

**Neural evidence for prediction of animacy features by verbs during  
language comprehension:**

**Evidence from MEG and EEG Representational Similarity Analysis**

Lin Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Ole Jensen<sup>3</sup>, Gina Kuperberg<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and the Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Centre for Human Brain Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

**Abstract**

**INTRODUCTION:** Previous studies have shown that people generate probabilistic predictions at multiple levels of linguistic representation during language comprehension [1]. Here, we used MEG and EEG in combination with Representational Similarity Analysis (RSA) to seek neural evidence for the prediction of upcoming animacy features based on a verb's selection restrictions.

**METHODS:** MEG and EEG signals were simultaneously recorded from 32 participants, who read three-sentence scenarios. The final sentence was presented word-by-word (450ms; interstimulus interval: 100ms) and included a verb that either selected for animate features (e.g. "cautioned the...") or inanimate features (e.g. "emptied the...") of its upcoming noun-phrase argument.

**ANALYSIS AND RESULTS:** For each scenario, at all time points between the onset of the verb and the noun, we extracted a spatial pattern of neural activity across all MEG sensors. We correlated this pattern across all possible pairs of *animate-predicting* and *inanimate-predicting* verbs, and then averaged the pairwise

correlation R-values to yield two time series of R values. Between 450-600ms after verb onset, the pattern of spatial activity was more similar to *animate-predicting* than *inanimate-predicting* verbs. A spatial RSA carried out across all EEG channels revealed a similar result: greater spatial similarity to *animate-predicting* than *inanimate-predicting* verbs between 550ms and 600ms after verb onset.

CONCLUSIONS: These findings suggest that animate-selecting verbs produce a pattern of neural activity that is more consistent than inanimate-selecting verbs. We suggest that this corresponds to the distinct pattern of neural activity previously associated with animate objects [2], which has been successfully decoded from the MEG signal [3]. If so, our findings provide evidence that comprehenders can use the animacy restrictions of verbs to pre-activate the animate features of nouns before their bottom-up input becomes available. Finally, the converging findings across MEG and EEG suggest that spatial RSA can be carried out using both techniques.

#### References

- [1] Kuperberg, G. R., & Jaeger, T. F. (2016). *Language, cognition and neuroscience*, 31(1), 32-59.
- [2] Grill-Spector, K., & Weiner, K. S. (2014). *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(8), 536.
- [3] Cichy, R. M., Pantazis, D., & Oliva, A. (2014). *Nature Neuroscience*, 17(3), 455.

Reviewer Comments:

Reviewer 1 Comments: Since animate objects are very specific to a small subset of verb classes, the default prediction would be that such verbs should show more similarity to each other than verbs selecting for inanimate objects, a much more varied bunch, unless the latter group is somehow matched in lexical semantic variability to the animate-selecting verbs (for literature see e.g., Beth Levin's "English Verb Classes and Alternations" book). This is the fundamental problem with this study, unless it in fact is it appropriately controlled, which would have been important to mention in the abstract. Thus the results are quite plausibility non-prediction related.

Reviewer 2 Comments: I found the study very interesting an inspiring. It shows an elegant way to tackle predictive processing in the language domain with a decoding approach. I have some minor questions that the authors can further address in their presentation. How many items were used for each condition? How many repetitions for each of it?

Reviewer 3 Comments: This sounds like an interesting piece of work with implications for understanding verb-noun interactions.