point.

White Ally Toolkit Workshop Participant, Long Valley New Jersey

challenge of helping them see that being white has many advantages. The term “white privilege” can become a distraction to acknowledging the concept in a way that other terms do not – such as the mouthful “unearned racial advantage”. The anti-racist movement could use more and better alternative terms. In the meantime, you need to make a conscious assessment of what terms work, do not work, and when each of these happen. Hopefully, you will make adjustments as you notice results. It is worth noting that many allies in the workshops have said, unprompted, that the term “white privilege” – while a helpful shortcut when talking to other allies – frequently detracts from the conversation when talking to skeptics.

On Conceptualization

Some skeptics have trouble accepting the asymmetry of white privilege. An advantage of the term “unearned racial advantage” is that it can accommodate the possibility that other groups can benefit from this phenomenon. For instance, black men are assumed to have street smarts,
and Asian women are assumed to be in science, and white men are assumed to be trustworthy. In specific circumstances, these stereotypes are helpful. The comparative issue is how many and important are those instances in which people benefit from unearned racial advantage. If you are at a reasonable level of dialogue with a skeptic, you can have a conversation about this. Given that fair-minded people can, through conversation, usually be led to see the different impacts of unearned racial advantage, you do not have to be afraid of giving ground on which term is used.

**STEP 1: REFLECT ON YOUR OWN PERSONAL STORIES RELATED TO UNEARNED RACIAL ADVANTAGE**

**Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You”/“I Concur” Anecdotes**

1. Do you have a sense that there are some white people (e.g. multigenerationally wealthy ones) who look down on white people like you? If so, jot a few notes about an incident that illustrates this disdainful treatment. Include notes on how you felt.

2. Have you ever felt that discussions of white privilege are used to silence white voices on social issues? Have you felt that such discussions contain false assumptions about how easy it is for a white person to find success? Can you think of specific examples where such false thinking was happening, at least in your perceptions at the time?

3. Can you think of a story in which a person of color had some kind of unearned racial advantage?

**Reflection Questions For “I Used to Feel That Way”/“I Confess” Anecdotes**

1. As a child, what were you taught about racial differences? Were you officially taught to be colorblind? Were there other messages that you absorbed about how POCs were really better off than whites because of special treatment? Worse off in some ways?

2. Before you became aware of white privilege, what were the strongest arguments that you believed about why you were NOT privileged?

3. What were the experiences that you might have cited to illustrate the idea that white privilege did not exist?
4. Think back to your most memorable initial learnings that race was a real thing in society. Did you ever wonder what it might be like to be a person of color? What did you associate with what it might be like to be a POC? Did you imagine that life was easier, or more difficult? In what ways?

Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes
1. What is your most powerful memory of hearing experiences from a person of color that made it clear to you that white privilege was a real thing?
2. Were there any particularly powerful experiences (yours or someone you trust) that brought home to you that being white has advantages?

Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes
1. What was something that happened to you or family members in the last year that reinforced to you the idea of white privilege?
2. Do you have any second-hand stories from people you trust that convey that being white often entails unearned racial advantages?

STEP 2: ASK QUESTIONS THAT DRAW OUT THE SKEPTIC’S PERSPECTIVE AND THE EXPERIENCES BEHIND IT.

Potential Experience Questions
- Can you think of a time when you felt like the system discriminated against you because you were white?
- Can you think of an experience that illustrates the lack of white privilege that you have?
- Has there ever been a time when other white people looked down on you because of some reason that you could not control?
- Describe a time when the idea of white privilege was brought up in a way that really bothered you because you thought it was particularly unfair or self-serving.
- What is something about being white (or about race) that people who tend to focus on white privilege don’t or refuse to understand?
PART TWO
RACE METHOD

STEP 3: CONNECT

Which 1-2 stories from your notes do you think are most compelling to demonstrate that you do not completely discount all aspects of the skeptic’s views about race and privilege?

Connect Anecdote One
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Connect Anecdote Two
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Connect Anecdote Three
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


**STEP 4: EXPAND**

In reviewing your experiences related to unearned racial advantage to create an anecdote, the two primary criteria are 1) you can tell the story powerfully and with some detail if there is time, and 2) almost any reasonable person would agree that the situation involves unearned racial advantage.

With that proviso, it is best to have at least one story that represents an “awakening” in that it relays your transition from questioning white privilege to believing in it. Optimally, you will also have thought about a second story that happened well after you realized that unearned white
advantage was real. By having and deploying these stories at the right time, you can send the message that you have gone along a journey of greater understanding that started where your skeptic is (the earlier connecting story), and that has continued in expanding your understanding of how the world works.

Second-hand stories are OK, but usually less effective than stories involving you. However, second-hand stories are likely to be far more effective than academic descriptions or conceptual depictions of the privilege, however powerful they might be. If you use a second-hand story, it is important to not only inhabit the story reasonably well, but also have a reasonable explanation of why you believe the story and trust the person who you heard it from.

Potentially useful stories might include:

- You (or another white person) were given a break for an infraction that they did deserve.
- A POC was treated according to the strict rules, when many white people would have been forgiven or excused.
- You learned that a POC had to experience a much greater degree of complexity in a situation because of reasonable concerns that they would be treated more poorly.
- You realized the benefits of experiencing many options in products, historical references, positive images, or other benefits because whites are the dominant group.
Expand Anecdote One

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Expand Anecdote Two

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________
Expand Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


**OPTIONAL STEP 5: EXPLORING THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th><strong>AND</strong></th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life can be difficult for the average white person</td>
<td></td>
<td>Life is somewhat harder for POCs because of racism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is possible for a POC to have more advantages than a white person in some ways</td>
<td><strong>AND</strong></td>
<td>POCs are worse off in some other ways because of racism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life of POCs is much better than it used to be</td>
<td><strong>AND</strong></td>
<td>There are still advantages of being white today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many white people can be innocent of racism</td>
<td><strong>AND</strong></td>
<td>They still benefit from being white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some of the discourse around white privilege can be distorted</td>
<td><strong>AND</strong></td>
<td>The concept still might have some validity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FINAL NOTE**
At some point, consider the possibility of highlighting data, facts, and illustrations of white racial advantage. While having a few “facts” for the discussion can be helpful, be careful to not become overly focused on gathering them.

The following topics related to racial advantage may help refine your thinking and your research.

Ways that institutions treat POCs differently, such as discriminatory treatment by:
- Education systems
- Law enforcement
- Health care
- Employers
- Retail sector
- Hospitality sector (e.g. hotels, restaurants)
- Housing and lending

Ways that white people are supported by being in the dominant group, such as:
- Media images that prioritize whiteness (e.g. television, magazines)
- Personal products that cater to whites (e.g. hosiery, Band-Aids, hygiene products)

Ways that white people are more accepted socially, such as:
- Neighborhoods
- Informal gatherings
- Volunteer organizations
POC coping strategies deal with the uncertainties of how they might be treated:

- Extra measures taken to ease white people’s fears
- Extra measures to prove credibility
- “The talk” parents give children about dealing with racism by police or others

There is academic literature and research about all of the aspects of racial privilege mentioned above. You don’t need to be an expert on this topic but it is a good idea to have a few facts that build upon your experiences if the dialogue lasts a while. Such information can help make the case that your perceptions about white racial advantage are not aberrant, but are part of a larger pattern you happen to be aware of.

The Resources Appendix includes some additional includes references to help learn more about this subject.

**Beware of Conversational Touchdown Dances**

It is important to remember that your skeptic may be very reluctant to make an adjustment in their thinking about white privilege. Their denial may have marinated for a long time. Don’t overplay it and try to force them into submission. If necessary, reassure them that people are not stupid if they don’t believe that white privilege is real; rather the difference between folks who “get” white privilege and those who don’t comes down to just having gone through a thought experiment about what it might mean to be someone else. Many astute observers about race have argued that racism is purposefully designed to keep it invisible. In essence, this argument states that part of the way structural racism works is that white people are supposed to not notice their unearned racial privileges.

Even while you reassure them that their previous blindness is nothing to feel bad about, bringing up the purposeful invisibility idea concept that is probably counter-productive -- unless your skeptic is already a left-wing progressive who already believes in underlying intellectual structures that tend to reinforce the status quo. If the skeptic is not prone to this type of
analysis, do not bring up the “racism is supposed to be invisible” idea; this has a good chance of backfiring. Your best bet is to get them past the white privilege denial, and leave those larger arguments for another day.
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 3: PEOPLE OF COLOR’S ECONOMIC PROBLEMS ARE DUE TO THEIR OWN BAD CHOICES.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:

• “If they just worked harder in life, people of color would have better lives.”
• “If you don’t do well in life, you should blame yourself, not racism.”
• “When people of color don’t do well in life, it’s usually because they make bad choices.”

Here are some specific beliefs it might be useful to highlight in Connect stories when you are engaging this topic:

1. Putting in hard work is very important to achieving success.
2. There are not enough people who recognize that hard work is important.
3. Even if a person faces difficult circumstances, they can often turn things around through diligent effort.
4. Sometimes, people in a difficult economic situation make decisions that undermine their own success.
5. On occasion, people rely on racism as a reason to not put in sufficient effort to foster their own success.

You can concede all of these points, and may be able to cite an experience that supports these beliefs. At the same time, you also can cite experiences that highlight the idea that POCs economic disadvantages are significant and can be difficult for white people to see.

STEP 1: “REFLECT

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You”/“I Concur”
Anecdotes

1. What was a formative experience that taught you the value of hard work when faced with obstacles?
2. Are there people you know/have known whose life stories illustrate the connection between hard work and success? (This might be because they have been diligent and successful or lazy and unsuccessful.)

Reflection Questions For “I Used to Feel That Way”/“I Confess” Anecdotes
1. What were you taught (and who taught it) about why POCs have created their own situation? Were there opposing voices that you were exposed to?
2. Can you think of a prior time on your life when you thought that POCs problems were because of their own making?
3. Do you ever find yourself wondering deep down if POCs have been deeply damaged by their history, and that this explains their collective lack of economic success? Is there any part of this idea that you might admit to a white skeptic? Does this question cause you to have an emotional reaction?
4. What is your best explanation for why so many POCs have much less income and wealth than whites? Do you have competing explanations that you bounce between?

Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes
Jot some notes about a situation in which you realized that you had been experiencing non-obvious advantages or disadvantages that affected success.
1. Have you ever had direct insight into a situation with a POC (or a community) that involved them having significant disadvantages that were not easily apparent? If so, jot some notes about it here.
2. Do you have any experiences that illustrate a white person being conditioned to a lack of opportunity for so long that they learned to expect that they will not succeed?
3. What experiences have you had that have tended to counter the view that POCs have created their own economic challenges?
4. What are your best arguments to counter the widespread subtle belief that POCs predicament is their own fault? What first- or second-hand experiences do you have that best support these arguments?
PART TWO
RACE METHOD

PHASE 2: ASK:

1. What were you taught growing up about why so many POCs are worse off than whites? Were there opposing voices among people you trusted for guidance?

2. Society has always had some amount of talk about the playing field being tilted against POCs. What experiences did you have that led you to conclude the playing field is level and groups have the same opportunity?

3. Have you heard explanations of POC economic problems that sounded plausible and those that sounded shaky? (Note: if you use this question, make sure you ask an experience question like question 2 to explore beneath their beliefs).

4. What are questions (or statements) about this issue that you have but don’t feel comfortable raising?

5. If you are open to going deeper: Even though people are not supposed to talk about this, surveys show that a fair portion of people think that the reason that folks of color have economic problems because they are you inherently inferior. How do you feel about this point of view? (Note: Be careful to not convey that you assume they think this, only that you are open to talking about that if that is their actual view).

STEP 3: CONNECT

Many racism skeptics believe that people of color and white people who are allied with them use racism as an excuse. Many also believe that POCs and white allies don’t understand the value of hard work and enable laziness in others. Given this, a primary task is to convey to them that you share their appreciation of the value of diligence in the face of obstacles.

Telling a story that illustrates your deep recognition of the value of hard work does not have to be long and involved. It might be as short three or

15 In a 2016 national study including over 2,000 people, 38% of whites rated blacks as less evolved than whites. This view was held by 52% of Trump supporters. Citation: http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/politics/2016/11/the_majority_of_trump_supporters_surveyed_described_black_people_as_less.html
four sentences; if the story alludes to others in the same circumstance who did not work as hard, all the better. (It’s probably best if they are not POCs in case you get a follow up question). Your core intention is to counter their suspicion that you are an enabler of POC laziness by asserting that you understand the importance of hard work.

Which 1-2 stories from your notes do you think are most compelling to demonstrate that you do not completely discount all aspects of the skeptic’s views about race and economic opportunity?

**Connect Anecdote One**

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

**Connect Anecdote Two**

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:
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It would not be shocking if the actual number of people who held these views is actually higher than that – but many people are likely withholding their feelings even from strangers, because such thoughts are socially unacceptable.

One opportunity for you to is to deepen your conversations about race is to give room to skeptics to talk honestly about these beliefs. If you want to go to this more psychologically risky place, it will important that you make the conversation safe. Doing so may mean that you acknowledging that you have been exposed to these ideas yourself, and perhaps absorbed them, at least a little.

The following are some questions reflect on that can help you construct a productive exchange if you want to go deeper in this way.

1. As a younger person, did any child or adult ever expose you to the idea that POC were lazier or less hardworking than whites? Do you remember your reaction to this idea?

2. Do you ever find yourself thinking that the reason that POC have economic problems is that they just don’t work as hard as other people? If so, do you have a counter-narrative about this that comes to your mind, since it is considered socially unacceptable to think that?

3. When you hear the idea from other whites that POC are lazy, what is your reaction, both emotionally and in your behavior? What do you tend to think, feel, and/or say? If you have ever had a conversation with another white person about this, how did the conversation go?

4. How do you feel when you are reminded of the statistic that large portions of whites are willing to share their beliefs that POC are less hardworking than whites?

5. Have you had experiences that tend to confirm or disconfirm this way of thinking? How do you tend to sort out the your experiential evidence about this issue?

To reiterate, it is possible to have a productive exchange about this issue of economic opportunity without going to these deeper places. If you want to raise these issues, you need to be prepared to not judge the skeptic for honestly answering questions that you have taken the initiative to raise.
STEP 4: EXPAND

Your goal is to tell the anecdote with enough specifics about lessened opportunities for POCs so that a reasonable person gets the point. If one of your anecdotes can reinforce the earlier point about how a white person might not see these deficits in opportunity, so much the better.

Potentially useful stories might highlight:

- A time when racial discrimination in employment came to light after being initially hidden.
- Your realization that you (or another white person) benefitted from a set of positive opportunities in ways that you may have not initially realized.
- How people in minority communities often face a much more limited set of good economic options than white people

Expand Anecdote One

Describe the situation:

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

Clarify the takeaway:
**Expand Anecdote Two**

Describe the situation:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

**Expand Anecdote Three**

Describe the situation:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________
OPTIONAL STEP 5: EXPLORE THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

Perhaps it is true that ________ AND it is also true that ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People who are poor often make bad choices that hurt their economic condition.....</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>Poor people have much fewer of the opportunities to create success than others?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A variety of conditions have weakened some POCs’ attachment to working...</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>POCs who have typical levels of industriousness can still face obstacles that most whites do not face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The economic conditions faced by everyone make creating a viable future hard for anyone....</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>Issues related to race make it even harder for many POCs in ways that can be hard to see</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINAL NOTES

At the appropriate time, it will be useful to highlight data that illustrates the ways that POCs are often at a disadvantage with respect to economic opportunity.

Depending on whether the conversation flow still has energy, at some point it will be time to go beyond anecdotes and open up the conversation to data. You will be more effective if you have a few relevant pieces of data in your mental back pocket.

As you look for relevant information, It may be helpful to think about data in a few broad categories:

- Opportunity discrepancies – data that shows that POCs have fewer opportunities easily available to them that tend to foster success
- Discrimination - data that shows that even when POCs attempt to avail themselves of opportunities, they often face discrimination. Resume experiments and employment-testing experiments can be very powerful.
Historical/Perceptual - there is historical polling data that shows that even in the early 1960’s whites tended to think that POCs had equal opportunities available to them.

As a general matter, whenever you decide to shift the conversation to include data, it might not be useful to present historical data first, since the message conveyed is that the skeptic has an inherently flawed view. It is likely safer to use historical data about perceptions after marshaling other current facts that they will not have known. Then you can use the historical data to reinforce the point that there have always been dynamics that POCs face that white folks did not realize existed.
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 4:
“SLAVERY AND FORCED SEGREGATION WERE A LONG TIME AGO. WE SHOULD NOT TALK ABOUT THEM ANY MORE AND MOVE ON.”

ALTERNATE VERSIONS
• “People bringing up slavery and other problems in the past is just a distraction from the real problems....and sometimes even an excuse.”
• “All this talk about historical or institutional racism is a bunch of hooey and a way to keep white people from speaking their minds.”

Here are two strategies for advancing the conversation focusing on experiences. Each of them involves highlighting a common dynamic that happens in society, then inviting the skeptic to see how the dynamic can happen in a racial context.

Strategy 1: Explore how each of your lives may be influenced by events that may seem to some people (perhaps yourself when you were younger) to be irrelevant because they happened long ago. Once you establish that sometimes people misperceive how history shapes themselves or others, shift the conversation to the racial context.

Strategy 2: Explore some common dynamics that happen between people or groups when someone has caused injury, someone is struggling with healing, and reconciliation may be elusive. After there is some alignment on some relevant dynamics, shift the conversation to racial issues.
STEP 1: REFLECT

Reflect on your own personal stories related to history and to injury and healing.

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You” Anecdotes
1. Have you ever seen a situation where a person was legitimately injured emotionally, but took longer to heal from it than you thought was healthy for them? Have you ever thought someone was indulging in an injury to the point where it felt like they were choosing to stay stuck and not move on?

2. Looking back on a situation where you were hurt, have you ever thought that you had been indulging in the injury longer than you needed?

3. Have you ever seen a situation where a person or a group was injured emotionally, economically or in some other way, and through force of will put the wound behind them quickly so they could resume their progress?

Reflection Questions For The “I Used To Think Like That” Anecdotes
1. Have you ever thought that a person of color (or POC in general) were too focused on injuries and oppression from the past? Have you ever thought that it was time for them to move on and be curious about why they were choosing not to do so? If so, try to recall a moment when you were focused on this issue.

2. Has there been a period in your life when you underestimated the way that decisions made by your parents, grandparents, or others affected your life. If so, how would you describe your view of your life circumstances at a time before you came to a full understanding?
Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes

1. Has your life ever been positively or negatively affected by savvy or unfortunate decisions made by previous generations? How did you come to understand the full implications of this? How did you change your narrative about your life when you came to this new understanding?

2. Has anyone in your family ever benefited from institutional practices that operated in a way that excluded non-whites, such as FHA loans before 1975, the GI bill, or restrictive covenants?

3. Have you ever seen a situation in which you thought someone was not recovering from an emotional wound or injury fast enough, and you later learned information that increased your compassion for them?

Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes

1. What are experiences you have had or things you have observed that make it easy to see the link between past racial oppression to current circumstances?

2. Have you ever been emotionally affected by something longer than some people thought you should be affected? What was going on with you that they did not understand?

STEP 2: ASK

1. What have you observed that bolsters your perspective about the importance of people no longer talking about past racial difficulties?

2. What do you think is the harm that is caused to POC by talking about past racial difficulties? What is the harm that is caused to whites?

3. How do you think about the pros and cons of connecting to history and making connections to the present?

4. Are there historical events in your family or group’s past that you think get too much attention?

5. Have you ever seen a situation when an individual or a small group was affected by a past injury longer than you thought they should have been?
STEP 3: CONNECT

- Your primary objective is to demonstrate that you have some level of alignment with aspects of the skeptic’s point of view. The following are key takeaways that your anecdotes might focus on to build a sense of connection and trust with the skeptic.

- You have seen situations where you began to wonder whether some person or group was holding on to an injury longer than was healthy for them to do.

- You know of at least one situation where people successfully overcame regrettable actions or even victimization in their family’s history.

- You can remember – without judging it - having a narrative about your life that felt robust and complete but that did not include some historical realities you later came to understand. You will not reveal these realities until you transition to the Expand phase.

**Connect Anecdote One**

**Describe the situation:**

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

**Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:**

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

**Clarify the takeaway:**

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________
Connect Anecdote Two
Describe the situation:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three
Describe the situation:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________
STEP 4: EXPAND

Your goal is to convey an anecdote that compellingly makes the case for having empathy for POC who connect past oppression to their current challenges.

One strategy is to relate a time when you observed someone incorrectly thinking that someone else was indulging a prior injury and choosing not to move on. Your key message most likely will focus on the way that non-injured parties often don't understand the depth of wounds to injured parties and as a result sometimes accuse people of not moving forward. Once you have had a good conversation about this generally, you can explore whether there might be similar dynamics relevant to wounds between groups based on racial history.

Another strategy is to highlight the hidden benefits of history that have affected you. If people in your family used a societal benefit that excluded blacks (e.g. FHA, GI bill, and so on) you can describe how this benefit helped you. After that, you can talk about discovering these programs’ past racially discriminatory history, and how you felt about this. It is important not to overdo your reaction so it will not be dismissed as excessively guilt-oriented. Rather, position your discovery as pushing you to see the link between your families’ benefit and other’s difficulties, and thus increasing your understanding of why POC talk about the ongoing relevance of history.

If you told a prior narrative of your life before your awareness of history happened, now is the time to describe what you learned and how you realized that the impact of history can be hidden.

Expand Anecdote One

Describe the situation:
Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote Two
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote Three
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:


Clarify the takeaway:


OPTIONAL STEP: EXPLORE THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A situation can have happened long ago</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>People can be affected by history in ways that are legitimate, but hard for others to see</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One party can recover from an injury quicker than another party might from the same wound</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>It is possible for a party to think they have “put something behind them” when they actually haven’t and they may still be affected later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An injured party can nurture a wound longer than is healthy</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>A party that has harmed someone are often underestimates the degree of damage they have caused</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINAL NOTES

Often, lurking beneath the desire that some skeptics have to stop talk about race is shame about being the embodiment of white racial history. This shame, which also often affects allies, often undermines honest effective conversation. There will be a short discussion on shame in the Odds and Ends section.
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 5: IF POC WILL JUST ACT PROPERLY, LAW ENFORCEMENT WILL TREAT THEM FAIRLY.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:

- “Even if a few cops are bad, the overwhelming portion treats everyone fairly.”
- “The real problem is crime in the black community, not anything about the police.”
- “If the police treated them that way, it must have been because they did something wrong.”
- “People make too much out of the very rare cases of police abuse.”

It may be helpful to convey that you agree with two points that skeptics often think demonstrate that racism does not exist:

Most people in law enforcement attempt to do their jobs fairly. There is a crime problem in the black community that may have something to do with community norms, among other factors.

Conceding on these points – and perhaps even offering an experience that demonstrates these ideas – is likely to be helpful. These points do not undermine the experience you will later convey that helped you conclude that racial bias too frequently affects how law enforcement treats people.

STEP 1: REFLECT ON YOUR OWN STORIES ABOUT RACE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You” Anecdotes

1. What is an early or powerful experience that reinforced a positive view of law enforcement? How did this experience compare to what you were taught about police as a child?

2. What is an experience where you observed law enforcement treating POC fairly, especially in a difficult situation?
3. Have you seen a situation where an officer was made noticeably less nervous (or more nervous) by the actions of a citizen?
4. What were you taught about crime and POC as a young person?

Reflection Questions For “I Used To Feel That Way” Anecdotes
1. Do you remember thinking at some point that racism in law enforcement was extremely rare? Can you recall specific a time when you expressed this position, or when you strongly felt this was the case?
2. Do you remember a time when you thought that “the real problem” was black crime, and that any misconduct by law enforcement was a minor concern? What is an experience that can highlight that you once thought this way?
3. Have you ever been bothered by the Black Lives Matter movement? Do you now feel or have you ever felt that such protests (or the organization as a whole) are bad for POC or race relations?
4. Have you ever felt that a leader of color was “soft on crime” and not doing enough to improve police relations?

Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes
1. Are there any beliefs you have about POC and beliefs that you use to have, but no longer have? Why and how did you change your perspective?
2. Have you ever had beliefs about the police (or the way they relate to communities of color) that you used to have, but no longer have? What experiences could help convey how and why your perspective changed?

Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes
1. If you have had one, describe an experience where you observed an encounter that increased your understanding of why so many POC do not trust law enforcement? How did this correspond to or oppose what you had learned about police as a younger person?
2. Do you have any second-hand experiences from others you trust that
tend to confirm a view of police as subject to racial bias?

3. Have you talked to a person of color who conveyed that they looked at law enforcement very differently than you do? What did they tell you that helped you understand their point of view?

4. What do you think are the main causes of distrust between POC and law enforcement? What experiences cause you to answer like you do?

5. Have you ever observed white people having perceptions related to police and/or people of color that many whites would find to be a distorted way of seeing things?

**STEP 2: ASK**

1. What were you taught about law enforcement growing up? Can you remember any experiences that you have had with police that tend to reinforce your views about the police?

2. How have your direct encounters with police gone, in general?

3. Have you ever witnessed an encounter with a person of color where that tended to confirm or counter your previous view of police?

4. When you hear POCs or white folks on their behalf blaming law enforcement for trust deficits, how do you feel? What is your perspective on the supposed problem of police misconduct? What is an experience, long ago or more recently, that reinforces your point of view?

**STEP 3: CONNECT**

The following are some points of connectedness that might be useful around which you might base a short story that validates something likely embedded within their racism-skeptical viewpoint.

- Not all police officers are bad; there are good cops out there.

- During an encounter with police, small personal decisions have a large impact on police officers, especially when they are nervous.

- There is problem of too much crime in many communities of color.

- It is important to prevent people who commit crimes from harming the community, no matter who they are.
If you have additional points of connection that you think might be helpful, please write them down. (We also encourage you to submit them to the project website so we can modify our materials).

If you have any additional stories (second-hand ones are fine) jot down the key points.

An Opportunity To Go Into More Difficult Territory
It is important to note that there are some topics of race where the skeptic's surface sentiment actually emerges from a view about people of color that is taboo for people to express directly. Race, crime and law enforcement is clearly one of these topics.

Here are three examples of “taboo” beliefs that often lurk beneath the conversation.
1. POC are inherently more prone to criminality.
2. The culture of people of color (especially blacks) prevents them from “normal” reactions to criminality and police.
3. Progressive POC and whites sometimes exaggerate claims of police abuse.

If you decide to try to go to a deeper place with the skeptic, you will still need to find a connection point with the skeptic, and not judge them negatively for revealing their true beliefs to you. The most important thing is that you be able to convey an experience that illustrates you being affected by these beliefs, even if only subtly.

Some reflection questions that may help you form an anecdote if you are considering going deeper:
1. Was there a time or circumstance where you may have absorbed the message that POC were inherently problematic in the ways mentioned above? What drew you to thinking like that? (Note: it is important to describe this period when you had those thoughts without negatively judging yourself.)
2. What were the experiences that helped you develop a broader understanding of crime, punishment, and people of color? Were there any direct experiences that might have augmented what you learned by reading, listening to others, and so on? (Most skeptics will be most receptive if you lead with as direct an experience as possible).

Connect Anecdote One

Describe the situation:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Two

Describe the situation:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

_________________________________________________

_________________________________________________
 Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Experience Questions To Ask A Skeptic If You Plan To Go Deeper

1. Have you ever witnessed a person of color having a more negative attitude toward law enforcement than you thought was appropriate?

2. Can you discuss an experience where you experienced the high level of risk of crime in a community of color?

3. What were you taught about crime and POC as a young person?

4. Have you ever found yourself thinking that POC were inherently criminally-minded?

As noted in the economics module, you have the choice of going to deeper and more problematic places in your dialogue with the skeptic. It probably
only makes sense if you have good reason to believe you can engage the person in an extended manner. And you may need to convey having had such troubling thoughts in the past so they know it is safe to admit to these thoughts.

**STEP 4: EXPAND**

In pursuing your objective of broadening the skeptics' views of crime, punishment, and people of color, stories that might be useful might highlight:

- Unconscious bias against people of color by law enforcement officers
- Excessively harsh behavior by police toward people of color
- White people being treated leniently by police
- Conscious racism by law enforcement officers
- Situations where people or communities of color are disproportionately policed (this might include over- or under-policing)

**Expand Anecdote One**

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________
Clarify the takeaway:

______________________________________________________________

----------------------------------

Expand Anecdote Two

Describe the situation:

______________________________________________________________

---------------------------------------------------------------

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

_________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

----------------------------------

Clarify the takeaway:

______________________________________________________________

----------------------------------

Expand Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

______________________________________________________________

---------------------------------------------------------------

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

_________________________________________________________________________
Clarify the takeaway:

---

**OPTIONAL STEP 5: EXPLORE THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime in communities of color needs addressing</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Police abuse in communities is worse than other places and needs addressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many police treat everyone fairly</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Some police are more likely to be abusive when interacting with POC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost all cops try hard to treat everyone the same way</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Unconscious bias against POC can affect cops even though they don’t intend this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activists can use claims of police abuse cynically</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Police abuse in communities of color is still a real problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINAL NOTES**

At the right time, bring up facts, data, illustrations, and other non-experiential types of knowledge to expand the conversation.

One way of organizing your self education about race and police is to think about three broad classes of knowledge about race and law enforcement:

- Racial bias affecting perceptions by and about law enforcement
- Statistical data about discrepant treatment and outcomes of people of color
- Historical data about relations between police and people of color
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 6: VERY FEW PEOPLE ARE CONSCIOUSLY RACIST ANY MORE.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:
- “We have been in a post-racial era since Obama got elected.”
- “Every white person I know tries to be colorblind.”

The decline of overt white bigotry as expressed in surveys and in conversation has declined dramatically in the past five decades, so creating a sense of connection by conceding this should be easy and useful. It might be valuable to brush up on a few facts from survey data to buttress any personal experiences you have that demonstrate this change in attitudes.

At the same time, there is ample survey data showing that a significant portion of white adults still hold bigoted views, as has been noted. When it comes to the Expand stage, start as usual with one to two of the most clear experiences you have showing that these attitudes are still around. Do this before relating whatever factoids you know about troubling attitudes which are still circulating.

STEP 1: GATHER YOUR OWN STORIES ABOUT CONSCIOUS RACIAL BIGOTRY AGAINST PEOPLE OF COLOR.

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You” Anecdotes
1. Recall a story about witnessing explicit racism that you think would be much less likely to happen today because of different social norms.
2. If during your childhood you were ever exposed to an adult who tried to teach you to be racist in a way that would not likely happen today, jot some notes about your memories.
3. If you have a story from at least twenty years ago from a person of color who experienced explicit racism in a way that you imagine would be much less likely to happen today, write down the key elements of that story.
4. If you have ever known a POC who, in your opinion, was over-estimating the degree of animus toward POCs, jot a few notes about what happened to make you come to that conclusion.
5. If you have any other experience that leads you to think such feelings have declined over the past two to four decades, jot some notes about this experience.

**Reflection Questions For “I Used To Feel That Way” Anecdotes**

1. If you can remember a time when you thought that very few people were still racist, recall a time when you expressed this opinion in a conversation or were focused mentally on this idea.

2. Have you ever been with a group of people – whether briefly or on an extended basis – where racist views were considered normal and natural?

**Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes**

1. If you have any experiences of witnessing expressions of racial bigotry that were eye opening for you, jot a few notes about them here.

2. When you went from believing racially troubling views to a new understanding, what kind of feelings did you have about how you used to think about this?

**Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes**

1. Jot down notes about 2-3 experiences in the last ten years that illustrate a white person having unambiguous prejudice against a person of color. If you have more than one story to choose from, focus on perfecting stories that have strong impact because the prejudice is egregious, the people are powerful, or the story is more recent.

2. If you have a second-hand story from a POC (or a white person) about encountering explicit bigotry, describe it.

3. Jot down notes about 1-2 of your own experiences in the last ten years (better if more recent) that confirm for you that explicit bigotry is not completely a thing of the past.

4. If another person has told you about an experience related to continued bigotry within the last ten years (the more recent the better), make note of it.
STEP 2: ASK

1. What have you experienced that lets you know that there is much less bigotry than there was just after the civil rights movement? What changes have you seen in your lifetime?

2. Have you ever seen POC over-estimating the amount of animus aimed toward them? How did you come to that conclusion?

3. Do you think that the portion of people who have negative views of other racial groups actually matters, or that this does not really make a difference?

4. Do you think that different groups tend to underestimate, overestimate, or accurately estimate how common such perspectives are? Do you think that different identity groups might make mistakes about this?

5. Have you ever seen evidence that someone harbored negative feelings toward POC? (If you ask this challenging question, only do so AFTER asking questions that encourage story telling that is aligned with their point of view).

STEP 3: CONNECT

Your primary task is to convey to the skeptic that you know that explicit bigotry against POC has dramatically declined in the last few decades. A factoid that might help you in the connect phase is that fact that in according to a 1990 University of Illinois Chicago survey, 66% of whites thought that blacks were less intelligent than whites, and 58% thought they were less intelligent. The same survey found these percentages had declined by more than half by 2014, to 32% and 22% respectively.

Some options might be:
- Share a story about a personal experience that happened long ago where a white person demonstrated their bigoted views that you think would be very unlikely to happen now.
- Describe a time you observed when you thought that a person of color was overestimating - even for understandable reasons – the
amount of racism in society or in a situation.

- Share your perspective on some event or situation that indicates that social bigotry has declined significantly.

**Connect Anecdote One**

Describe the situation:

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

Clarify the takeaway:

**Connect Anecdote Two**

Describe the situation:

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:
Clarify the takeaway:

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

STEP 4: EXPAND

Offer 1-2 experiences that illustrate how you came to realize that old-fashioned bigotry against people of color is still a real factor in American life.

As you search through your memory for experiences to choose from, remember that a skeptic may require a high threshold of proof that racial animus was at play and that it resulted in the mistreatment of someone. Ideally, your story will be such that any reasonable person would conclude that racial animus was at play in the situation. Having heard a salesperson in a store talking about not liking to serve “those people” is
strongly suggestive of animus; hearing such statements and witnessing the salesperson mistreat someone is more persuasive. (If you can only report the “those people” comment and not actual treatment, a skeptic may accuse you of operating as the “speech police.”)

Of course, you may not have each of these types of events in your experience. You may not have seen such mistreatment or you may not have heard white people make statements in all-white settings that confirm their bigoted beliefs. Your goal is to construct a series of brief anecdotes that are difficult to dismiss.

While it is not ideal, having second-hand stories is better than only having a hunch that racial bigotry and animus still persist. Hopefully, you have trust-based and close relationships with some people of color. If you do, there may be a time when it is appropriate for you to ask them if they have been on the receiving end of this kind of bigotry. If you decide to ask about such experiences, be sensitive about the possibility that you are asking them to recount something that may have been very painful. You want to make sure they do not feel that you are asking them for “racism porn.” It will be useful to explain to them that you are asking to hear about this not only because you want to understand their experience of race, but also for the specific purpose of having a stronger tool to move skeptics along.

There is also a value in second-hand stories from other white allies. The more recent they are, the better.

**Expand Anecdote One**

**Describe the situation:**

**Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:**
Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote Two

Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic's view:
Clarify the takeaway:

OPTIMAL STEP 5: EXPLORING THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overt racism has declined a lot in the past few decades</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>There is still a surprising amount of racism by whites against people of color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes POC have not fully accepted the reality that overt bigotry has declined</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>POC still experience the impact of bigotry and don’t always talk about it to whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are developments that have happened that are evidence of racial progress we can all be proud of</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>There is evidence that our progress on race is only incomplete, and society is still affected by the severe racial inequities of the past</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many white people can be innocent of racism</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>There is still benefit from being white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some of the discourse around white privilege can be distorted</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>The concept still might have some validity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINAL NOTES

You should make conscious choices about when it is most effective to shift the conversation to data, since this rarely changes minds. In this case, however, there is very strong data that supports both the racism-skeptical and the racism-acknowledging perspectives. There is a wealth of survey data that demonstrates levels of animus expressed by whites has declined sharply in recent decades, AND there is strong survey data that shows that a remarkably large number of white people believe that
some or most people of color are inferior on an important dimension such as intelligence, industriousness, or criminal-mindedness. As has been mentioned, there is solid data indicating that a substantial portion of whites hold some level of distaste for certain groups of color and disfavor intermarriage, living in neighborhoods with substantial numbers of some groups of POC, or sending their children to school with them.

As you present this data, be mindful of how much you need to present to make your point. Some people will change their mind due to an overwhelming mountain of evidence that contradicts their point of view. However, other people will be made uncomfortable by hearing too much data that disconfirms their beliefs, and the Backfire Effect will rule the day. It is important to stop presenting evidence at the right time.

Here Are Some Facts That May Be Helpful. Use With Caution. Sometimes reframing can be helpful as you are marshalling data. For instance, some anti-racism advocates discuss the more than 20 percent of whites who view blacks as inferior by referring to numbers of blacks in America (37 million as of 2017) and comparing this to the number of whites who think blacks are inferior – 38 million, which is 20 percent of 196 million.

Here is another example of a potentially savvy reframe: There is reliable data that shows a substantial portion of white Hillary Clinton supporters thought blacks are intellectually inferior and an even greater portion of white Donald Trump supporters that thought the same way. When talking to a politically conservative skeptic, one tactic that some allies take is to cite the Clinton statistic to illustrate that a non-trivial number of whites hold these views. This strategy plays to the common narrative that the “real racists” are liberals. You can feign ignorance for the moment about the portion of Trump supporters who think similarly (a significantly higher proportion), and encourage them to look this up for your next conversation.16

16 https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-election-race/exclusive-trump-supporters-more-likely-to-view-blacks-negatively-reuters-ipsos-poll-idUSKCN0ZE2SW?feedType=RSS&feedName
If the skeptic becomes resistant to more data, it may be time to remind them that you have already conceded that explicit bigotry has significantly declined over time. As needed, remind them that you are not trying to judge that their perspective is incorrect, rather, you are trying to add to their sense of truth with more information.

Try to get them to explore whether there is a good way of integrating all that this exchange has covered. The most obvious is that bigotry has declined, still exists to a significant extent, and still affects people of color. Be attentive to when to stop the conversation for resumption later. For instance, a natural corollary to the reality that many whites are still bigoted is that POC naturally wonder if the person in front of them is one of the 1/5 who thinks that they are inferior. White privilege lurks around this reality, since worrying about this animus is a burden that you and the skeptic don’t have to contend with. But, it may or may not be helpful to bring this issue up.
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 7:
“THE ANTI-IMMIGRANT SENTIMENT IS NOT AFFECTED BY RACIAL BIAS; INSTEAD IT IS ONLY REFLECTING THE WAY THAT IMMIGRANTS ARE HARMING AMERICA’S CULTURE.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS:
- “In previous generations, immigrants would focus on assimilation into an existing culture. We only need immigrants who are willing to do that.“
- “Immigration is taking needed opportunities away from Americans who need them.“
- “Diversity might be nice but it has gone too far. We need to return to an America with a common culture.”

While there is a broad set of reasons (some valid, some spurious, and some based on animus) for supporting cutbacks in immigration, some common themes about immigration that you are likely to hear include:
- America culture is fragmenting and too much immigration makes this worse.
- Too many immigrants refuse to learn English or to otherwise assimilate.
- Immigrants, especially undocumented ones, take opportunities away from Americans.
- Undocumented immigrants erode respect for the rule of law.

Some points that you should consider conveying to connect to the skeptic after learning more about their perspective:
- It is understandable that good-hearted white people sometimes to see immigrants as “other”.
- Having immigrants in the country makes our lives more complicated and sometimes it is unsettling.
- It can certainly feel like many opportunities are going to immigrants.
- It is possible for an individual to favor decreased immigration and have that sentiment not be mostly about bias/racism.
PART TWO
RACE METHOD

You can concede these points, without undermining what you want to convey through experience, which is probably one of these three points:

- White people have to be careful to keep aversion to those different than us in check.
- Immigration involves many benefits to society.
- Your perspective on immigration has evolved over the course of your life.

STEP 1: REFLECT

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You” Anecdotes
1. Have you ever felt like the presence of non-Americans was weakening important aspects of American culture?
2. Have you ever felt that we might be doing not enough for some Americans citizens and too much for people born elsewhere?
3. Do you ever find it irritating that we often have to accommodate non-English speakers or people with very thick accents?
4. Are there any other experiences you have had that might help you connect with someone who feels like America is changing too fast or is too welcoming of people from other countries?

Reflection Questions For “I Used To Feel That Way” Anecdotes
1. Can you remember a time when you were much more averse to immigrants personally than you are now? What is an example of time when your experience was affected by this aversion?
2. Was there a time when you were more conservative on immigration policy than you are now? What is an example of a moment where you were in touch with that perspective?

Reflection Questions For A “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes
1. If you previously had a different view of immigration than you do now, what experiences did you have that helped change your mind?
2. How do you look back on your previous viewpoint on immigration? What were some factors that had been affecting you that you did not before realize?

Reflection Questions For Helping You Prepare A Story That Supports Your Perspective On Immigration

1. Jot down notes from family stories that illustrate the value of immigration to the country.

2. Jot down notes from family stories that illustrate the way that immigrants of a previous era were looked down upon.

3. What is an example of time when an immigrant (preferably undocumented) noticeably enriched the life of you or someone you know?

4. How is your perspective on immigration connected to your sense of religious obligation, if you feel that? Are there any experiences that underscore that connection?

5. Have you ever seen a situation where a less competent/capable white person was able to keep a job that a more capable immigrant might have done better?

STEP 2: ASK

Whether you ask about how they initially formed their views or more recent experiences that tend to support their position, the most important thing is that you ask the question(s) in a way that does not make the skeptic feel negatively judged for their perspective.

Some potentially useful questions are:

1. When did you first start feeling like we had too much immigration? Was there any particular experience that first drove this point home?

2. How was immigration talked about in your home growing up? Was the idea that we have to avoid too much immigration a shared idea in your family? Have you ever had a perspective about immigration that was different than the one you have now? What experience did you have that changed your mind?
3. What was an experience in the past 5 years that has driven home the importance of cutting back on immigration?

4. Are there any experiences that are not dramatic but only somewhat irritating – even a small experience like hearing press 1 for English – that amplify your view about immigration?

**STEP 3: CONNECT**

Determine your Connect Stories for a conversation about immigration by reviewing the prompt questions and deciding which you could tell most compellingly.

**Connect Anecdote One**

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

**Connect Anecdote Two**

Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three
Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

STEP 4: EXPAND

Your objective is to share 1-2 anecdotes that illuminate why you tend to oppose the anti-immigration sentiment that is increasingly common in some parts of the white community. While it is fine to base some of this feeling on opposing the anti-immigrant sentiment, it will likely be more
effective if you have experiences that reinforce a pro-immigration position. Make conscious decisions about whether your story focuses on legal vs. illegal immigration.

If you are going to focus on illegal immigration, it may be useful before that to signal that you have respect for the rule of law and/or authority. Many skeptics’ views on illegal immigration are a mix of xenophobia and faithfulness to authority structures. If your goal is to influence them to be compassionate to people who are in the US illegally, you will likely be better off if you have reminded them that you place some value on legal authority.

Expand Anecdote One
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:


Clarify the takeaway:


Expand Anecdote Two
Describe the situation:


Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

______________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

______________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________

Expand Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

______________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that might expand the skeptic’s view:

______________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

______________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________________________
### OPTIONAL STEP 5: EXPLORE THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perhaps it is true that</th>
<th>AND</th>
<th>it is also true that</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modern life makes the challenges of assimilating easier for native born Americans</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>The vast majority of immigrants are assimilating just as fast as previous generations of immigrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are some jobs for which immigrants do out-compete native born Americans</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>There are some jobs that are necessary but that native born Americans refuse wages that immigrants will accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having millions of undocumented immigrants decreases many people’s sense that laws should be obeyed</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>Rounding up undocumented immigrants with long ties to the community undermines many people’s sense that their community is fair and cohesive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RACISM DENYING STATEMENT MODULE 8:
THOSE ATHLETES WHO PROTEST DURING THE NATIONAL ANTHEM ARE DISRESPECTING THE NATION, THE FLAG, AND THE TROOPS.

ALTERNATE VERSIONS

- “Any athlete who does not stand during the anthem is demonstrating that s/he is ungrateful for the opportunities this country gave them.”
- “Any person who cares about this country stands and does not use the anthem as a time to make a statement.”

Creating an effective dialogue through storytelling on this topic has an additional conversational element that will be described.

To connect with the skeptic, it is usually helpful to show alignment on issues of loyalty and order that they are likely to resonate with. This may involve telling an anecdote demonstrating that:

- You think patriotism is important.
- Sometimes you are emotionally moved by your love of America.
- You have had moments when you were bothered by the way that someone was protesting a situation.

In the Expand part of the process, it is useful to get the skeptic’s awareness around the fact that there are “out-groups” in a social setting that have less prestige than “in-groups.” The best way to do this is to relate an anecdote about you or someone you know being in an out-group, and most importantly, having a more complicated sense of loyalty in the setting than member of the in-groups.

After telling stories that will help the skeptic agree that out-groups often have different senses of loyalty than in-groups, your next task is to relate experiences that made you empathize with the fact that many people of color see themselves as an out-group. The point of those shifts in focus is to get the skeptic to at least understand why you have some empathy for people protesting, even though you love America.
PART TWO
RACE METHOD

STEP 1: REFLECT

Reflection Questions For “I Exactly Half Agree With You”/”I Concur” Anecdotes

1. If possible, describe an experience where you were emotionally moved during the national anthem or a similarly patriotic song.
2. If possible, describe an experience, by yourself or in a group, where you felt a great deal of pride in the United States.
3. If possible, describe an experience of feeling very happy to be an American.
4. What aspect of American values give you the greatest feeling of satisfaction? Why? Describe a moment when you felt this satisfaction very deeply.
5. If you have had one, describe a time when someone was conducting a protest and you felt that their protest was inappropriate or it made you uncomfortable. Describe why you thought that their protest happened in a way that was inappropriate.

Reflection Questions For “I Used To Feel That Way”/”I Confess” Anecdotes

1. If it happened, describe a conversation or a moment when you looked at national symbols and/or protests about them similar to the way that your skeptic does.
2. In the past, have you ever noticed yourself being angry at someone for not being as patriotic as you were at the time?

Reflection Questions For “But Later, I Realized” Anecdotes

1. If there was key moment when you shifted how you looked at national symbols or protests, jot some notes about it.
2. If you ever adjusted your thinking to become less judgmental of people who think about patriotism differently that you do or did, jot a few notes about how your thinking changed.

If your moment involved a shift in how you feel about the United States – as opposed to its symbols – it may not be in your interest to focus on this
anecdote. It is highly unlikely that you will get the skeptic to have empathy for you if you tell a story about how you realized, for example, the troubling moral implications of the United States’ history of violent colonialism. However, if the change in your perspective was about national symbols, or even better, about other people’s protests, you may have a better chance of not engaging the defensiveness of your skeptic.

**Reflection Questions For “Why I See It This Way” Anecdotes**

1. If you have one, describe an experience where you had a family member who generated more complicated feelings within you than did other family members? When and how did you notice that you felt differently than others in the family?

2. Describe a time when you felt a part of community but also had some misgivings about it because you had experienced some problems that other members did not.

3. Describe any complicated feelings about your high school or college experience. Were you in an out-group? If so, how did that affect your feelings about the school? If you were not in an out-group but were friends with someone who was, what did you learn about loyalty from this experience?

4. If you have ever been in an “in-group “ and did not understand the complaints of some people in an “out-group”, describe your perspectives and experience of that situation. (Examples might be high school, college, work, or a community large enough to have sub-groups within it that had different levels of status).

5. Have you ever been in an out-group in a community? If so, did this experience contribute to you and others having different feelings of loyalty and affection toward the group than those not in out-groups?

6. Have you ever had an extensive conversation with a person of color who has complicated feelings about America? If so, try to remember any of their experiences that helped you understand why their feelings were complicated. If you can remember a particular moment when you poignantly understood why many people of color feel ambivalent in their patriotism, describe that moment as best as you can.
7. If you have done so, describe a time when you participated in a protest that was designed to make some people feel disrupted or uncomfortable. Describe why you thought that the protest was worth it, despite the fact that uncomfortable feelings were experienced by others. Did it bother you that this discomfort was a result of the protest?

Conversational Flow
The basic strategy for this conversation flows from the following logic:

A racism skeptic is not likely to have done a thought experiment about how it might feel to experience America through the eyes of an “out-group”. It may be useful to try to get them to mentally try on this experience. One strategy is to encourage them to think about an experience that you had where you were in an out-group in a social situation. For instance, many people have had this experience in school or community activities. Alternatively, many people have had the experience in a family situation where they were not in an out-group, but they had a more complicated experience of the family than others.

Your goal is to help them see in a non race-based situation that people who have multi-layered experiences have a sense of loyalty that is more complicated than others whose experience is more straightforwardly positive. After illustrating this idea with your own experience, you may want to ask them if they can relate to this idea from some experience they have had of being in an out-group.

If you can get the skeptic to acknowledge that people’s sense of loyalty can be complicated – especially if they are in an out-group - then your task is to try to get them to acknowledge that people of color in the US have had a different experience of the nation than others is easier, and that this gives an added complicating factor to their loyalty.
PHASE 2: ASK

Your goal is to ask the skeptic about experiences that undergird and illustrate their opinion about patriotism and protest. One option is to ask them to tell you two short stories, one about a moment when they were feeling particularly patriotic, and one that helps illustrate them being bothered by people protesting something that is supposed to serve as a collective symbol.

The first story is worth asking about because it may be easier for you to show alignment with it than the second story. If you have ever had a moment of national pride, patriotism, or even gratitude to be an American, it will likely be useful to briefly relive this moment so that your skeptic knows you are capable of feeling these things.

Questions About The Skeptic’s Experience Of Patriotism And Protests

1. Tell me about a time when you were particularly filled with your love of country.
2. Tell me about a time when you heard the national anthem and it really moved you emotionally.
3. What happens to you when you see or hear about athletes kneeling to protest police abuse during the anthem? How do you feel? Do your feelings change depending on whether they are professional or not?
4. Has your perspective about the athletes’ protests changed since they first started? If so, how and why?
5. Have you ever been part of a group that included folks who appeared to feel that they were not part of the “in-group”? Did it ever seem like the people in the out-group had a different sense of loyalty than folks in the in-group?
6. Have you ever been a part of a group (in your family, in a school, etc.) where you felt you were not in the “in-group”? If so, did you ever think that your loyalty toward the community felt different than the loyalty of the in-group members?
STEP 3: CONNECT

At this point, you want to make sure they know that you sometimes feel patriotic. This is probably not the best time to discuss whatever misgivings you have around the history of United States government misdeeds, foreign or domestic. Instead, relate anecdotes that focus on instances when you felt happy or even proud to be a member of your national community.

When you get to an experience of ambivalent loyalty that you may have experienced, it is probably best if it is NOT about America as a whole, but about some other community or institution that you have been connected to.

Connect Anecdote One
Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic’s view:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Two
Describe the situation:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Connect Anecdote Three

Describe the situation:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Describe the key moment that illustrates the takeaway that connects to the skeptic's view:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Clarify the takeaway:

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________
STEP 4: EXPAND

The expand step for this module is more complex than for the other modules in this workbook.

**Expand Move 1:** The first task is to try to get the skeptic to acknowledge that setting aside race/racism, people in “out-groups” in a human community tend to have a more complicated sense of loyalty to that community than people who are in “in groups.”

Examples include:
- Groups considered less valuable in a school settings (e.g. the Nerds, the Geeks)
- Members of low prestige sororities or fraternities
- Step-family members in a family that prizes blood relations
- Junior employees in an agency that values seniority

The most effective anecdote is one where you can compellingly make the case that people in an out-group (including perhaps yourself) appeared to feel a lessened and/or more complicated sense of loyalty that those in the in-group. If possible, you should try to make a subtle connection between the experience of being in the out-group and having a different sense of connectedness to the system in question.

If possible, ask the skeptic questions to explore whether they are familiar with the way that members of out-groups feel differently than others. Maybe they have been in an out-group, or have had friends or family members who have.

Once you have gotten them to acknowledge that members of out-groups have more complicated feelings of loyalty than in-group members, you can progress to the next phase of the conversation.

**Expand Move 2:** Relate anecdotes that suggest that many people of color understandably feel they are in an out-group.
Your objective is to manage the conversation in a way that moves the skeptic to seeing why people of color might see themselves as an “out-group” in the United States.

One strategy that many allies have said is useful is to engage the skeptic in a version of the “Imagine if you were a person of color.” Citing both historical factors (slavery, lynchings, forced segregation etc.) and current factors (polling data showing large pluralities of whites find blacks and Hispanics inferior, discrepancies in law enforcement interventions, studies that demonstrate the pervasiveness of employment discrimination) may be helpful in some cases.

While these are helpful sometimes, you may have more success if you can relate personal anecdotes, such as:
- Instances when you witnessed a POC being treated unfairly
- A time when a POC discussed their general sense of being regarded as “other”
- A second-hand story of unfair treatment from a POC

Your objective is to encourage the skeptic to see that POCs often see themselves as part of an out-group, and thus, have complicated feelings of loyalty just as members of other out-groups often do.

**OPTIONAL PHASE 5: EXPLORE THE SEEMING CONTRADICTIONS**

It may be helpful to direct the skeptic’s attention toward the possibility that their perspective on the anthem protest is reasonable and that other perspectives on the protests are also reasonable. The following table may be helpful if you pursue this line of conversation.
Perhaps it is true that AND it is also true that

| It is reasonable for members of in-groups to have uncomplicated feelings of loyalty | and | It is reasonable for members of out-groups to have complicated feelings of loyalty |
| The flag and the anthem legitimately represent the fulfilled ideals of America for some people | and | The flag and the anthem legitimately represent unfulfilled ideals of America for some people |
| Some people experience standing during the anthem as honoring Americans who died serving their country | and | Some people experience kneeling during the anthem as honoring Americans who died unfairly at the hands of the government |

**FINAL NOTES**

- The third stanza of the Star Bangled Banner mentions slavery with no discernable ambivalence about the tensions between slavery and the “land of the free”
- The association between the anthem and the military is a relatively recent development, spurred in part by branding decisions made by the NFL in 2009.
ADDITIONAL WORKSHEET FOR PROBLEMATIC STATEMENTS NOT COVERED IN THIS WORKBOOK

RACIALLY PROBLEMATIC STATEMENT
(It is best to depict the statement, not just describe it. Put it in quotes)

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

REFLECTION QUESTIONS

Are there one or more things that are true that are arguably embedded within the statement?

1. ________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________

2. ________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________

Have you ever thought this way, or close to this way?

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________
If you have ever thought this way, what happened that moved you from thinking this way?

What is an observation of some reality about race/racism that is related to the statement that skeptics tend to deny, downplay, or are just not sufficiently aware of?

CONSTRUCTING CONNECT AND EXPAND STORIES

Jot a few notes that represent the core elements of a Connect story about this issue here.

Write a few notes that represent the core elements of an Expand story about this issue here.
FINAL THOUGHTS ON THE MODULES

Congratulations! Whether you read through all of the modules or just one, you are now much more prepared to have a productive encounter with a racism skeptic. This is particularly true if you have actually completed the reflection exercises and constructed the Connect and Expand stories, instead of just reading over the worksheets.

The most important next step for you is actually practicing your anecdotes, perhaps with a live human being. (It would not be a bad idea practice your stories by yourself, just to get used to hearing the words coming out of your mouth). It is not vital to that your first attempt to marshal these stories be with a racism skeptic. Practicing the stories with another ally – whether or not you tell them in advance about what you are doing – will be a very useful way to prepare for the moment when someone makes a racially troubling statement that you do not expect.

Reading each of the next two major sections of the rest of this workbook will be valuable for your journey as an ally, if only because this is likely to broaden your options in how to manage conversations. There are some specific sub-sections that are particularly important if you are pressed for time and want to quickly refine how you show up in conversations. The Othering subsection of the Primer gives guidance for taking about the fundamental psychological dynamic behind racism and other “isms” which is mentally turning people in “other than me.” The subsection on shame in Odds and Ends may help you recognize an impediment to your past and future effectiveness in engaging skeptics. After you have developed Connect and Expand stories, it might be useful to review the Moral Frameworks subsection of Odds and Ends to make some tweaks that may boost the effectiveness of those stories. Also, the Closing Encouragements may be useful in keeping you motivated to stay on the ally path.
PART THREE: THE ALLY COACHING CURRICULUM

Part 3 of the book prepares allies who want to intentionally focus on a person's racial awareness and strengthen it over a period time.
This primer prepares you to go on an intentional conversational journey with a white racism skeptic or someone who is significantly less racism-aware than you are.

The usefulness of this primer is somewhat different than that of Section 2, The Modules; the previous section contains a number of separate modules that give you guidance about how to pivot from a unexpected racially problematic remark – one that is either racially prejudiced or one that minimizes racism's existence - to an exchange or set of conversations that might influence how a racism skeptic thinks. It is important that white allies are prepared to create moments of dialogue in this improvisational way.

The perspective of this project is that the obligation to white allies does not stop with leveraging these unexpected opportunities to influence racism skeptics. In order for white public opinion to be changed on large scale, the work of white allies should also involve pro-actively coaching people up a path of learning about racism and their role in dismantling it. Every ally should have a clear but flexible plan – that is, a curriculum - for the sequence of concepts that they will try to get their skeptics to understand. Starting some day every year (e.g. Jan. 1, April 4: the anniversary of Martin Luther King’s assassination, July 4), people who regard themselves as allies against racism should identify one or more specific people that they will be working on influencing during the coming year. This primer is intended to serve as the foundation of a curriculum that will guide your intentional conversational journey that you might take with one or more people.

The concepts of this primer are ordered in a way that is likely to work best for most skeptics most of the time. The structure is based on decades of professional experience leading racial dialogue processes, so it is on a solid foundation. But in truth, this curriculum has not been tested by thousands of untrained allies working on skeptics. So, you should regard it as a guide.
for your coaching, but like any good teacher or coach, you will need to make smart choices in the moment about how to move the specific people you are working on up a ladder of understanding. The hope is that you can stay connected to this project and give feedback on what strategies work best, in what order and under what conditions.

The concepts and their order are as follows:

1. **Othering** – the phenomenon of consciously or semi-consciously seeing people in other groups as “different” than oneself;
2. **Unconscious Bias** – deeply ingrained views that people have of other groups. These views operate below our conscious level of awareness;
3. **Attribution Error** – the tendency of people to see other people’s behavior as reflecting some personal or group characteristic. In contrast, when looking at our own behavior, we tend to see what we do as a response to the circumstances in the situation;
4. **Racial Anxiety** – people’s worry that others will see them through a racial lens;
5. **Unearned Racial Advantage (commonly called “white privilege”)** – the benefits of being treated as “normal “or superior because of one’s racial status;
6. **Racial Threat** – worry that social and/or political developments will harm the status of my racial group;
7. **Racial Backlash/Denial** – actions taken by a group in response to the perception that another group has altered the racial order;
8. **Institutional Racism** – the way that an organization or sector of society operates in a way that consistently creates a disadvantage for some groups compared to other groups;
9. **Structural Racism** – the way that the occurrence of institutional racism in separate sectors can combine to compound racial disparities and make it more difficult for any one person, institution, or sector to combat disparities;
10. **Racial Equity** – a potential future condition in which opportunities, chances, and outcomes for people cannot be reliably predicted by their racial background.
There are some important differences and distinguish concepts one through seven versus concepts eight through ten with respect to the kind of conversation allies can have and need to have with racism skeptics. Concepts one through seven above (othering, unconscious bias, attribution error, racial anxiety, unearned racial advantage, racial threat, racial backlash/denial) are all social-psychological dynamics that can be directly experienced at the individual level, though seeing this may require reflection and discernment. As such, it is possible for an ally to review his or her experiences closely, perhaps talk to a few other allies, and be able to talk about the way they and others have directly experienced these ideas. The advantage of this conversation is that the ally can tell personal stories about feeling emotions and noticing their and others’ behavior, and such storytelling can be very influential.

Racial backlash/denial lies between the individual and cumulative concepts in the following way: people making decisions affected by these factors rarely see themselves as affected by these factors at the time, although they can sometimes see how these factors influenced them at a later time when they have more distance from the immediate circumstance. Often though, racial backlash/denial are very visible when looking at the way that many people are making decisions, even though most of them would report at the time that racial factors are not affecting their thinking.

In contrast, concepts 8 through 10 above (institutional racism, structural racism, and racial equity) require an even higher level of abstraction to see than racial backlash/denial. Institutional and structural racism are inherently more abstract concepts, in that these concepts describe the result of cumulative behavior of large numbers of people acting on behalf of a very large organization or a group of them. Finally, racial equity is an imagined state that does not exist but that is the goal of much anti-racism work.

So while these latter three concepts are very much grounded in reality, in comparison to the first seven ideas, seeing these concepts relies to a much greater extent rely on statistics, historical interpretation, or other sources of insight that are not as tightly bound to direct personal experiences. As
a result, when trying to influence a skeptic to see these realities, you will likely need to rely on external data to reveal the relevance of the concepts. As has been discussed, people can have a great deal of skepticism about sources of information that they think are biased against their existing view of the world, regardless of how much objective expertise these sources rely on. The recommendation is that you will likely be better off in reducing their overall skepticism by first having conversations about the concepts that you can examine together through your personal experiences.

There is a contrasting view that suggests the above might be incorrect. Some of our workshop participants have said that within the context of workshops, some racism skeptics can more easily think about racism if the topic is not approached in a way that personally implicates them. It is possible that for some skeptics, raising societal issues before interpersonal ones is more effective, even in one-on-one conversations. Again, there have not been large-scale experiments about how to combat skepticism about racism. The anti-racism movement does not really know which kinds of skeptics are better served by starting with the personal topics and which are better served by staring with the community and institution issues. Allies will need to experiment with different approaches and observe their results to figure out the best strategies.
results to figure out the best strategies. In light of that, this workbook includes brief discussions of these data-based concepts, but this material is included with the knowledge that some allies may not be able to get each skeptic to go that far down the journey of understanding with them. If this material were a subject taught at a university, concepts 1-7 are the undergraduate prerequisites, while concepts 8-10 can be looked at as the more advanced subject matter.

This distinction reinforces an important point about the nature of ally work that bears underscoring. While this project encourages white allies to learn as much as possible about the nature of racism from those who studied it, allies can make a good deal of progress with influencing racism skeptics by doing extensive reflection on their own experiences and strategically having conversations that bring those experiences to bear. Too many white allies think they are insufficiently qualified to lead other whites along a path of greater understanding. If you believe that racism against people of color is an important national problem, you are already ready to be a positive force upon white people who you know to be skeptical about that. You merely need to do some focused reflection on your own experiences, think about a strategy for deploying them along with brief explanations of basic racial concepts and then get down to actually learning what happens in actual conversations.

THE CHALLENGE OF MOVING SOMEONE THROUGH THEIR SKEPTICISM ABOUT RACISM BEING REAL

The task of moving a racism skeptic through their tendency to deny the reality of racism is challenging because of the way that many white people have been conditioned. As noted in the introduction, the civil rights movement was very effective in showing white America that the way that racism functioned was a contradiction to the ideals of America as well as a collective moral sin. Unfortunately, despite the purposeful effort by the movement to convey that this sin existed both at the level of individuals’ hearts and collective outcomes, large portions of the white community only internalized the notion of racism as a problem of individual’s hearts and minds and of explicit institutional policies. For a brief period (perhaps
from about 1965 until 1980), some portions of the white community looked at the community conditions and the lack of opportunity structures for POC as also a societal problem worthy of action. Unfortunately, many white people reverted back to – or perhaps never really left – the perspective that the problems that POC confront are of their own making. Tens of millions of whites see racial disparities as unfortunate realities that are not connected to societal racism, to white America, and certainly not to themselves.

This tendency of many whites to blame people of color for their own situation has been around for centuries. Over the past 50 years, it is perhaps even easier for many whites to do so because, in fact, the general level of animus in the white population toward people of color did undergo a major improvement between the early 1960s and the mid 1980s. (This was discussed in Section 2.) During this period and afterward, the idea of harboring explicitly prejudiced views – i.e. being a “racist” – has become anathema to many parts of the white population, even as very significant portions of people actually feel different degrees of racial resentment and condescension.

Arguably, the civil rights movement and white America’s reaction to it overshot the mark on defining racism as a problematic way of thinking. With being a conscious racist becoming a high moral crime, people are highly disinclined to admit to themselves or others that they have internalized the centuries-old resentments and condescending views towards people of color. Further exacerbating this problem is the fact that our national culture has been very slow to integrate the idea of unconscious racism into our collective common conversations. As a result, many whites now believe that as long as they do not engage in conscious bigotry, they are completely free of racism. Moreover, they can also safely disregard any moral obligation related to the very stark racial disparities due to current and historical racism.

The result: huge portions of the white population do not care that on virtually all measures of opportunity and well being – life expectancy, the chance of having a good public school, the probability of having
The anti-racism advocate must help the racism skeptic see that racism is more nuanced than the old-fashioned bigotry. The best way to do this...[is] by owning up to the fact that the ally themselves sometimes is affected by these biases.

This sense of disconnection from the moral problem of racial disparities – which too frequently takes the form of active resistance to addressing racial problems - creates a complex challenge for white allies or anyone trying to upgrade people’s understanding of how racism works. The anti-racism advocate must help the racism skeptic see that racism is more nuanced than the old-fashioned bigotry. The best way to do this, to some extent, is to normalize the experience of having biased beliefs and to do so by owning up to the fact that the ally themselves sometimes is affected by these biases. It is important to reduce the stigma of having these views, since virtually everyone (including people of color) has been affected to some extent by societal stereotypes against people of color. The ally must convey that no one is completely innocent, including themselves.

At the same time, the ally cannot go too far in removing the moral significance of having these views, since the ally must try to get the skeptic to understand that the pervasiveness of these views is linked to ongoing racial disparities in society that have deep practical and moral implications. And the ally must thread this needle while working around adequate health care, the chance that they will grow up near a source of significant pollution, and so on – the prospects facing a newborn of color are predictably much worse than the prospects facing a white newborn. It is not clear whether most white folks know that this is true, but it is clear that most white people do not see these racially disparate prospects for newborns as a national problem or a situation that has anything to do with themselves.
the ingrained tendency of racism skeptics to look away from these problems, to blame people of color for their overall condition, and to look at any analysis that calls looks unflinchingly at racism as attempts to leverage white guilt.

Your task as an ally is to invite your skeptic – over multiple conversations over a period of time – to understand several points:

- There are a number of patterns of human behavior that have some universal dimensions, but within the American racial context they are enacted in a way that under-gird racial disparities, systematically disadvantage people of color, and reinforce very old patterns of unfairness.

- It is possible to participate in these patterns without conscious intent and without being an evil person.

- These disparities result in newborns of some racial groups having demonstrably better chances at healthy long lives than newborns with different racial backgrounds. Many of these disparities have not changed much since slavery. Everyone can decide for themselves if there are any moral implications for contributing, even unintentionally, in a system that perpetuates disparities that have their origins in a clearly immoral social system.

- Part of the reason why these disparities perpetuate themselves is because the society has created a narrative among most whites that these disparities are not a function of current and historical racism, but rather an inevitable result caused by the inherent inferiority in people of color themselves.

- Even if the behavior of people of color were partially responsible for the disparities they experience, (and this is highly questionable), historical and current racism also plays a partial role in these disparities.

- While a single individual or institution has the power to completely undo societal racism and the disparities caused by it, everyone has the power to take some steps towards progress on these issues. At a minimum, everyone can play a more active role in undermining the narrative that completely blames people of color for the disparities in well-being that they experience.
The approach of interacting with racism skeptics suggested in the foregoing primer is very similar to the RACE Method of the modules of Part 2; however there is an important modification. The modules previously discussed focus on how you can respond in the moment to an unexpected comment by a racism skeptic that is racially problematic. This primer envisions that you will initiate conversations about racial issues with the intention of doing some coaching for the skeptic’s racism awareness over a period of time.

To take someone through this curriculum, you need to not only learn how to invite the skeptic into the topic, but you also need to be able to convey anecdotes that raise thorny racial issues and do so in a way that stimulates their interest in staying with the topic. The inner work needed for using this primer is very similar as with the modules. It is important to have your own anecdotes together, so you can engage in mutual storytelling. One difference in this primer is that instead of leading with curiosity about the skeptic's perspective, you are usually leading with vulnerability and exposure of your past or on-going foibles. **It is important that you make it safe for the skeptic to admit some things about their connection to unfortunate racial dynamics that they might be disinclined to admit to themselves or others.**

This primer presents the ideas in the general sequence that is likely to be most effective in slowly coaching a skeptic up a ladder of understanding. The approach of this workbook is to not offer extensive academic treatises about each of the points of the primer, though the text will point you to resources where you can do more learning. Each concept will be briefly described under the assumption that this brief description is enough for you to engage a skeptic around the topic. Several points will be reviewed that are important to keep in mind as you prepare conversational strategies to move skeptics forward. These points will include:

**Why the concept is important for skeptics to understand** – Since your skeptic's resistance may be very entrenched, it may help to remind yourself of why it is useful to spend your energy to get them to understand this point.
How The Idea_manifests in Everyday Life – Often, showing the skeptic that the concept has relevance outside the race context can reduce their resistance to applying the topic to the racial context. For many of the concepts, it will be useful for you to have one personal example of the concept outside of the racial context, and one personal example of how it applies when race is involved.

Why This Concept Can Be Difficult To Clearly Recognize – Remembering the ways the concept can stay hidden may be important when your patience with your skeptic grows thin. In addition, reminding the skeptic that the phenomenon is often camouflaged can help them stay in the hunt for it, especially if they trust you.

Why Skeptics Resist And/Or What Resistance Looks Like – It is important to be prepared for the way resistance can emerge so that you stay centered and not overly frustrated.

Some Conversational Strategies – For most of the concepts we discuss, we provide more than one conversational sequence that we think have a reasonable chance of helping a skeptic warm slightly to the idea that racism is real and worthy of attention. The hope is that you will very deliberately experiment with different strategies, reflect on the results to improve your own practice, and report back to the White Ally Toolkit (via our Facebook page or our website) so that the ally community can benefit from what you have learned.

Individual Reflection Questions – If you are going to get a skeptic to own up to their casual participation in societal racism, it is vital that you do this yourself, so that they know it’s safe to do so. Answering these reflection questions yourself is a vital preparation to make such in invitation.

Discussion Suggestions For A Group – While most of the users of this workbook will be reading this as part of their personal ally practice, we strongly encourage people to be a part of in-person or on-line communities of people who have decided that influencing skeptics is a part of their anti-racism ally work. These suggestions may help you create processes that
will deepen reflection and commitment to trying these techniques.

Sources Of Additional Data – For most of the items in the primer, we hope you jump right in and start working with skeptics after you do some reflection and strategizing. Reading lists should not be excuses for procrastination! But for those who want to learn more about the topic as they are engaging skeptics, we provide a few resources.
OTHERING

WHAT IT IS

• This term refers to the tendency by humans to consciously or semi-consciously conceptualize people in different social groups as being significantly different than people in their group and thus deserving of different treatment.

• This tendency is widespread and is related to humans’ deeply embedded tribal behavior.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT

Some argue that othering is the fundamental behavior that drives not only racism, but many other “isms” that involve oppression of some groups over others. (racism, sexism, homophobia, xenophobia, etc.)

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF

Outside The Racial Context

• Group competitions in artificial settings

• Distrust of people from different neighborhoods, cities, or regions

• Intense rivalries between sports teams and their fans

• Conflicts between immigrants based on their regions of origin

• Exaggerated small differences between groups

• Homophobia

In The Context Of Race/Ethnicity

• Seeing people of different groups as more criminally minded, less intelligent, etc.

• Seeing people from other groups as more cunning, more docile, or smarter
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- Xenophobia
- Tribal conflicts around the world
- Ethnic cleansing
- Slavery
- Genocide

WHY IT IS HARD TO SEE
- It’s a natural phenomenon that happens in a multiplicity of ways.
- Othering outside of the racial context is easy to see, and often easy for people to admit.
- Being a “racist” has become a significant moral crime, so people do not want to admit they do othering by race, even if they do so infrequently and subtly.
- When people admit they do othering in the racial context, they are often shamed instead of appreciated for their honesty.

PREPARING FOR RESISTANCE FROM SKEPTICS
Here are some of the things that skeptics resistant to acknowledging othering on the basis of race sometimes say:
- “Divisions between groups are the natural order of things.”
- “You believe in cultural differences, right? Some cultures just have more problems than others.”
- “People have always been loyal to their group, and this is OK.”
- “What happens in the US is no worse than most other places, and is arguably better than most.”
- “The best way to avoid doing this is to decide that I don’t see differences. We are supposed to be colorblind anyway, right?”

REFLECTION QUESTIONS
1. If you have one, describe an experience where out of loyalty to a group you are in (not race based) you found yourself excessively “othering”
people in a different group? (Examples might be people in other school neighborhoods, or fraternal organizations).

2. What happens for you emotionally when you find yourself othering people? Are there situations where this bothers you, or does not bother you? What affects your emotions about it? How do you think your emotional reaction affects your ability to spot your own behavior about this?

3. If you have one, describe an experience where you observed someone excessively othering people from a different group (not necessarily because of race) because of circumstances and/or their loyalty to a group they were in.

4. What did adults teach you about how to think about people from other groups? What were the verbal and non-verbal messages you got about how different groups – especially racial but perhaps others - were fundamentally similar? What were the verbal and non-verbal messages you got about how different groups were in fact rather different?

5. If you can remember an early experience where you acted on the belief that POC were different, describe it.

6. What was a formative experience that reflected you coming to your own conclusions about group similarities and/or differences?

7. Have you ever observed a situation where a POC was “othering” someone of a different racial/ethnic group? If so, how do you explain why this was happening?

8. Describe an experience in the past few years where you mentally ‘othered’ a POC, even if you did not mean to do so, or even if the effect on your behavior was slight/not discernible.

SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES

Your primary objective is to get the skeptic to understand/admit they are subject to sometimes regarding people of color as “other”, even if they only do this now and then. The primary approach is to admit that you sometimes do this and that doing this does not make you a horrible person.
However, you are also not trying to conceptualize othering as a positive behavior either. Your primary messages are:

- Othering is a natural human phenomenon that is sometimes harmless (sports teams) and is often taken too far (gangs, war).
- Othering on the basis of race is unambiguously bad.
- Even good people who are taught to not think of POC as other are subject to doing this now and then.

**Strategy 1: Position othering as a common human behavior that can sometimes go awry. After establishing that, pivot to how good people sometimes do this by race.**

1. Discuss a time when you witnessed a person othering another group (not based on race) in a way that went too far.
2. Discuss a situation where group loyalty (not based on race) caused you to make inaccurate/unnecessary conclusions about other groups.
3. Ask them if they have ever done something similar, out of feelings of intense group loyalty.
4. If appropriate, frame Othering as a natural tendency that can be fun in the right proportion (e.g. sporting rivalries), but also destructive if taken too far.
5. Talk briefly about a time a long time ago when you mentally othered a POC. If necessary, blame your upbringing.
6. Talk briefly about a time when you othered a POC as an adult. If necessary, blame media portrayals, bad experiences, or whatever other plausible causes. Don't position the behavior as showing bad character.
7. See if they are willing to own up to ever having othered a POC in the distant past, then in the more recent past.
8. As needed, explore their sense of why this has happened, including their upbringing.
9. If you need to further assuage their defensiveness – briefly discuss a time when you observed a POC doing this to a white person, or another person who they considered “different”.
Note: the risk of this strategy is that it may take too long for some people who do not have the patience to follow an extensive through line with one topic.

**Strategy 2: Position othering by race as a behavior that most people were taught by people who loved them.**

1. Discuss what you were taught about other racial groups by your parents or by other early influences. Tell at least one story of being influenced to look at POC as other.
2. Explore any influences they may have had to seeing POC as other.
3. Discuss other circumstances you have seen where young people (especially whites) were influenced to see groups as other. Do not frame this in conservative/liberal terms, especially if the skeptic is conservative. If you have one, tell an example of an othering by a white person whom you know was liberal, and work that fact in the anecdote in a way that doesn't seem obtrusive.
4. Discuss how you see othering behavior in your own subtle thoughts, even if these thoughts seem to rarely affect your behavior.
5. Discuss how you see othering behavior by other people, especially other white people.
6. Explore whether they can remember any time, even long ago, when they engaged in othering either in their minds or in their actions.

Consider whether it is useful to discuss whatever judgments you made about yourself when you have othered people. If you bring up your negative self-judgment, frame these judgments as actually impeding you from being honest with yourself about what you are actually doing. (For more ideas about this, see the discussion about Shame in the Odds and Ends section).

**Strategy 3: Start with othering by race by leveraging their likely belief in “reverse racism.”**

1. Optional: Frame the conversation as about unfortunate divisions in the country
2. Discuss a time when you observed a person of color othering someone.

3. Invite them to discuss if they have ever seen something like this.

4. Frame the behavior in a universal human context.

5. Discuss a time when you did this to a person of color a long time ago.

6. Discuss a time when you othered a person of color more recently.

7. Invite them to describe a time when they have looked at a person of color as “other.”

8. Lightly touch on the fact that even though there is a general tendency for all groups, the impact on all groups is not the same. (Don’t hammer too much on this point...you can come back to issues of relative impact at a later time. The critical task is to admit that you are subject to othering by race, and to get them to admit that they are too).
UNCONSCIOUS BIAS

WHAT IT IS:

- The automatic and unintentional associations – often negative – that people make between groups of people and sets of ideas.

- These biases often exist below the awareness of the person who has the bias.

- In many cases, these biases run counter to the conscious values the person has. For instance, many white people deeply committed to racial justice still have unconscious biases against people of color.

- One illustration of the way these biases work unintentionally is that research has shown that substantial portions of people of color have an unconscious bias against their own racial group.

Put simply, unconscious bias creates uncertainty around any person’s claim that they are not biased, and attribution error casts doubt on any person’s assertion that someone else has bias.

The phenomenon of unconscious bias and othering are very closely related, and are arguably two aspects of the same behavior. To the extent there is a difference, the heart of it is how aware we are of how our minds are working. For the sake of moving the skeptic up a ladder of understanding, the unconscious bias idea is more important. If you can get a skeptic to acknowledge its reality, unconscious bias provides a way for the skeptic to lessen their focus on conscious intent; this focus blocks a good deal of white people’s understanding about how modern racism works.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT

- Many white people believe that if they are not consciously biased, they cannot be part of the societal problem of racism.
• Helping skeptics see that you and they are both subject to being unconsciously racist helps combat the “Racism is not my problem” perspective.

• To deepen one’s understanding of racism, it is important to see that racial bias can be hidden from the person who has it while being apparent to observers.

• Helping skeptics see that POC are subject to unconscious bias all the time can help undermine the idea that racism is all in the past and that claims of racism are cynical and self-interested.

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF:
There is very good documentation about the ways that unconscious bias shows up in people’s behavior toward people of color. It will be useful for you to be able to repeat stories about what you have observed or have been told by people you trust. Stories from the perspective of people of color are powerful, but when talking to skeptics, stories from other white people admitting to being affected by unconscious bias may be more impactful. Examples include:

• Subtle safety based behaviors, such as: crossing the street, locking car doors, clutching purses in the presence of a POC.

• Making less eye contact and smiling less.

• Having lower expectations or being surprised that people are competent or accomplished.

• Giving people less favorable evaluations for jobs or during employment.

• Just having the feeling that someone of color “would not fit in.”

• Providing lower quality customer services in restaurants, health care facilities, retail establishments, and so on.

WHY IT IS HARD TO SEE

• By its nature, unconscious bias is very difficult for the person with the bias to see. It is also difficult for observers to see with complete reliability. (One of the reasons the scientists know it exists is because
it is possible to perform studies looking at large numbers of people and observe the affect of race on a group of people, even though each individual in a group might honestly claim to not be affected by race).

- The narrative that “racism = unabashed bigotry” is deeply ingrained in American culture. Many people tend to think in very “yes or no” terms, and unconscious bias adds an additional gray area that may challenge some people’s preferred way of categorizing with ideas.

PREPARING FOR RESISTANCE FROM SKEPTICS

Some reasons that skeptics will resist:
- Acknowledging that unconscious racism exists can make skeptics feel like they open the door for an infinite number of claims of racism, some of which are inaccurate or even cynical.
- Unconscious bias creates self-doubt and this is difficult for people who dislike ambiguity, which many conservatives do.
- Admitting that pervasive unconscious bias against POC exists counters the idea that today’s white people as a group are innocent of America’s historic racism problem.

REFLECTION QUESTIONS TO PREPARE TO ENGAGE YOUR SKEPTIC

1. Was there a time when you did not think that unconscious racial bias was something you might have? If you can remember it, describe a period of your life or an incident when you were denying that you had any bias?

2. If you had an eye opening experience in realizing that unconscious bias was real, jot some notes about this story below.

3. If you have had an experience where you realized that you were subject to unconscious bias by others, jot some notes about this below.

4. If you have heard a story from a person of color that you trust (not necessarily someone you know personally) discussing the way that unconscious bias by whites affects them, jot a few notes about this experience as well as how you felt when you first heard it.

5. If you have taken the Implicit Association Test 1, jot down your

1  https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/
experience of taking the test and absorbing the results.

**SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES**

**Strategy 1. Start by exploring how skeptic might have faced unconscious bias against them.**

1. Discuss some quality that you have that makes you sometimes subject to bias.
2. Identify a quality they have that may make them subject to unconscious bias sometimes, e.g. gender, weight, strong accent, disability, etc.
3. Try to get them to admit that other people’s biases about them is sometimes something they have to work around.
4. Discuss a way that you are subject to occasional bias because of some quality (be careful of doing this before getting them to admit they are sometimes victims of bias. You may be forced to spend conversational energy trying to convince them that you are a victim).
5. If there is some shared quality that makes both of you subject to bias, so much the better!
6. Turn the concept around and raise the topic of biases that either of your might have. Discuss a bias that you have against a group that is not based on race. After telling an anecdote where you illustrate your doing this, ask them if they ever have noticed that they have sometimes have a bias about some group, even if was only a passing thought.

**Strategy 2: Start by owning up to racial bias you have felt (this is basically starting at step 6 above).**

1. Talk about your subtle biases based on race that you have had in the past based on upbringing or circumstances.
2. After positioning having unconscious bias as a forgivable act, ask if your skeptic can admit to ever noticing themselves having a unconscious racial biases at some point in the past.
3. If you have one, tell your story about how you came to see that you had a bias when previously did not think that you did.
4. Based on your own experiences of what you have learned from other white allies, invite them to consider the possibility that they sometimes still have biases that affect them.

**Strategy 3: start with the fact that POC can have bias against their own group, and pivot to how bias affects you and them.**

1. Relate evidence that POC sometimes have biases against their own group. Some evidence:
   - Doll studies, such as done by Kenneth Clarke in the 1950’s and CNN in 2010.
   - Results from Implicit Association Test (some studies have found that 28% of blacks have an unconscious preference for whites over blacks).

2. Discuss how seeing this evidence gives you the courage to look at yourself.

3. Discuss your journey to realize that you were not free of bias; if possible, include negative ideas about POC you were exposed to as a very young person.

4. Invite them to consider how bias might affect them; ground it in their upbringing if necessary.

**Strategy 4: Have them take the Implicit Association Test.**

The Implicit Association Test is a widely used instrument for measuring unconscious bias. It can be done online in a few minutes. There are versions of the instrument that focus on race, gender, disability, and many other dimensions of identity. As a white ally, you should complete the instrument for your own reflection, whether or not you make discussing your experience of the instrument part of your strategies with racism skeptics.

---

1. Invite the skeptic to take the test, either in your presence or not.
2. Brush up on the evidence that the test is widely accepted as valid\(^5\), though it does have its critics.\(^6\)
3. Be prepared for the possibility that they will want to reject the results.
4. Talk about your reaction to your own results, especially those that reflect an inclination to deny or reject the results.
5. Discuss the skeptic’s experience of the instrument. Bring to bear as much empathy as possible if they are prone to rejecting or otherwise minimizing the results.

No matter which strategy you choose:

- At some point, convey that there is scientific consensus that the best way to fight bias is to admit it and work actively against it.\(^7\) Frame this as ironic, if helpful.
- Emphasize that we do not have to feel guilty to having bias, but must be morally accountable for doing something about it.

\(^6\) [https://www.chronicle.com/article/Can-We-Really-Measure-Implicit/238807](https://www.chronicle.com/article/Can-We-Really-Measure-Implicit/238807)
ATTRIBUTION ERROR

WHAT IT IS:
Attribution error concerns the inherent difficulty that people face in trying to understand other people’s actions and reasons for them. The term most commonly refers to the tendency for people to explain other people’s behavior by too frequently implicating their character, instead of the circumstances they are facing. By contrast, when explaining their own behavior, people much more frequently refer to situational factors as driving forces. Sometimes the term is used to discuss the fact that people in disadvantaged groups, who are mistreated, often have a very difficult time knowing if they are being treated poorly because of their group status.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT:
If you can get a skeptic to understand the concept (the complexity may make this difficult in some cases), you can invite them away from the view that people overly claim racism because they are cynically “playing the race card.” Instead, you can help them see that attribution error may play a role in such claims. The concept also potentially highlights their own error about how others are playing the race card. The concept also can be an on-ramp to discuss the way that whites sometimes make assessments about people of color that conform with stereotypes (e.g. lazy, unintelligent, criminally-minded, etc.)

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF:
- People of color thinking that a white person’s behavior is a function of their being biased when their behavior is actually related to the situation they are confronting.
- White people thinking that a person of color’s behavior is a function of some negative trait about them (perhaps linked to their race) when their behavior is actually related to the situation they are confronting, like racism that is invisible to most white people.
PREPARING FOR RESISTANCE FROM SKEPTICS:
This may be a challenge for people who are not oriented toward abstract thinking. It demands that they do the mental gymnastics of looking at the same situation from different points of view.

Note: One way to potentially introduce this concept and reduce initial resistance by the skeptic is to first attach it to the possibility that it applies to accusations that POC make about racism. After the skeptic integrates the concept, you can later raise how it applies to whites generally and themselves.

REFLECTION QUESTIONS
1. Describe an experience you have had that is primarily NOT race related where attribution error might have been affecting a conclusion someone made about you (or that you made about someone else). It’s best if the story has a very vivid reveal moment, so that it most people would easily see that the person making the conclusion simply overlooked some situation-specific factors that really mattered.

2. Describe an experience you had (or know of) that does involve race (not accusations of racism) where attribution error was very likely playing a role. After remembering the basic core of the story, practice framing the key dynamics in light of attribution error.

3. Describe a situation where a person (best if it’s a white person) was suspected of or accused of having a racially prejudiced intent and you thought this was more likely wrong than right. Practice telling the story in a way that leads to a takeaway about attribution error.

SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES:
A core decision that you have to sort out is: At what point do you point directly at this concept and its high-faulting name and accompanying complexity? If your skeptic is a conceptual thinker, presenting attribution error as a concept early in the conversation may be intriguing; it will still be important to leverage your and their experiences to explore the concept. If the skeptic tends toward concrete thinking, it may be useful to focus on experiences first.
**Strategy 1: Introduce a non-racial experience involving attribution error, then pivot to a racial situation.**

1. Discuss a personal experience not related to race where attribution error affected you (either because you came to bad conclusions or someone came to bad conclusions about you);
2. Explain the concept of attribution error;
3. Invite them to tell you an experience where attribution error affected them – in either direction;
4. Reinforce that this is a basic and common human error relevant to many circumstances;
5. Recall a situation where you thought attribution error was involved in someone’s suspicions/accusation (could be a POC or a white person) that a white person was operating on racially biased motivations;
6. Explore if they have ever seen a situation like this and have them tell you about it;
7. Link the accusations to attribution error;
8. If they make comments suggesting that making accusations of racism are a tendency of POC, have a conversation about how attribution error may be influencing the skeptic’s own perspective on this.

**Strategy 2: Start from a situation involving a potentially inaccurate assertion of racism**

Same as Strategy 1, except start at step 5

**Strategy 3: Start with a case when a racial stereotype appeared to affect a person of color.**

1. Discuss a situation where a white person explained a POC’s behavior with a racialized explanation that you thought was inappropriate. Include how you felt in the moment.
2. Explain attribution error and how learning about it gave you more empathy for the white person in the situation.
3. If you have any examples, share a story about you explaining a POC’s behavior in a way that reflected attribution error. (Depending on the
emotional import of these stories, it may be more effective to do this step before doing steps 1 and 2).

4. Invite them to share an experience involving them making a negative assessment related to race where, in retrospect, they see that attribution error might have been at work.

5. Remind them that attribution error should make us more compassionate toward people who make false conclusions, as well as more humble about conclusions that we draw.

6. Shift the focus to attributions about racism by relating an experience where someone attributed a racist motivation to someone in a way that you thought might have been inappropriate.

7. Explore a situation where they think someone made a claim of racist motivation that they thought was likely inappropriate.

Note: There is a very important relationship between unconscious bias and attribution error.

There is an interesting interaction between unconscious bias and attribution error. The reality of unconscious bias means that people are subject to acting in ways that reflect motivations they are not aware of. On the other hand, attribution error means that people may often attribute motivations about other people's behavior to factors about them (such as unconscious bias) in a way that might be inaccurate. Put simply, unconscious bias creates uncertainty around any person's claim that they are not biased, and attribution error casts doubt on any person's assertion that someone else has bias. There is no clean way out of this knot of ambiguity; if people are disagreeing about the role that bias might be playing in a situation, they will likely need to rely on interpersonal dialogue and some measure of humility to try to make progress toward a shared understanding of what is happening.

This knot of ambiguity is made more complicated by the fear that many white people have that people of color are often judging them because the POC thinks the white person is a bigot. This concern is the next topic, which is called racial anxiety.
RACIAL ANXIETY

WHAT IT IS

- Racial anxiety is the fear that someone will make a negative assessment of their character based on race.

- This is primarily thought of as applying to cross-racial encounters, though this might be changing as progressives and conservative whites are increasingly at loggerheads around racial issues.

- For people of color, racial anxiety is a centuries old problem of worrying that whites might feel about them negatively.

- For whites, this issue has only been around for a few decades; specifically, it is the worry that a person of color will think that they are a racist.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT

- Many white people have a subtle level of nervousness in encounters with people of color. Sometimes, this nervousness – which can exist below the conscious awareness of the white person in question – can cause the white person to make a variety of negative assessments of their counterparts or of the encounter.

- Racial anxiety can also cause people to make small choices that effectively lower the probability of having encounters across racial lines. By naming racial anxiety as a general phenomenon that can affect everyone, it may be easier for your skeptic to be more honest with themselves about what happens for them in cross-racial encounters.

- Many white people hold racial grievances, and feel that “white people have racial problems too.” By naming a commonly held feeling – even though you should not primarily blame people of color for this – you are meeting the skeptic with the sensibility they may already have.

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF

Interestingly, racial anxiety produces some of the same behavioral responses that happen when people are actually racially biased, such as...
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- Less eye contact
- Less smiling or laughing
- Increased nervousness and fidgeting
- Shorter verbal responses and a less willingness to verbally engage

Racial anxiety often causes white people to come away from an encounter with a person of color with the “feeling” that they were being judged as racist, even if this was not actually true.

WHY AND HOW SKEPTICS RESIST
Since POC often do think that whites harbor conscious or unconscious racist feelings toward them, the supposition that this is happening is not always wrong. As a result, many skeptics may fall back on an “It's not me, it's them,” point of view.

ON LANGUAGE
Many people, especially men, have a strong resistance to the idea that they have anything that might be labeled “anxiety.” If you think this applies to one of the skeptics you are working with, you might use a different word, like “racial doubt” or “racist concern”. The core point is that this feeling among white people may have nothing to do with the actual thoughts of people of color in a particular situation.

REFLECTION QUESTIONS
1. Jot some notes down about an experience where you were nervous about what someone (not in a position of power over you) thought about you that had nothing to do with race. If possible, choose an experience where you subsequently received strong confirmation that most or all of your fears that you had were unwarranted.

2. Jot some notes down about an experience you remember of worrying about being perceived as racist by people of color. Group experiences are OK, but one on one or very small group experiences will likely be more effective. If you have multiple possibilities, write them all down. Take note of which stories will be the most compelling, either because your anxiety level was high, your discovery that your anxiety was
misplaced was very clear, or for some other reason.

3. If you have ever had a conversation with other white folks about feeling nervous about being perceived as racist by POC, recall one or two of those experiences here. As above, stories where people are discussing one on one encounters are usually better, since large group settings have different dynamics.

4. If you have ever had a POC talk to you about reassuring a white person that they were not judging them, recall some elements of that conversation here.

**SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES**
Your overall goal is to get the skeptic to admit that at least on rare occasions, they are subject to feeling a small bit of racial anxiety. While you want them to be as honest as possible about how often this affects them, an admission that they have ever felt this feeling means you have gotten them to concede that some white people might experience this even more frequently. Thus, racial anxiety becomes part of your shared frame of analysis about racial dynamics.

**Strategy 1: Start with racial anxiety and a time when you felt it**
This strategy can work if you and the skeptic already have a shared understanding that unnecessary anxieties sometimes affect human interaction.

1. Discuss a time when you felt racial anxiety yourself.
2. If you can remember one, share an experience of conversation with other whites about being nervous about being perceived as racist.
3. At this point, you have provided a very gradual on-ramp to them admitting to sometimes having racial anxiety. Invite the skeptic to share a time when they felt nervous because of how they might be judged as racist.
4. As they tell the story, try to get them to recall how their behavior might have been different than if they did not feel that anxiety.
5. If the two of you have a shared understanding that there are highly prejudiced white people in the world, discuss how some of the
behaviors caused by racial anxiety are similar to what white folks do when they are very prejudiced and do not want to connect with POC for that reason. If your previous conversations have not established that there are otherwise good people who have racial prejudices, you may want to skip this step. (The issue of highly racially prejudiced people will be directly dealt with later in this sequence).

6. Discuss what might be strategies for people to connect in the face of all of these swirling feelings.

**Strategy 2: Start with interpersonal anxiety about being judged (not about race), then pivot toward a racial situation** (This is a longer on-ramp to the conversation and may be appropriate when you and the skeptic do not have a shared understanding that anxiety is a common factor in human interaction).

1. Discuss a time when you felt anxiety, not related to race, about how someone felt about you and when you later discovered your anxieties, it turned out to be mostly unfounded. (If you know a situation like this involving someone else, that is OK). The key point is that the subject of the story was making unfounded or significantly overblown judgments of others in the story.

2. Explore whether this has ever happened to them and invite them to share this experience.

3. Pause for a moment to focus your alignment about the fact that anxieties, sometimes unfounded, can make interactions more difficult.

4. After you have established that non-racial anxiety can disrupt interactions, discuss a time when you felt racial anxiety yourself.

5. Continue with steps 1 through 6 from Strategy 1.
UNEARNED RACIAL ADVANTAGE (COMMONLY CALLED WHITE PRIVILEGE)

WHAT IT IS
- The state of experiencing the benefits of being part of a group that is considered normal or even superior in one, some, or many aspects of life.
- Alternate Definition: The state of not being part of a group that is frequently mistreated by institutions and individuals. Also, the state of not experiencing frequent race-based mistreatment across a number of life dimensions, such as within employment, health care, and/or education.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT
- Many have argued that most white people have a deeply ambivalent relationship to the idea that whiteness carries advantages. People are highly resistant to acknowledging this, even though a minuscule portion of whites would say they would rather be non-white.
- The lack of clarity about whether there are advantages to being white makes it easier to deny that racism causes unfairness to people of color and thereby hurts society.
- If one acknowledges that whiteness has unearned advantages, it is more difficult to also maintain that racism is over.

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF
- Not accepting that unearned racial advantages overwhelmingly benefits whites makes it more possible for whites to cultivate racial grievances.
- Denying unearned racial advantage keeps white people from seeing American society as it actually functions and lowers the empathy many people have towards people of color.
- Denial of this concept tends to support a diminished support for efforts to improve racial equity.
WHY AND HOW SKEPTICS RESIST

Common strategies of resistance:

- Focusing on how hard they and other whites have had to work
- Shifting attention to POC who have had economic advantages
- Shifting attention to POC who defied the odds
- Avoiding the discussion of racism
- Shifting attention to white people who have more advantages than they do based on class status
- Overemphasizing the rare cases when a POC has a racial advantage

While this project does not categorically discourage use of the words “white privilege” with racism skeptics, it is worth noting that many workshop participants have told us that this word often triggers people and tends to make conversation about the phenomenon more difficult.

Previous parts of this primer have suggested that you consider raising concepts in a non-race based way, get the skeptic’s buy in, and then apply the concept to racial situations. You should consider this approach here as well. Specifically, consider framing unearned racial advantage as something that can theoretically affect any group. (For example, tall black men benefit from positive assumptions when they are near a basketball pick-up game, regardless of their actual level of skill). Once you establish unearned racial advantage as a generalizable phenomenon, you can shift the discussion to examine the ways and to what extent this concept applies to different groups, and the relative impact on these groups.
ON LANGUAGE

Do not get stuck on calling this phenomenon “white privilege” because:

- Some people will get stuck on the word ‘privilege’ because this idea does not fit their narrative for themselves
- Some people will get stuck on “white” because they will associate this word with old fashioned bigotry

If you don’t like the phrase unearned racial advantage, other options include:

- White advantage, or advantages to being white
- Racial privilege
- White racial advantage
- Racial “leg up”

While this project does not categorically discourage use of the words “white privilege” with racism skeptics, it is worth noting that many workshop participants have told us that this word often triggers people and tends to make conversation about the phenomenon more difficult. We encourage you to experiment with terminology. Your focus should be on upgrading the skeptic’s conceptualization about how race works in society, not their vocabulary.

REFLECTION QUESTIONS

1. If you can think of a story that you have heard about a POC having an unearned racial advantage, jot notes about the story here.

2. What was your most powerful memory of hearing experiences from a person of color that made it clear to you that white privilege was a real thing?

---

8 “I use those terms: white supremacy, racism, white privilege – and what I have found is that if you use those terms, before other person knows what you mean, it breaks down communication. Because I know what I mean but they don’t know what I mean. For instance, if you use the term white privilege, they say “You are more privileged than I am. What do you mean? I don’t have white privilege?” They don’t understand what you are talking about. Same thing with racism. I have to do better at breaking myself of it, but I have to stop using terms where I know what I mean but they don’t what I mean. It breaks down communication.” – Participant in White Ally Toolkit Workshop, Detroit
3. What are the things you don’t have to worry about because you are white that you can talk about in the most compelling way?

4. Were there any particularly powerful, direct experiences that you have had that brought home to you the advantages of being white?

5. Before you became aware of white privilege as a real factor, what where your strongest arguments about why you were NOT privileged?

SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES

*Strategy 1: Pivot from the idea the unearned racial advantage is a general concept that can also apply to people of color sometimes. (This only works if you have 1-2 stories like this)*

1. Discuss a story you have heard about a POC benefiting from positive assumptions in way that denotes racial advantage in a specific domain.

2. Confirm that the skeptic agrees that they see the story as connoting unearned racial advantage.

3. Tell a story from your own experience about when you think you experienced unearned advantage from being white. (It’s best if the story involves you receiving real benefits, instead of just your lack of worry about how others will treat you).

4. Ask them if they have ever experienced a situation where they thought they had an advantage because they were white.

5. After getting agreement that unearned racial advantage exists and can cut different ways at different times, have a conversation about the relative magnitude and significance of how racial advantage for different groups plays out for society and for individuals. (For example, a black person getting the assumption of being a good athlete has some impact on some specific settings, while a white person getting the assumption of being trustworthy has an arguably bigger impact in many more settings). It will be useful to have done some thinking about this beforehand, so that you are not struggling for points during the conversation.

6. If you had an emotional reaction when you first accepted the idea of white privilege, discuss this reaction. If it seems like it will advance
your connection, see if the skeptic has any feelings about the idea that they have built-in advantages over people of color.

**Strategy 2: Pivot from your own experiences**

1. Consider referencing the previous conversations on subjects of this primer that connote the advantages of being white. For instance, worrying about being considered racist, while certainly a problem, is not as bad as worrying about being considered inferior.

2. If you can be articulate and believable about it, discuss aspects of unearned racial advantage that concern not having to worry about common difficulties (e.g. mistreatment by police, discrimination in retail, etc). The key for this to work in the conversation is that you have actually experience these advantages on an emotional level, and you are not just reading from a liberal script.

3. Expand the view from “being white means less worry” to the question of whether being white is just easier overall.

4. Discuss 1-2 examples that are vivid for you that illustrate you benefiting from white racial advantage.

5. Explore whether the skeptic ever feels that being white is an advantage. If they are willing to admit it, invite them to share one specific story that illustrates this. Unless you are completely confident that it will help the conversation, do NOT suggest that they have any obligation to do anything about this. By adding a moral obligation to the admission that being white is easier, you make this admission more difficult. Trust that you can return to the moral implications in a later conversation.

6. If they resist acknowledging how being white has advantages in many circumstances, try this thought experiment: Have them imagine themselves as a soul about to enter two possible just-created embryos, one white and one POC. Have them imagine that the two embryos are from families with the same economic background. Ask them how they would make a decision about which embryo they would choose to house their soul for a lifetime. You might run the experiment multiple times with different birth years. You may need to review the concepts
from previous conversations you have had if it is not obvious to the skeptic that being white has many advantages. Feel free to express your perspective and the reasons why you would make the choice you would make. Do not approach the conversation as if they are categorically wrong. Be sure to participate with an attitude of inquiry and exploration if you want them to do the same.

7. Explore whether any part of them resists or does not like the idea that they benefit from being white. Again, if you remember when part of you resisted this admission, bring up this experience.

8. Discuss how you have come to terms with white racial advantage. Be careful to NOT convey that the only way to effectively respond to the reality of white advantage is to be as committed as you are to racial equity. Instead, provide 2-3 small things that you do or have done. Remember, your goal is to make it easy to admit that there are advantages to being white; conveying a sense of significant accompanying moral obligations may make it harder for them to be honest about having these advantages.

9. Keep in mind, your goal is to not create a full-fledged convert, but rather someone who is willing to be a little open to something they have denied and who might consider 1-2 small steps to redress the unfairness they have realized.
Racial threat is the feeling of discomfort that individuals and groups sometimes feel when they observe developments that they think threaten to cause a decline in the political power, social status, prestige, and/or advantages of their racial group.

**Why It Is Important?**

- Racial threat has always been a part of the interaction between racial groups, with white populations responding to racial threat by taking steps to protect their group power.
- Arguably, racial threat has been the dominant factor in how white populations have dealt with non-white populations since colonization.
- Moreover, there is a good research that concludes that the popularity of Donald Trump is a reflection of the widespread feeling of racial threat among white people.\(^9\)
- Racial threat can affect people of color, too. For instance, there has been significant angst in significant quarters of the black community in Detroit, Washington DC, Brooklyn, and other places about gentrification and losses in economic and political power.
- Pointing out racial threat as a phenomenon that other groups sometimes experience may make it easier for a skeptic to believe that racial threat affects white populations.

**How It Manifests Itself**

- People who are feeling racial threat often feel heightened feelings of resentment toward people from other groups, regardless of whether or not those individuals have anything to do with this perceived potential loss of group status.
- In extreme circumstances, these feelings can result in violent hate crimes, riots, and/or mob attacks.

These feelings can also result in large-scale group behavior, such as mass actions to suppress groups or retain certain perceived benefits. This may include enacting laws or policies or electing public officials so that the relevant branch of government protects one groups’ perceived status.

**WHY AND HOW SKEPTICS RESIST**

- Unless they are extreme racists, white skeptics are not used to thinking of each other as part of a racial group that has self-perceived group interests.
- The idea of white people having group interests that they sometimes defend or pursue has echoes of an extremely uncomfortable racial history.
- To defend against feeling connected to this long history of group suppression, skeptics are likely to have some resistance to admitting these inklings.

**SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES**

Racial threat may be a very scary strategy for a skeptic to contemplate. For this reason, you might consider a rather long conversational “on ramp”.

*Strategy: Point out that whites are not alone in feeling racial threat*

1. Bring up examples of non-white groups feeling racial threat.
2. Discuss what the skeptic thinks about these situations and whether these feelings are understandable.
3. Explain the concept of racial threat.
4. Demonstrate that you have empathy for feelings of racial threat by sharing an experience in which you felt racial threat.
5. Explore together whether the skeptic or you have ever seen situations where a white person was clearly feeling racial threat. (Examples of people close to you who are not avowed racists are likely to be the most helpful).
6. Probe the skeptic for times when they might have felt feelings that could reasonably be called racial threat. (See the reflection questions...
for you as potential prompts provide examples of questions to ask).

7. In many cases, it will be useful to let some time go by before the next steps.

8. Have an exploratory conversation about how racial threat might manifest itself in ways that are not obvious to whites at first. This might include exploring examples when POC have brought up the idea of racial threat (probably not using that term) and whites did not perceive it.

9. You may also want to explore how it is that this dynamic has often remained invisible to most whites.

**REFLECTION QUESTIONS**

1. Think of 2-3 times when you had an internal reaction that may have emerged from racial threat. Examples might include:
   - Feeling like your neighborhood is being “invaded” by others
   - Wondering what will happen to people “like you” if an organization continues to change with new populations

2. Become familiar with at least two examples of dynamics where non-white groups have experienced racial threat. Given the level of gentrification that negatively impacts historically places of color such as Brooklyn, Harlem, Detroit, Austin, finding examples is not difficult. After doing a few minutes of internet research to familiarize yourself with 2-3 examples, jot down your talking points.
3. Recall 2-3 times when a white person who was not an avowed racist demonstrated a perspective that demonstrated racial threat.
RACIAL BACKLASH AND RACIAL DENIAL

WHAT IT IS
Racial backlash describes the collective negative reaction of a large number of white people to a perceived advance by people of color. The backlash is an attempt to restore the racial order. Racial denial is often paired with backlash and describes the ways that white people often refuse to acknowledge racist motivations. It is part of what is happening when people are engaging in backlash behaviors.

Even though backlash and denial are largely collective behaviors, they can sometimes be observed, with discernment, at the level of the individual people. Still, it is likely to be more difficult to get skeptics to acknowledge this phenomenon than the previous concepts in this primer.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT
Over the course of American history, there have been numerous times when white communities as a group have a negative response to changes in the racial order. When this happens, many whites do not feel they are involved in a backlash against people of color, but rather think of themselves as merely doing what is good for themselves and for society as a whole.

HOW IT MANIFESTS ITSELF
American history is replete with moments of white racial backlash. There are too many to list individually. Your task is to brush up on a few diverse examples so that you can answer 2-3 follow up questions when a skeptic asks you for examples. To review a few examples:
- Passing laws that limited individual freedoms – such as the Black Codes passed after the Civil War
- Riots that aim to destroy entire communities – see Tulsa OK and Rosewood Fl, in the 1920s, Detroit and St. Louis in the 1940s to name just four
- Community mobilizations to oppose integration, such when blacks tried to integrate Levittown
- Uncoordinated large-scale migration – such as white flight in hundreds of major cities
• Coordinated acts of withdrawal from collective structures such as public schools – one example is massive resistance in Virginia. Another example is the closing of public schools to avoid integration in Prince Edward County, VA.

• Passing laws that have the effect of restricting minority political power, such as poll taxes and literacy tests in the 20th century. (Although it seems clear that 21st Century Voter ID laws fit this pattern, you probably should not bring this up since this is a live public issue with active propaganda around this in today’s news. Instead, let the skeptic come to reconsidering such laws after they become comfortable with the topic being relevant in previous eras).

WHY AND HOW SKEPTICS RESIST
• Racial backlash implies a widespread enforcement of a racial order by large numbers of white people.

• With an unsophisticated attitude about what racism is and how it is supported by otherwise “good people”, the tremendous solidarity and harsh oppression implied by racial backlash may deeply challenge the skeptic’s sense of comfort that they are innocent of racism because they do not harbor consciously racist views.

• If you have not laid the groundwork to get the skeptic’s buy-in to the idea that individuals can manifest racially motivated behaviors unawares, racial backlash will likely be extremely difficult for them to accept. In fact, the skeptic may be challenged enough by racial backlash that they may want to retract their prior admissions to some of the individually focused concepts covered previously in this workbook.

SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES
There are two core challenges: 1) to overcome their denial that these backlashes are part of a pattern of behavior by white populations and 2) to get the skeptic to see that good people can participate in racial backlashes at varying levels of conscious racism, including very low levels.

A potentially fruitful way of overcoming these barriers is to search your own personal and family history for examples of participating in a
collective behavior that could reasonably be regarded as part of a racial backlash. Because you will be talking about people that you presumably regard with some esteem, you might help the skeptic get past the idea that only “bad people” are part of backlashes and denial. Moreover, it will additionally helpful if you can recount how those participating in the backlash looked at their decisions at the time.

**REFLECTION QUESTIONS**

1. Search your memory for 1-2 times when you or people you respect participated in or supported a group response that could be reasonably thought of as racial backlash/denial. Try to capture how they thought about the situation at the time.

2. If there is one, jot a few notes down about a time when someone claimed something was racial backlash/denial, and you rejected this way of thinking. If you now think this was a backlash, be prepared to discuss how your view evolved. If you still think this was not a racial backlash, be prepared to discuss why you question the backlash label in this case, although you do not question that backlashes exist sometimes. (This question is encouraging you to find a “But later, I realized” story.)

3. If you have had strong emotions about the above situations, try to recall what they were and why you felt them.

4. What do you think is the obligation of good white people who at some point realize that they have been a part of a racial backlash previously but did not see this at the time? Whatever level of obligation you think is appropriate, why do you feel this way?

**Suggested Strategy:**

1. Discuss a situation in which a white person whom you love or respect took actions that were aligned with racial backlash/denial. It is important to be able to step inside their own narrative for their decisions – remember, they did not likely think that their motivations were based on irrational racism.

2. Reinforce that you do not see the person as having bad character, but rather was an unwitting participant in a system that tends to keep
white people from seeing the full implications of personal decisions that reinforce the racial status quo.

3. If you have one, relate a time when someone made the case to you about backlash/denial and you rejected this way of seeing the situation. Relate your rationale for why you did not see the situation in this way. Discuss how you subsequently came to different conclusions once you became more aware of how racial backlashes/denial work.

4. If you had some emotions about these conclusions, discuss them as obstacles to you seeing things clearly, if appropriate.

5. Having explained the concept broadly and provided multiple examples of how racial backlash/denial works, see if the skeptic can think of examples from their personal or family history where people made decisions that were part of a backlash.

6. Reinforce empathy for the central characters who participated unknowingly.

7. Have a discussion about the appropriate way to evaluate people’s decisions about white backlashes/denial once they have an understanding of how they work.

8. If you have brought them along this far, declare victory! Do NOT try to get them to admit anything. Let them simmer on the conversation.

REVIEW OF SOME IMPORTANT IDEAS ABOUT
CUMULATIVE RACISM

The concepts that we have reviewed in the previous section (othering, unconscious bias, attribution error, racial anxiety, unearned racial advantage, racial threat and racial denial/backlash) should be the core set of concepts that you focus on with skeptics. All of these concepts can be understood by a combination of thorough self-reflection and perhaps some conversations with others that are approached in an open minded way. Put differently, each of these concepts can be directly experienced by individuals, even if doing so requires a very detailed and courageous examination of one’s experience.

By contrast, the concepts in this section (systemic racism, structural racism, and racial equity) are to a much greater extent, cumulative or group level concepts. In order to understand these ideas, it is necessary to go outside of the experiences of oneself or a handful of people. These ideas can only be understood by integrating statistics, historical information, or other sources of input beyond personal experiences.

In a group context such as a workshop, these concepts may be easier for skeptics to grapple with and potentially accept. In fact, some allies have told us that they have found that in workshops of conservatives and liberals, focusing on non-personal issues of racism is more productive. But when trying to do one-on-one and very small group work trying to work through racism denial, you may not want to focus on group level concepts such as those about to be discussed. Because these group based concepts require external sources of information, you are subject to getting push-back about the sources of the data you are referencing, the ideological bias of the information, and similar matters.

Talking about these aspects of cumulative racism are important in racial

---

10 “I went to a symposium with conservatives and liberals and one thing that worked was to not stress the interpersonal part. Which is where people who deny racism tend to get turned out. But again, stress it from a more structural piece. This was more effective.” - Participant in White Ally Toolkit Workshop, Pasadena CA
awareness-raising. But these conversations are more likely to be productive if you already have piqued the curiosity of the skeptic about the possibility that racism is a much bigger problem than they had previously considered. In order for these conversations to not be a waste of time, your skeptic has to be in the mindset of having a degree of curiosity and openness and the skeptic will need to be open to the possibility that people can enact behaviors with racially disparate results without knowing it.

If your personal anecdotes have not influenced your skeptic to accept the concept that individuals can be inadvertently racist, it may be difficult for statistics, analogies, and logical reasoning to persuade them that individual level racism can accumulate to produce broader effects. Although this seems clear, this supposition needs to be tested. For this reason, the foregoing pages will provide some thoughts about some possibilities for how you might move a skeptic forward on these issues.

In the upcoming review of these issues it is not presumed that the skeptic is wholeheartedly embracing a racially progressive world-view; they are still a skeptic. But the presumption is that you (or some set of circumstances) have brought them along to a basic openness to some of the individual level concepts of racism previously discussed.
INSTITUTIONAL RACISM

WHAT IT IS
The way that the effects of historic, conscious, and unconscious racism can combine to produce the result of an institution treating a large portions of POC worse than white people.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT
Given the sharp declines in personal bigotry by whites against people of color in the last fifty years, it is easy for skeptics to think that racism does not exist if their only notion of racism is one based in individual attitudes and explicit laws. Some experts have argued that we are in a time of racism without racists\textsuperscript{11} and getting people to see this is a critical step in advancing toward racial equity.

TALKING ABOUT THESE ASPECTS OF CUMULATIVE RACISM ARE IMPORTANT IN RACIAL AWARENESS-RAISING.
But these conversations are more likely to be productive if you already have piqued the curiosity of the skeptic about the possibility that racism is a much bigger problem than they had previously considered.

STRATEGIES FOR RAISING THE ISSUE
Institutional racism is a widely discussed topic, so it will not be reviewed here. The relevant question is how to guide a skeptic toward and through a conversation about the topic with the best chance of not activating their resistance.

It is may be useful to be able to point out a few examples of the way that organizations have disparate impacts on different groups. It is probably best to do some background research on organizations that do not seem far afield from you and the skeptic’s direct experience. One strategy is to do some light research about organizations that you are now or have ever been connected to. For instance, you might look at what is known about disparate outcomes related to law enforcement in places you have lived, or the progress of different racial groups up the promotion ladder at places you have worked. The heart of the idea is to raise the possibility of institutional racism being an aspect of organizations that one of you is connected to and perhaps even have affection for.

An alternative approach is to get information about community institutions in the location where the skeptic lives now, or where you live or have lived. The objective would be to help the skeptic see that these racial disparate impacts can occur right around us, and this can happen in a way that many good white folks don’t see.

WHAT RESISTANCE MIGHT LOOK LIKE
Many skeptics have a deep emotional connection to the idea that society’s institutions are racially fair. One way they will resist evidence to the contrary is to question your sources of information. Thus, it is important to try to justify your ideas about institutional unfairness against claims that your sources of information are wrong.

As you are getting information to validate your point that institutional racism exists, take note of how likely your information source will be considered ideologically biased.

REFLECTION QUESTIONS
1. Which of the individual equity obstacles can you most compellingly tell a personal anecdote about? If you have discussed more than one with skeptics, which have been ones that served as the focus of the best conversation?

2. Which of the personal equity obstacles can you best connect to institutional racism? (Note: Generally, unconscious bias and racial anxiety are usually easiest for many people.)
3. What institutions have you been associated with where there is mostly undisputed evidence of their institutional racism?

4. What are some institutions that are connected to the skeptic (or better, both of you) that have undisputed links to institutional racism?

5. What is the narrative you can tell describing how you have arrived at your current understanding of the relevance of institutional racism? In other words, what will you say to persuade the skeptic that you once thought like they did?

**SOME CONVERSATIONAL STRATEGIES**

*Strategy 1: Begin with your participation in one of the individual impediments, then move the conversation to institutions.*

1. Re-examine which of the preceding six individual equity impediments discussed previously (othering, unconscious bias, attribution error, racial anxiety, racial threat, racial backlash) would be the easiest to get the skeptic to consider that they participated in.

2. Think through/learn about how this personal impediment can accumulate to cause institutional effects.

3. At an opportunity that feels reasonably natural, bring up the topic of institutional racism. It’s best if you can link it to a personal experience, even if it’s just the experience of someone else’s story that was told to you.

4. After probing a bit about their perspective/experience about institutional racism, try to connect with their perspective. If you have ever thought that a claim of institutional racism was inaccurate, bring this up if this would be helpful in connecting with your skeptic. It may be important to demonstrate that you don’t think such claims are inherently accurate.

5. Discuss a thought experiment related to whichever personal impediment is the most fertile to discuss. Brainstorm, with the skeptic, what might happen if many white people in an institution were affected by unconscious bias, racial anxiety, or any other of the interpersonal aspects of racism when interacting with people of color. Explore the possibility that if many white folks’ actions were
influenced by this impediment, the cumulative result might very well be labeled as institutional racism.

6. If you have discovered it, bring up corroborating evidence that institutional racism may take this form. Your personal experiences are most powerful, but second-hand experiences can be helpful, too. If you have facts and data, only bring them to the conversation if your skeptic is the kind of person who is persuadable by data.  

7. Be careful to frequently gauge how you are doing as the conversation progresses. Remember, your objective is not to deliver a lecture or turn them off.

_**Strategy 2: Go directly to a discussion about institutional behavior.**_

If your skeptic has embraced the idea that the two of you are on a multi-conversation inquiry about racism, you may be able to skip steps 1-4 above.

---

12 Some scientists have found that about 25% of both conservatives and liberals have a trait called “scientific curiosity”; this trait is demonstrated by these people’s willingness to be influenced by facts that tend to contradict their natural political leanings. From: [http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/next/body/scientific-curiosity-could-bridge-partisan-divide-new-study-says/](http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/next/body/scientific-curiosity-could-bridge-partisan-divide-new-study-says/)
STRUCTURAL RACISM

WHAT IT IS
Structural racism is a term that signifies the way that institutional racism across multiple sectors of life can have an even further compounding effect on people of color. This compounding effect severely undermines any efforts by individuals, institutions, or sectors to move towards the elimination of racial disparities.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT
Lurking in the backdrop of many racism skeptics (and others) is the idea that the reason that people of color have poor life outcomes across almost all measures (e.g. increased mortality, poor health, less wealth, higher unemployment, less educational attainment, higher incarceration, etc.) is because they are inherently inferior. Structural racism provides an alternative explanation by highlighting the way that people’s ability to improve their life situation is impeded by racism across multiple dimensions of their lives. This concept helps explain why communities of color have experienced only modest improvement in reversing centuries of disadvantage in the last few decades of relative progress.

HOW STRUCTURAL RACISM MANIFESTS ITSELF

Example #1:
Racial profiling in policing causes a POC to be fined for not wearing a seatbelt as a teenager. Poverty (and teenage procrastination) contributes to the teenager not addressing the citation and accumulating unpayable additional fees as a result. These unpaid fees make it impossible to get a driver’s license. Because of the history of residential segregation and racially influenced regional decisions to not connect heavily black areas to regional employment hubs (racial backlash), the young person has less access to suitable jobs and struggles with chronic unemployment.

Example #2:
Historic and current factors in residential segregation are producing food deserts in many communities of color around the country. The lack of access to affordable nutritious food leads many people in such communities to have diets that lead to more diabetes. The lack of local
parks and other civic infrastructure (such as well-maintained sidewalks or bike lanes) inhibit walking and other non-expensive exercise options. In addition, previous racism-influenced decisions about the location of medical facilities means that there are fewer and lower quality clinics and hospitals in these same neighborhoods, which result in more severe diagnoses when people are finally discovered to have such diet related ailments.

Note: One of the most important ideas behind structural racism is that the forces that perpetuate racial disparities do not depend on “racist” people to enact them. Rather, a number of forces work wickedly and synergistically to make it very difficult for society to make progress on racial disparities. It will likely be very difficult to get a skeptic to contemplate the idea of structural racism if they don’t already believe that institutional racism exists.

WHY AND HOW SKEPTICS RESIST
Structural racism takes the analysis of race to a very high level of abstraction and may be resisted by people who don’t naturally think this way. Further, the concept strikes deeply at the idea that society is basically working well.

Some skeptics may want to retract their support of foundational personal concepts when they see possible connections to systemic societal flaws. This is why it is important to make sure the conversational foundation you have laid is strong. Before you raise the issue of structural racism, it is advisable to confirm that they have bought into the ideas that there are multiple ways that interpersonal racism shows up as well and that there are multiple sectors where institutional racism impedes people’s progress.

Remember, this issue will stretch the discomfort of skeptics – especially conservative ones – so be careful not to raise it until you have indications that they are ready.
RACIAL INEQUITY/RACIAL EQUITY

WHAT IT IS

- Racial inequity is the way that racial status is a strong statistical predictor of worse outcomes for people in different groups, regardless of their individual circumstances.

- Racial equity is an idealized non-existent state in which one’s racial status is not predictive of outcomes.

WHY IS THIS TERM USEFUL TO YOUR WORK WITH SKEPTICS

One of the tricky ironies of modern racism is that the word “racism” and especially “racist” have become trigger words for skeptics that make it difficult for them to keep listening to substantive analytical points about how society works. Given that, it is useful to have a term for the racially problematic cumulative outcome that does not depend on the word “racism” or “racist.” For example, “racially disparate outcomes” or “racial inequity” are often not as triggering.

Even with this embedded resistance to plain language, for many people, the most compelling way to discuss the large scale racial disparities is to refer to the fact that race of a newborn has a significant predictive factor about their life expectancy, wealth level, chance of incarceration, level of education, and many other factors. Some allies use the frame of “racial equity” to describe what America will have when race is not predictive of what will happen in the lives of white babies versus those who are not white.

It is worth noting that there are some other factors that affect how you can maximize the usefulness of this term.

Many skeptics – especially ideological conservatives - have a resistance to societal interventions that appear to not accommodate the fact that people have different levels of talent and exert different levels of effort. Compared to the word “equality”, using the word “equity” may make it less likely that a skeptic will gird up for an argument about different people have different
talents and capacities. To many, racial equality implies guaranteeing equal outcomes. By contrast, you can frame “racial equity” as implying a guarantee of equal opportunities to groups, but doing so in a way that recognizes existing impediments to opportunities that different racial groups face.

It still remains true that people who are skeptical that racism is real often harbor a perspective that paying more attention to racial disparities will lead to society making unfettered giveaways to undeserving people. In this way, the idea that some groups need more assistance to give them fair opportunities may be very challenging. If you frame equity issue as about equalizing opportunity instead of outcomes, you will be less likely to raise this concern about the welfare state running amok.

You will need to experiment with when and how to raise the issue of racial equity and notice how it affects your work with skeptics.
This final short section includes a number of instruments and commentary that did not fit well within other sections but will likely be useful to many allies who are increasing their focus on influencing skeptics.
This closing section is comprised of a number of short essays and brief instruments that are relevant to the tasks of becoming a more effective anti-racism ally. These pieces include:

**PAST EXPERIENCES OF TRYING TO INFLUENCE EACH OTHER**
At some point, other anti-racism advocates will question the efficacy of empathetic listening based approaches. In fact, you may begin to question them yourself. This reflection instrument encourages you – perhaps with another person – to review a number of past experiences where you were trying to influence others or someone was trying to influence you to think differently. The instrument encourages you to think back on the style of engagement that was used and the impact it had so that you can assess which approaches appear to have the better track record.

**CONTINUUM OF RETORTS**
There will be many moments when someone displays or articulates a racially problematic attitude that needs to be challenged but the setting is poorly suited for real engagement. There are many options for how to respond in this situation and these options vary widely with respect to intensity and how much you are challenging the person. Even within the constraint of non-violent communication, responses can vary greatly. This short document gives several examples to illustrate a continuum of responses.

**SHAME: DOES IT AFFECT YOUR WORK AS AN ALLY?**
During workshops around the country, several allies have argued that the shame that allies sometimes feel about their own progress on race can undermine how effectively they engage both racism skeptics and even other allies. This short instrument asks a few reflection questions to encourage you to consider how much and what type of shame might be affecting your anti-racism practice.

**CHOOSING SKEPTICS TO ENGAGE**
Allies need to learn how to make good choices about which skeptics they invest more or less time and energy in trying to change. This short instrument encourages you to consider a few factors that may help you make better choices about which skeptics to spend your energy on.
USING INSIGHTS ABOUT CONSERVATIVE AND LIBERAL MORAL FRAMEWORKS AND ORIENTATIONS

In the past two decades, researchers have made significant advances in understanding important cross-cultural patterns in how human societies create moral frameworks. It turns out that there are some general differences between ideological conservatives and ideological liberals regarding which underlying values should be considered most important. As noted in the introduction to the workbook, the conversation between allies and skeptics largely (though not at all universally) reflects this liberal/conservative split. This brief essay reviews some conservative v. liberal differences in moral frameworks and orientations, and suggests a few tweaks to the storytelling suggested by the RACE Method that leverage these frameworks. Attached to the essay is a short instrument that encourages you to look at differences in orientation between you and two skeptics you might considering as for the focus of your persuasive efforts.

WHY ANTI-RACIST PROGRESSIVES NEED TO SUPPORT CONSERVATIVES

This short essay presents the reasons why it is important that progressive anti-racists maintain a belief in the possibility of an anti-racist conservatism, even if they have never seen anyone fitting this description.

THE VALUE OF GROUP LEARNING

This essay reviews reasons why it might be worth your time to recruit a few white ally friends to join you on the journey of trying to intentionally improve your practice of engaging skeptics. Embedded within the essay is a short instrument encouraging you to think about different characteristics of your anti-racist friends to discern who might be most suitable to be part of a small practice group. The essay also advocates that ally organizations make supporting learning groups a part of their major initiatives.

CLOSING ENCOURAGEMENTS

An essay on the importance of maintaining a focus on influencing skeptics in the face of various factors that may pull you away from this way of dismantling racism.
This workbook is written from the perspective that approaches to influencing racism skeptics based on empathetic listening are more effective in changing people’s perspective than approaches based on debate, verbal combat, and confrontation. This is not the most common view within the anti-racism community and there may be times when it is useful for you and/or others to review your actual experiences of different approaches to influencing people. The following questions are designed to foster a review of your actual experiences with different approaches to engaging other people. For best results, answer the questions as they are stated; if the question is not framed specifically around race conversations, consider a broad range of conversations you have had on many topics besides race.

*Have you ever observed situations where someone’s views were hardened after they experienced a private lecture from someone who thought they knew “the truth”? If so, jot a few notes about a few of these experiences below.*

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Have you ever observed situations where someone’s views were authentically changed for the better after they experienced a private lecture from someone who thought they knew “the truth?” If so, jot a few notes about a few of these experiences below.

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

If there have ever been times when someone listened to you empathetically (not necessarily about race) and this experience was part of a conversation that helped expand your view of a situation, jot a few notes about these experiences here.

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________
If there have been times when you listened to someone closely and empathetically (not necessarily about race) and this experience helped someone else expand their view of a situation, jot a few notes about these experiences here.

For most people, their own personal experience suggests that listening based strategies work better. (If this is not the case, you might consider creating a workbook for white allies that replicates the success of private lectures built on combative rhetoric). Engaging people who have made racially problematic statements, without becoming combative, involves a difficult act of self-discipline – so a good deal of motivation is necessary. Hopefully, remembering your past experiences with confrontational versus empathetic listening approaches can help you muster that discipline when needed.
CONTINUUM OF RETORTS

There are times when people say racially problematic things that, if unchallenged, tend to make a social atmosphere feel noxious. In addition, letting such statements go by unchallenged makes most allies feel as though they are not fulfilling an important duty of being an anti-racist ally. In these situations, many allies feel that their only options are at one extreme 1) doing nothing, or at the other extreme 2) aggressively calling out people for being racist.

In fact, even if you want to stay within the realm of non-violent communication (and you may not want to constrain yourself in this way) there are still many levels of response that you can muster in the face of these racially problematic statements. The following potential responses are purposely arranged from the ones that are the least confrontive to the ones that are much more confrontive.

- **Feigned Deafness** – “Excuse me, I did not hear you. Do you want to say that again?”
- **Feigned Ignorance** – “I don’t understand what you mean.” (This is especially good for racist jokes, since explaining any type of joke tends to drain the humor from it).
- **Feigned Doubt of Sincerity** – “You don’t mean that, do you?”
- **Challenge Veracity** – “Surely, you don’t think ______. Don’t we know that is not true?”
- **Raise Impact On Hypothetical Others** – “I wonder how ______ would feel if they heard you say that? “
- **Raise Perception Issues For Them** – “You might consider if you want to say something like that in this setting.” (This is especially useful at work).
- **Express Your Boundaries** – “I do not want to be a part of conversations where these things are said.”
- **Link To Your Feelings About Them** – “This is so awful…. I don’t want
to be around you right now.”

- **Implicate Their Character** – “This is the kind of statement I have only heard bigots say.”

- **Warning** – “If I ever hear you say something like that again, I will tell ________” (e.g. Human resources if the setting is the workplace).

- **Public Reporting** – “_______ will hear about this conversation” (e.g. Human Resources)
SHAME: DOES IT AFFECT YOUR WORK AS AN ALLY?

There are a few types of shame that white allies have talked about in workshops for this project.

Anti-racist allies can feel shame about:

- How long it took them to realize how extensive and important are the problems of racial inequities, bias, and privilege.
- The fact that they are still subject to having biased thoughts.
- The relatively low amount of emotionally close contacts they have across racial lines.

For many people, feelings of shame can begin to emerge as they consider engaging a skeptic. This shame sometimes leads allies to avoid having conversations with skeptics, and sometimes leads them to more combative (and even shaming) approaches as they handle these conversations. In order to help you approach the conversation from a centered place, it is important to reflect on the degree to which shame might be part of the emotional factors that are affecting you.

The following questions are designed to help you reflect on the way that shame might be affecting you and your interactions.

*I feel some degree of shame about the fact that it took as long as it did for me to become somewhat “woke” about racial issues.*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree/somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
I feel some degree of shame about the fact that I still sometimes have racist/prejudiced/biased thoughts.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree/somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

I feel some degree of shame about the low amount of substantive contact I have with people of color.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Somewhat agree/somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

If you were to be totally honest with yourself, how much do you think that shame may affect your effectiveness with skeptics?

- No impact
- Very little impact
- A little impact
- Some impact
- A lot of impact
- A dominant factor
If you were to be totally honest with yourself, how much do you think that shame may affect your effectiveness with other people who you think of as, broadly speaking, anti-racism allies?

- No impact
- Very little impact
- A little impact
- Some impact
- A lot of impact
- A dominant factor

The best way to overcome shame around this issue is to talk about it with other white allies who might also have felt similar feelings. People can usually muster more empathy and grace for others than they can for themselves. If shame is an issue for you to any extent, consider talking to at least one other ally you trust about how shame might be affecting you. Further, being in a group with other allies who are trying to control the impact that shame is having on their conversations will likely lead you to provide reassurance and support to them. For the sake of your own progress and healing, it will be useful for you to hear yourself giving this type of grace to others.
CHOOSING WHICH SKEPTICS TO ENGAGE

The intention of the White Ally Toolkit is that you will not only be able to respond well to racially problematic statements that occur unexpectedly but that you will also make a conscious choice to engage some people in your circle of influence. No matter how much energy you decide to invest in influencing skeptics, you will still need to make decisions about which people you try to invest your time in and how much effort you spend. This raises the question: If you are choosing between a number of racism skeptics, what are the qualities most associated with your potential to have an effect on them?

One factor to consider is how much the skeptic has a tendency to push you out of empathetic listening mode. The methods discussed in this workbook work better if you are in a centered emotional place, and people vary in how much they tend to push you off center. You should look at your interpersonal practice of influencing skeptics to acknowledge racism as a lifelong practice and one that you are likely to improve over time. As a result, it may be most fruitful to initially focus on people who trigger your emotions less frequently and less intensely than others, so that you can have a more detached attitude toward the conversations.

As you assess possible candidates for your efforts, you should also consider their general level of open-mindedness. One breakdown of open-mindedness is that this is an amalgam of a number of different qualities, namely:

- Thirst for learning
- Curiosity
- An ability to see things from different perspectives
- An acceptance and respect for other people’s beliefs and choices
- Awareness that one’s own beliefs and filters can be limiting.

A third factor to consider is the level of empathy, which some define as the ability to feel what other people are feeling. One of the reasons that many
allies care about racial equity is because they have cultivated empathy for people of color and the situations they confront. Think about whether each skeptic generally emphasizes with other people’s emotions, even if you don’t have direct knowledge of them doing this with people of color.

As has been said, you should consider a variety of factors in making a decision about turning a moment of conversation into an on-ramp for a dialogue about race. The following worksheet is designed to help your decision-making.

List the top 6 people that you are most inclined to want to focus energy on to influence them to see racism differently.

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
You will now order them from most to least on the three qualities discussed above: how much they trigger you; how open-minded they are, and how empathetic they tend to be.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Least likely to trigger you</th>
<th>Most open-minded</th>
<th>Most empathetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most likely to trigger you</th>
<th>Least open-minded</th>
<th>Least empathetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You should consider other factors, such as how frequently you interact with them, how comfortable your interactions are, the degree to which you have common interests that can reinforce your sense of connectedness, and other factors that are important to you. The hope is that the exercise above contributes to your reflection on the many factors that matter to making good choices about investing your time. If you have never made an intentional medium- to long-term effort to influence a skeptic, you should consider first focusing on people who tend to fall toward the top of these scales.

Reflecting on all of the above, place the skeptics in order that you think reflects the most likelihood of achieving success as you attempt to influence them.
Most Likely

1. 

2. 

3. 

4. 

5. 

6. 

Least Likely

You should consider how many people you want to consciously decide to engage on racial issues, regardless of whether they make racially problematic statements. It would be great if every white ally chose more people to engage every year, as long as this effort is sustainable. The hope is that allies will make engaging people in this way a lifelong practice, and this might mean starting slowly with a smaller number of skeptics, learning about your own strengths and weaknesses in doing this work, and expanding your practice over a period of years.
CREATING AN INFORMAL ALLY PRACTICE GROUP

This workbook is intended to be of use to any ally who want to increase their influence with racism skeptics in their circle. The hope is that the reflection exercises and encouragement to practice will be valuable even if the reader is completely isolated from other allies. That said, you will improve influence with racism skeptics much faster if you are in a learning community with other allies who are trying to master the methods here.

There are myriad subtleties that distinguish allies who are very effective and those who are only moderately effective in influencing racism skeptics. Accordingly, there are many questions to think about if you view using the methods here as a practice. Do certain approaches work better and others less well with certain types of skeptics? When is the best time to shift from talking about experiences to talking about data? Are there common sentiments that skeptics express that are not well addressed here?

To become both totally comfortable and masterful at the conversational approaches in this workbook, you will need to go through the common quality improvement cycle of test, observe, reflect, improve. If you are in a group with others who are trying to improve their engagement practice, you will learn much more by listening to other people’s reflections. Perhaps more importantly, you will probably do more reflection if you know you have regular opportunities to share your experiences with others.

If there is not a ready-made group for you that has been organized by racial equity supporting groups, you should consider forming your own group. You are not the only white person who is troubled by the way that racism denial among whites is limiting progress on racial equity. It might not be difficult to gather a few friends who might get something out of experimenting with different responses to racism skeptics and reflecting on their effectiveness. Such moments of reflection need not be formal, large, validated by an official organization, or free of adult beverages. In addition, these moments of collective reflection might happen on-line or through conference calls.
It is useful to think about who are the people in your circle – defined broadly – who you might want to meet with regularly (say, bi-weekly or monthly) to talk about your influence practice.

First, think of the six folks that you are most drawn to considering for your three-person racial ally support group. Write their names here.

1. ________________________________

2. ________________________________

3. ________________________________

4. ________________________________

5. ________________________________

6. ________________________________

In addition to typical factors you use to choose people, the following characteristics seem of particular importance.

• Willingness to try to push oneself past limitations

• Capacity to be reflective

• Graciousness to others (and themselves) when goals are not met

• Level of commitment to racial equity

Next you are going to analyze your list of potential practice supporters. Below is a table with the four characteristics above and a blank space for criteria that you might think is particularly important, too. As you rate the ally, compare them to other people who are allies in the broad definition of that word. (That is, it is OK if they have never gone to a White Ally meeting).
Ideally, you should not have to form a group on your own. Organizations that are committed to anti-racism may, at least, nominally support ally practice groups. Admittedly, this may not be likely, since as of Spring 2018, few groups recognize the importance of this aspect of anti-racism work. Nevertheless, if you are interested in refining your skills, you should consider whether or not racial equity minded organizations might support a practice group, even with only the validation of verbal support.

If you are a member of an organization that supports white allies, you should consider proposing that supporting such learning groups be considered as an important initiative. Increasing influence on racism skeptics is not the only activity that ally groups should do, but generally, this activity happens far less than other activities like augmenting protests or pushing for institutional change. In order to create racial equity in the long run, ally groups need to be active on many issues that all support the same outcome.
CREATING FORMAL PRACTICE GROUPS WITH A FACILITATOR

This workbook has been focused on the specific setting of a white ally talking with white racism skeptics in a one on one or very small group setting. Some readers may be tempted to use the conversational approaches as the basis of conversations among groups of people, perhaps all white or perhaps racially mixed.

If you are going to adapt the materials in this way, it is recommend that you engage a facilitator with some degree of experience. Facilitating productive group conversation has its own set of skills that are needed to increase the chance that a conversation on such a sensitive topic goes well. It will be useful if this facilitator has experience with racial conversations in particular.

A facilitator who is contemplating adapting the conversational approach in this workbook should be able to do so with relative ease, since the approach is based on an underlying theory of dialogue. The core of that theory posits that dialogue happens best if people: 1) share personal experiences about an issue, then 2) try to make sense of the larger social dynamics behind an issue by collectively examining the similarities and differences in experience. This theory of dialogue is explained in “The Little Book of Dialogue for Difficult Subjects,” by Lisa Schirch and David Campt.

To assist with engaging groups of people, the White Ally Toolkit is developing a Facilitator’s Supplement that gives some additional guidance to people who want to lead groups of allies in a process of engaging the materials of this workbook over five session or ten session sequences.
USING INSIGHTS ABOUT CONSERVATIVE AND LIBERAL MORAL FRAMEWORKS AND ORIENTATIONS

Among scholars who study human moral frameworks, a leading theoretical framework is called “moral foundations theory”, which posits that the vast majority of moral frameworks of human societies fall along five essential dimensions.\(^1\)

1. **Care**: cherishing and protecting others; opposite of harm.
2. **Fairness or Proportionality**: rendering justice according to shared rules; opposite of cheating.
3. **Loyalty or Ingroup**: standing with your group, family, nation; opposite of betrayal.
4. **Authority or Respect**: submitting to tradition and legitimate authority; opposite of subversion.
5. **Sanctity or Purity**: abhorrence for disgusting things, foods, actions; opposite of degradation.

According to this theory, most cultures and subcultures base what is deemed proper or improper behavior as existing along some combination of these differing values. Significantly, however, different cultures tend to value each of these dimensions to differing extents. Jonathan Haidt, author of the bestselling “The Righteous Mind,” argues that American liberals tend to highly emphasize the values of caring and fairness and have a very low valuation of the loyalty, authority, and sanctity. By contrast, American conservatives tend to value all five dimensions to about the same extent. The following table summarizes some of these differences and the way that people with different ideologies tend to define these dimensions.

\(^1\) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moral_foundations_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moral_foundations_theory)
### Common (not universal) differences in Moral Foundations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Conservative Moral Framework</th>
<th>Liberal Moral Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harm/Caring</strong></td>
<td>Family = Ingroup</td>
<td>Family = Humanity/Outgroups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Liberals tend to care a lot about this)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice/Fairness</strong></td>
<td>Achieved, inherited, karma, divinely destined</td>
<td>Egalitarian/social justice/meritocratic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Liberals tend to care a lot about this)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingroup/Loyalty</strong></td>
<td>Ingroup/nation</td>
<td>Class/humanity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Conservatives tend to care a lot about this)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authority</strong></td>
<td>Traditions, hierarchical authority, religious beliefs</td>
<td>Science, rational philosophy, empiricism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Conservatives tend to care a lot about this)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purity/Sanctity</strong></td>
<td>Traditional values, patriotism, fetuses</td>
<td>Environment, planet, women's body/choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Conservatives tend to care a lot about this)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As important as these differences in moral frameworks are, Haidt and other scholars of moral frameworks posit moral ideology is only one aspect of the difference between the conservative and liberal perspective. Their argument is that that conservative and liberal perspectives are also associated with what might be described as conservative and liberal orientations to life. Broadly speaking, a conservative orientation to life values order, routine, similarity, and familiarity, in contrast to a liberal orientation, which prioritizes novelty, uniqueness, and diversity. According to Haidt:
Psychologically, what we find empirically is that people who identify as conservative tend to like order and predictability. They are not attracted to change for the sake of change, whereas people who identify as liberal like variety and diversity. I have one study where we look at dots moving around on a screen. Conservatives like the images where the dots are moving around more in lockstep with each other.

Liberals like it when it’s all chaotic and random. Liberals keep their rooms messier than conservatives. So these are deep, psychological differences. We eat different food. We eat at different restaurants. And this is part of the problem now, that it’s not just an ideological difference, it’s a real lifestyle difference.

### COMMON DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CONSERVATIVES AND LIBERALS

#### Common (not universal) differences in Personality Orientations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Tendencies Among Liberals</th>
<th>Common Tendencies Among Conservatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value novelty in experiences (travel, diet, personal identification)</td>
<td>Prefer the safety and predictability of routine and sameness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See institutions as instruments of caring for people</td>
<td>Value the stability of institutions in themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believe in change and risk</td>
<td>Believe in order and safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often seek justice, even at risk to themselves</td>
<td>Often seek order, even at the expense of the most vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tend to question authority</td>
<td>Tend to revere authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak for the vulnerable</td>
<td>Speak for institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrate diversity and flux among groups not in-group membership</td>
<td>Celebrate loyalty to groups, and stability among them</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Taking moral and lifestyle orientations into account may be helpful in helping you refine your engagement of racism skeptics. This will be discussed below. Before that, it is useful to take a quick inventory about how some of these differences the scholars talk about apply to you and the racism skeptics you know.

The following instrument may help you reflect on differences in orientation that you may have with the racism skeptics that you are working on.

**ASSESSING POLITICS AND LIFESTYLES**

**Step 1:** Put your first initial on where you are on the scales. Be honest

---

**MIDLINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Liberal</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Very Conservative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Messy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very Neat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values change/risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Values order/safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Values Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values Novelty/variety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prefers predictability, sameness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Draw a solid line that connects all of your initials, so you can easily see the pattern.
Step 2: I have a bias against folks who are politically conservative. (Circle one).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Slightly Disagree</th>
<th>Slightly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Step 3: Do Step 1 again, this time assessing a racism skeptic (let’s call them Skeptic #1) in your circle and using their initials. When you are done, use a dotted line to connect the initials of Skeptic #1. Notice how much or how little your lines are close or cross each other.

Step 4: Do Step 1 again, this time using the initials of another skeptic (Skeptic #2). Draw a double line to connect their initials. Notice again how much or little your lines are close or cross each other.

Reflection: How do the patterns of answers to the questions above relate to your challenge in engaging racism skeptics, if at all?

REFINING YOUR ENGAGEMENT PRACTICE IN LIGHT OF CONSERVATIVE V. LIBERAL PERSPECTIVES
These differences in both moral foundations and basic orientation to the world have significant implications for American political and interpersonal discourse and for conversations between conservatives and liberals. Some moral foundation scholars suggest that one problem with political discourse, is that people tend to base arguments about correct behavior on their moral foundations and not necessarily that of the person with whom they are speaking. These scholars suggest that a person might improve their effectiveness if they consider making arguments for their position using the moral frameworks of their counterpart.

The differences around basic orientation can affect a conversation about politics and about many other things. Think about a conversation about proper immigration levels and policies. An uninitiated liberal person might be inclined to discuss the way that immigration has contributed to
a rich diversity of communities, restaurants, and other cultural factors as a reason why they favor higher immigration levels. Such an argument would have a great deal of appeal to themselves, because of the appeal of their orientation towards novel experiences. However, they may be focusing on points that are unproductive or counterproductive, if they are talking to a conservative that fits the pattern of preferring things that are familiar and routine.

Take another example: Imagine a televised debate between a liberal and a conservative about police abuse in black communities and the proper level of oversight to minimize it. Looking through the lens of moral foundations, a liberal who favored stringent oversight and harsh penalties might base their arguments on their deeply felt belief in the importance of the police treating all communities fairly, and showing care toward all communities. Structuring the argument this way would likely resonate with liberals in the audience, since the argument appeals directly to the moral foundations they care about. But such an argument might not have strong appeal to conservatives, since they also care about other moral dimensions equally.

Imagine that instead of simply arguing on the caring and fairness dimensions, the liberal trying to appeal to conservatives might also base their argument on the grounds that more stringent rules further the authority of the police management over the rank and file police, as well as strengthen the authority of the government over the police. Further, a liberal savvy to moral foundations theory might further argue that police abuse is a violation of the loyalty that police need to show to citizens. Such arguments, if executed with reasonable skill, might provide additional resonance with conservative observers of the debate.

This workbook advocates that you not argue with racism skeptics, but rather engage in experience-sharing and mutual storytelling. Still, the orientation differences described above are relevant to how you might tell your Connect and Expand stories. Specifically, if you are a liberal ally trying to influence a conservative skeptic, you might consider tweaking your anecdotes to show that you have some fealty to other moral frameworks besides your own.
Suppose for instance, you are telling an anecdote about being pulled over by a police officer, and your primary takeaway from the anecdote is that you think you benefited from unearned racial advantage and positive assumptions because you are white. In the setup of the story, you might describe your attitude of compliance as the officer approached you as not necessarily one of fear and resentment of police authority, but rather because of the respect and appreciation that you have for their devotion to public service and the dangers they endure. If your car is a place you keep clean and orderly, you might add that small detail to your story, too.

The suggestion that you consider such tweaks to your anecdotes in this way is not meant to lead you to present yourself falsely. Your goal is to have an authentic encounter and truth telling is key to authentic interactions. At the same time, if there are a mix of motivations or emotions in a situation, it might make sense to lift up elements that will connect you to the skeptic you are talking to, given their ideology and moral frameworks.
WHY ANTI-RACIST PROGRESSIVES NEED TO SUPPORT CONSERVATIVES

Earlier in this workbook, a claim was made that you will undermine your efforts at transforming denial about racism if the skeptic thinks that you are trying to change their entire political worldview. This point merits some additional amplification.

Most allies have seen people who are liberals who think that racism does not exist, since these people are not hard to find. However, many white allies have never met or have even heard of someone who is politically conservative but is willing to acknowledge that the realities of unconscious racial bias and institutional racism exist and that these factors create unfair racial inequities that merit attention. A big part of the reason that many allies have not heard of people like this is because of the way that the conservative movement has been purposely managed for decades to appeal to a white racial grievance; this grievance is at odds with the idea that racism against POC is a problem worthy of specific attention. A secondary reason that many liberal allies have not encountered anti-racist conservatives is because they have minimal exposure to conservatives in their real lives, on social media, or in mass media.

This almost total isolation from conservatives has a number of negative side effects on the mindset that liberal allies need to be effective. As discussed in Part 1, many white allies – and liberals generally – often do not extend dignity to conservatives when they are interacting with them. Besides being a spiritual problem, denying people dignity undermines the comity that is useful in a diverse democracy. This condescension and tendency to regard conservative views as largely illegitimate is amplified in a liberal media echo chamber, and not surprisingly, produces a backlash resentment that gets amplified through the conservative media echo chamber.

Partially because of this history of being denied basic dignity in conversations across the ideological divide, it is difficult for many conservatives to entertain the idea that racism against POC is real and
hurts America. In addition to whatever dignity-denying direct experiences they might have had talking to liberals about race, the conservative echo chamber also primes many conservatives to deny racism. While the idea that racism is real is not inherently a liberal idea, it has become associated with liberalism within the conservative media echo chamber. For this reason also, conservatives come to the conversation already primed to dismiss racism's existence as just some other liberal talking point that merits scorn.

To counter that, it is useful if you approach the conversation from the perspective that you are not trying to change their entire political ideology or their entire orientation to life. It is best if you can operate from the motivation that your goal is not to turn them into liberals like you are (if that is true); Rather, the best attitude for you to have and convey is that all you are doing is trying to get them to stop denying that racism against POC is real and that it has important moral implications for society.

To do this, it will be valuable to envision that this person can recognize that reality of racism and still tend to prefer conservative approaches to solving society’s problems. This will be difficult for many white allies, since they have little or no exposure to conservatives who could be reasonably described as anti-racist. Still, despite the fact that you may not have seen an anti-racist conservative, you will be most effective if you imagine that people like this exist.

This not to say that it is important to not advocate for liberal positions. Rather, the point is that when you are trying to influence a conservative to move out of denial about racism, there is a big downside to linking your point of view to your general liberal views. It is highly likely that they will
view conceding that racism is real as equivalent to making a concession about politics, and perhaps even about their worldview beyond politics. Your goal is to not make the skeptic feel that their entire political ideology/worldview is being attacked. When in such a conversation with a racism skeptic (and perhaps only while it is happening) you should look at their degree of attraction to conservative policy solutions as a perspective to be welcomed into the mix of the different ways that people who acknowledge racism solve policy problems.

Below are a few questions that can help you reflect upon how ready you might be to engage a conservative skeptic on the goal of diminishing their racism denial but not trying to produce wholesale changes in their worldview.

How many people have you known personally, knew of second-hand or were aware of as public figures who generally held conservative viewpoints but were, you thought, not in denial about the idea that racism against people of color was an actual problem?

I can think of ______ people who I might call anti-racist conservatives.

If you can remember their names, write them down.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
How easy is it for you to imagine that a person could not be in denial/resistance about racism but hold conservative views on other public/political issues?

- Very hard to imagine
- Hard to imagine
- Takes a little work to imagine
- I can imagine this, though I have not seen it.
- I have seen this once or twice, so I don’t have to imagine it
- I have seen this many times and/or it is very easy to imagine this.

Imagine that you have decided to engage a conservative racism skeptic in a series of conversations. Imagine that after a number of conversations, they have significantly moved out of their previous tendency to deny that unconscious bias is real, that institutional racism past and present actually matter, and their there is some moral obligation to address on-going inequities. At the same time, they still have conservative beliefs on a range of other issues, such as gun control, abortion, tax policy, immigration reform, and so on. How would you feel about the results of your hard conversational work with them?

- Very disappointed that I have not gotten them to see the folly of their conservative views
- Appreciative of the movement, but this person still needs a lot more work from someone.
- Pretty happy, but I have a gnawing sense that there is more I should do
- Very happy: I have done my job as an ally.

If you notice that your answers to these questions suggest that is it difficult for you to envision an anti-racist conservatism, it might be useful to expose yourself to such people. Some white conservatives with a national
profile who do not deny the existence of racism include analyst Max Boot, columnist Charlie Sykes, former presidential candidate Evan McMullen, and journalist Conor Friendsdorf.

CLOSING ENCOURAGEMENTS

Below are some closing thoughts meant to help allies keep choosing to stay on the important path that they are on.

SOME PERILS OF THE PATH OF THE ALLY
The past few years have seen a significant growth in the white ally arm of the anti-racism movement. Increasing numbers of white people have become at least a little bit “woke” – or at least have adopted the associated language – and have tried to lend some support for various anti-oppression efforts led by people of color. Overall, this has to be considered a good thing.

Certainly, some of these new arrivals to the liberation movement are doing what some have called “performative wokeness” – that is, putting on a show for themselves, their liberal white comrades, and perhaps some people of color - to show that they understand that racism is a problem. At the same time, many of these new allies embody new energy to the anti-racism struggle, as these allies offer their best but admittedly flawed selves as new soldiers to the veterans of the anti-racism movement.

One thing that we have be honest about is the fact that white folks joining
these existent racial equity movements create myriad complexities - including new headaches - that must be managed by the people of color who are trying to lead the anti-racism movement. It's great that new allies want to join the crew that has been sailing the boat for a while, but new sailors need to be trained both in nautical tasks and in the culture of the boat, and this requires more work on everyone's part. Training new crew members may make the captain's job more difficult and may even cause the boat to sail a little slower for a time.

It is unfortunate but not unreasonable that some organizers find this additional work of working with novice white allies to be too much to bear and thus sometimes subject white allies to high levels of scrutiny and sharp criticism. It is not difficult to find pointed critiques of white folks who get involved with POC anti-oppression movements. Often, these critiques describe how allies are participating poorly or doing so in a way that demonstrates that people are still “coming from a place of privilege.” Even further, it is also not difficult to find pointed well-written critiques that question the validity of white folks creating white caucus spaces that aspire to work alongside of POC led organizations.

If white allies join existing anti-racism efforts, they will likely be criticized for how they do that. If they start their own organizations, they might be criticized for that as well. So what is a white ally supposed to do? Is there a way to avoid harsh criticism? Probably not.

White allies, especially ones who deeply care about racism, occupy a liminal (i.e. in between) space; on the one hand are POC activist comrades

---

https://medium.com/@lizdais_harding/white-allies-youre-likely-presenting-as-fake-woke-and-you-need-to-stop-b4890a1dd0e
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who often see racism as the dominant factor of modern American life and who can have very stringent expectations of allies on many issues, such as the way allies should think about their role, how allies use language and concepts when discussing the work, and the right way to strike a balance between stepping forward and letting POC lead. On the other hand are the white allies’ racism skeptical cousins and friends who think of allies as liberal enablers of the sloth, dysfunction, and hypersensitivity of people of color. Finally, there are other allies, some of whom think that harsh criticism of other allies is somehow useful to themselves and the movement.

In a time of increased racial tensions between white allies’ anti-racism movement comrades and their cousins, it is not surprising that many white allies feel confused and very uncertain about what their role should be. In the face of these complex pressures, it is not surprising that many people who might be allies at the heart level do very little to move the racial ball forward. It is easy for good-hearted white folks to decide that active allyship is just too difficult. Apparently, you have chosen the ally path, since you have acquired this workbook. The hope of this project is that you stay on the ally path despite these often trying rewards.

Perhaps some double binds are inevitable for anyone who is committed to being a force to undue unfairness that is deeply embedded in society’s systems when they have benefitted from that same unfairness. Perhaps being a white ally – or any anti-racist advocate for that matter - means that you open yourself to criticism from many quarters. This is the path you are choosing.
This project aims to be very sympathetic to these crosscutting pressures. The stance of this project is to encourage and empower white allies, under the presumption that doing so will make it easier for people to decide to be more active in taking risks within their interactions with cousins, neighbors, and so on. The intention is to lovingly apply some strong nudges and guidance to the ally community about some desperately needed conversations that white folks need to have with each other. The primary motivation behind this project is to make progress on racial equity in the United States. But it is worth noting that there are issues even bigger than racial hierarchies in America that may depend on whether large numbers of white allies can better engage skeptics.

**UNPROCESSED WHITE GRIEVANCE IS UNDERMINING LEGITIMATE DEBATE ABOUT THE GLOBAL WORLD ORDER**

One of the most pressing examples is an overdue conversation about the racial threat and the sense of grievance that is growing in America and many historically white countries. As was made clear in 2016, this unprocessed white grievance – often expressed as racism, xenophobia, and/or nativism - is having global geopolitical consequences. The entire global world order is subject to being altered because white folks across the northern hemisphere are not talking to each other honestly about the way that many feel dislocated by the increased prominence demands of non-whites all over the world. There is a strong case to be made that the 2016 presidential election (and perhaps Brexit as well) was a massive failure by the white ally community.

While there were arguably some good reasons to vote for Donald Trump, his tendency to make racially problematic statements and to stoke white grievance was well known and widely discussed in the mass media before the election. People who think of themselves as anti-racist allies must ask themselves: how many risks did you take to have conversations with people in your circle of influence about Candidate Trump before the election? And if you had these conversations with people who you knew looked at him differently: How effective were you - not necessarily in changing votes, but in getting people to honestly consider his stance on racial issues as a factor in their vote?
Certainly, there are reasons for whites and others to oppose globalism and the inequities associated with it. The United States and other western nations need to have honest debates of the advantages and disadvantages of the corporatist global order that the world has been putting in place for decades. But this debate needs to be an honest one, and not overly influenced by an historically uninformed and xenophobic sense of grievance by white populations that is not being talked about honestly, even in private settings. It is this conversation that white allies are in the best position to raise. When people of color raise this psychological undercurrent, the responses from racism skeptics tend toward denial, rage, and accusations of hypersensitivity and playing the so-called race card. And, as a population, POC are not commonly in with white people in those private settings of potential emotional vulnerability. That is why exploring white grievance in private conversations is allies’ work.

**WHITE ALLIES CAN HELP WITH A CRITICAL NATIONAL WEAKNESS EVEN BIGGER THAN RACIAL EQUITY**

The work of white allies– if it involves the practices of dialogue and non-violent communication discussed herein - is important for an additional reason. This work is about recasting some very unfortunate cultural norms that are turning out to be important weaknesses in American society.

Americans of different ideologies have become less able to talk to each other about important collective issues. The divisions between people of different political ideologies have become increasingly severe in recent years and our collective capacity to engage across lines of ideology seem to have severely eroded.

Even though commentators have been lamenting the decreased cooperation and sense of comity in our political culture for at least two decades, this issue seems to have worsened in the past few years. Increasingly, people who are anywhere except at the center of the ideological spectrum see people on the other side of the midline as threats to the nation.\(^4\) This incapacity to presume the good will of others makes

\(^4\) Two-thirds (66%) of consistently conservative Republicans see the Democratic Party as a threat to the nation’s well-being, compared with the half (50%) of consistently liberal
progress on pressing problems much more difficult. This division is so stark that it has become a national weakness in our democracy. We know that this weakness has been exploited by nations seeking to undermine the nation’s well being. We can see this from the way that Russian operatives attacked the American polity in the 2016 election and continue to use fake identities and robots on social media to inflame existing divisions between demographically and ideologically disparate groups.

As desperately as the nation needs a more activated white ally population to energize the movement for desperately needed racial equity, the work of these allies can be looked at in light of a broader patriotic purpose – re-equipping American culture with the capacity to talk across ideological divides.

**THE ALLY PATH, IF PURSUED BY TAKING RISKS, COULD BE CONSIDERED A NOBLE ONE**

Raising uncomfortable issues of racial grievance – and doing so consistently and with savvy and technique – requires taking risks. Even if you implement the storytelling-based tactics in this workbook with great skill, you may still become “that person who you have to be careful what you say around.” Even if your tactics are finely calibrated, you will be taking some level of risk by breaking the silence of the white folks in your circle of influence by raising issues of white grievance, bias, and historical racism in settings with racism skeptics where people don’t normally raise these issues.

You do not have to do this, since the oppression of racism is, fundamentally, a societal ill that only indirectly affects you. As noted from the outset, this project is based on the perspective that racism causes more problems for people of color than it does for white people. Even with a robust and nuanced understanding of racism, it is clear that racism has costs for whites, and these costs are subtle – and very different than the claims that a skeptic might raise.

Democrats who say the same about the Republican Party. Among all Democrats and Democratic leaners, 27% go so far as to say the GOP is a threat to the well-being of the country. Among all Republicans and Republican leaners, more than a third (36%) say Democratic policies threaten the nation. From: Pew Research Center – 2014 Political Polarization in the American Public.
For example, some costs that societal racism imposes upon whites include things like “No Go” areas in the urban core, excessive suburbanization, the maintenance of a massive private school systems to make up for the deficits of public school system that has been neglected, and an insufficient sense of common community that has spiritual dimensions. This is but a partial list. White allies need to think through this for themselves and talk with other white folks, skeptics and allies alike. (In fact, white folks discourse about “How does racism harm whites?” is an underdeveloped theme within the white ally community. Your considered thoughts about this and tactics for discussing this need to be part of your toolkit, too).

Nevertheless, as real as these prices are that white folks pay for ongoing societal racism, the stance of this project is that whites get a net benefit from the racial hierarchies that are the status quo in America. The path of the ally is one that involves spending energy trying to bring down an unfair system that ultimately brings the ally more payoffs than prices. This is why the walk of the ally – stumbles in that walk not withstanding – is a noble walk for those who are truly on it.

The path of the ally is arguably a noble one because allies are consciously taking social risks by ending the silence about race in white settings that are ideologically mixed. It is noble because being effective requires taking risks and troubling the waters. It is noble because this path is about truly engaging people over the most intractable issue in American history, and is not in the ally’s direct self-interest to take this issue on.

**HUMILITY AND A SPIRIT OF INQUIRY WILL BE IMPORTANT**

If you tell comrades in the anti-racism movement that you practice the methods herein, you will be told by many that these approaches are weak-willed, lacking backbone, not getting the urgency of the racial equity problem, or problematic in some other way. Other racial equity advocates will claim that these methods will not work. You must be prepared for this reaction. To put it bluntly, you will be criticized – including by people of color who may be leaders of anti-racism efforts you participate in. And given the inherent questions and doubts that POC anti-racism advocates often have toward allies - and the need for your allyship to sometimes
involve working with them - your humility in the face of the criticism will be important to remember.

Fortunately, one can be humble and still stand your ground about your belief that you should engage in empathetic listening strategies with other white people. As has been discussed, there is good social science research behind the notion that empathetic listening strategies are more effective in persuasion. More pointedly, you should look at your own actual experience, and be willing to talk about it. As the reflection exercise at the start of this section encouraged you to do, you should interrogate your own experiences of interpersonal influence to find what your life has actually taught you. Based on your own experience and that of science, you should push back against such critiques of these methods, and do so in the spirit of helping others improve their practice of influencing people.

You will be told by many that these approaches are weak-willed, lacking backbone, not getting the urgency of the racial equity problem, or problematic in some other way...You must be prepared for this reaction.

At the same time, the spirit of dialogue and inquiry embodied in the approach of this project suggests that you should not be overly doctrinaire about what has been presented herein. Maybe the folks who advocate more combative approaches are right, or at least right in some circumstances. Everyone in the racial equity movement should be open-minded about what persuasion strategies work, since no one really knows. There have not been large-scale experiments involving hundreds or thousands of people using different approaches moving people from their denial about racism.

So, if someone who is serious about racial equity truly believes that more combative and/or prophetic approaches work, and if they really want to
challenge the methods here, perhaps the answer is to conduct your own small-scale experiments. If someone is serious about effectiveness in changing people’s thinking about racism and is not just sniping at you for not being “woke enough” or committed enough, perhaps the best strategy is to act like both of you have a research grant to compare approaches to see what works best. You might try coming to some agreement about how your approaches will differ, how you will define a reasonable attempt to influence someone, and what success looks like. It would be great if each of you got others to participate, so you have more data to look at.

As noted, this project is based on specific goal - reducing the portion (55%) of whites who think racism against people of color is not a specific problem requiring specific attention. As important as is the goal of reducing this portion to 45% by 2025, this effort is part of a question even more important than racial equity. A critical national question concerns whether a democracy based on the exchange of ideas can actually survive the many forces causing siloization, a decline of civility and a diminished public square. Another important national question is whether the US wants to retreat from the corporatist global order it has been critical to building since World War II. It may be that the widespread deployment of principles from persuasion science, conflict resolution, and non-violent communication can actually help tens of million of white Americans get past their blind spots on America’s most intractable problem. If this turns out to be true, not only is there more hope for the future conversations between members of this increasingly diverse nation about the race issues that threaten it; moreover, there is more hope for other challenges issues that now go unaddressed because of the ideological divides that America is having trouble working through.

Thank you for your passion and most important, your ongoing efforts that, while potentially flawed and subject to numerous missteps, are also noble and even patriotic.
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

The following are some resources that allies might use to bolster their understanding of some key topics that this workbook has reviewed.

OTHERING

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE


SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS


- Professor John Powell Talks About The Language Of ‘Othering’ During The 2016 Election - Part 1. CBS. https://youtu.be/zBwme7v9QM8


UNCONSCIOUS BIAS
ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE


SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS


- *Unconscious Bias @ Work — Making the Unconscious Conscious*. Life at Google. https://youtu.be/NW5s_-Nl3JE


ATTRIBUTION ERROR

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE


SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS


- Ethics Defined: Fundamental Attribution Error. UT McCombs School of Business. https://youtu.be/k0HUujS88jQ

RACIAL ANXIETY

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE


SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS


- Is disarming ‘racial anxiety’ the key to moving forward? MSNBC. http://www.msnbc.com/the-cycle/watch/is-disarming-racial-anxiety-
UNEARNED RACIAL ADVANTAGE (COMMONLY CALLED WHITE PRIVILEGE)

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE

SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS

RACIAL THREAT
Prejudice and Discrimination. 23-46. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=aOyFEy-zyMoC&oi=fnd&pg=PA23&dq=racial+threat+theory&ots=OKMH8UoDxL&sig=b6pgxTWkuIoRk1gp0ywGFxI
mrs#v=onepage&q=racial%20threat%20theory&f=false

WHITE BACKLASH

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE

SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS

INSTITUTIONAL RACISM VS STRUCTURAL RACISM


RACIAL INEQUITY

ARTICLES AVAILABLE ONLINE


SHORT EXPLANATORY VIDEOS


How does a white person who aspires to be an ally against racism talk to their friends and family who are in denial about racism against people of color?

The White Ally Toolkit Workbook gives people concrete guidance about how to respond a wide variety of statements that racism-denying white folks make everyday.

In addition, the workbook presents a sequenced curriculum that an ally can use if they want to purposefully change someone in the circle of influence as well as reflection and self-assessment tools that will help allies see themselves more clearly. These tools help allies refine their interactions with others so they can move the needle on the large-scale racism denial among the whites about America’s most pressing and long-standing problem.

About the Author
Dr. David Campt, founder of the Ally Conversation Toolkit (ACT) has been a national leader in creating dialogue about racial equity for more than two decades. His clients have included The White House, social justice organizations, Members of Congress, the foundation community, labor unions, corporations, universities, and non-profit organizations. ACT has touched thousands of people in person and online, and represents an important innovation in the struggle for racial equity.