Pleistocene Chinese cave hyenas and the recent Eurasian history of the spotted hyena, *Crocuta crocuta*
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Abstract

The living hyena species (spotted, brown, striped and aardwolf) are remnants of a formerly diverse group of more than 80 fossil species, which peaked in diversity in the Late Miocene (about 7–8 Ma). The fossil history indicates an African origin, and morphological and ancient DNA data have confirmed that living spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) of Africa were closely related to extinct Late Pleistocene cave hyenas from Europe and Asia. The current model used to explain the origins of Eurasian cave hyena populations invokes multiple migrations out of Africa between 3.5–0.35 Ma. We used mitochondrial DNA sequences from radiocarbon-dated Chinese Pleistocene hyena specimens to examine the origin of Asian populations, and temporally calibrate the evolutionary history of spotted hyenas. Our results support a far more recent evolutionary timescale (430–163 kya) and suggest that extinct and living spotted hyena populations originated from a widespread Eurasian population in the Late Pleistocene, which was only subsequently restricted to Africa. We developed statistical tests of the contrasting population models and their fit to the fossil record. Coalescent simulations and Bayes Factor analysis support the new radiocarbon-calibrated timescale and Eurasian origins model. The new Eurasian biogeographic scenario proposed for the hyena emphasizes the role of the vast steppe grasslands of Eurasia in contrast to models only involving Africa. The new methodology for combining genetic and geological data to test contrasting models of population history will be useful for a wide range of taxa where ancient and historic genetic data are available.
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Introduction

The Hyaenidae family arose in Eurasia in the Late Oligocene, about 25 million years ago (Ma), and reached a peak of diversity during the Late Miocene, about 7–8 Ma, totalling more than 80 fossil species from Europe, Asia, Africa and North America (de Bonis 1973; Werdelin & Solounias 1991; Turner et al. 2008; Werdelin et al. 2010). The number of Hyaenidae species declined from the Late Miocene, eventually leaving only four extant species: spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*), brown hyena (*Hyaena brunnea*) and aardwolf (*Proteles cristata*) in Africa; and striped hyena (*Hyaena hyaena*) in the Middle East, parts of southwest Asia and the Indian subcontinent. Although it is one of the smallest families of the Carnivora, living hyenas occupy a variety of habitat
types and fill a surprisingly wide range of ecological niches, and their presence is a useful indicator of ecosystem health (Turner et al. 2008). Among the four extant species, the spotted hyena, which is the sole member of the genus Crocuta, has attracted considerable evolutionary and systematic interest due to having a social system similar to that of many primates, in contrast to other gregarious carnivores (Watts & Holekamp 2007; Diedrich 2008; Turner et al. 2008). Although currently restricted to sub-Saharan Africa, a variety of Late Pliocene and Pleistocene fossil spotted hyena species are recognized, including Crocuta dietrichi, C. eturonensis, C. honanensis, C. ultra and the so-called ‘cave hyenas’ in the Far East (C. crocuta ultima) and Europe (C. crocuta spelaea) (Kurtén 1956; Diedrich 2008; Werdelin & Lewis 2008). However, the exact origin and evolutionary history of living spotted hyenas remains unresolved (Kurtén 1956, 1968; Turner 1984; Huang 1989; Werdelin & Solounias 1991; Markova et al. 1995; Jenks & Werdelin 1998; Werdelin 1999; Rohland et al. 2005; Koepfli et al. 2006; Watts & Holekamp 2007; Werdelin & Lewis 2008), as does the question of whether Crocuta originated in Africa or Eurasia (Kurtén 1956; Turner 1990).

Ancient DNA provides a means to obtain direct evidence of the phylogenetic and population history of spotted hyenas, and has previously been used to show that the extinct Late Pleistocene cave hyenas in western Eurasia were nested within the diversity of living spotted hyenas (Rohland et al. 2005). The latter study, by Rohland et al., proposes that the three mitochondrial clades found in Late Pleistocene cave/spotted hyenas from Europe and the Far East represent three separate dispersal events from an ancestral African population to Eurasia taking place between 3.5 and 0.35 Ma. However, the ages of the inferred dispersals (3.5 Ma to the Far East and 0.35–1.5 Ma to western Eurasia) appear considerably older than fossil data, which suggest much younger colonization dates for C. crocuta morphs in these areas. In Asia, the earliest fossil site for C. crocuta ultima (Zhoukoudian Locality 1 in China) is dated at 400–230 thousand years ago (kya) (Hu 1985; Liu 1999; Zhou et al. 2000; Shen et al. 2001; Qiu et al. 2004), while in Europe C. crocuta spelaea is first seen in western Eurasia around 300 kya (Baryshnikov & Tsoukala 2010), although another form with less certain relationships, C. praespelaea, had appeared in Spain by at least 780 kya (García & Arsuaga 2001). The discrepancy between molecular and palaeontological timescales suggests that the evolutionary history of spotted hyenas is not fully resolved, and further analyses are needed to reconcile the molecular and morphological data.

In China, Crocuta-like hyena fossils have been found in more than 100 Pleistocene sites in 26 Chinese provinces (Zdansky 1924; Qiu 1987; Ma & Tang 1992; Liu 1999; Tong 2007; Tseng & Chang 2007) and two morphological forms can be distinguished, C. crocuta ultima and C. honanensis. The latter is an early Pleistocene form, first detected in Henan Province, and morphological studies suggest it is a different species from the living spotted hyena C. crocuta (Kurtén 1956; Qiu 1987; Huang 1989). In contrast, C. crocuta ultima, which is known exclusively from the late Pleistocene, is considered either a standard C. crocuta or potentially an Asian subspecies (Kurtén 1956; Liu 1999; Tseng & Chang 2007). C. crocuta ultima became extinct around the end of the Pleistocene, that is, ~11.5 kya (Kurtén 1968; Liu 1999; Tong 2007; Tseng & Chang 2007), or potentially even as recently as ~7.8 kya (Ma & Tang 1992). The relatively recent extinction of this form provides an opportunity to characterize the genetic diversity and evolutionary history of spotted hyenas in East Asia.

In this study, we used ancient DNA approaches to generate mitochondrial cytochrome b (cyt b) sequences from northern Chinese Late Pleistocene C. crocuta ultima specimens, and radiocarbon dates from Eurasian cave hyena bones to calibrate the origin of spotted hyenas in Asia. We applied Bayesian phylogenetic analysis and temporal reconstruction using internal tip calibrations, to provide further insights into the population history of spotted hyenas in both Europe and Africa. In addition, we used Bayes factors and traditional statistical tests to compare the fit of our temporal reconstruction and previous models of hyena evolution against independent palaeontological dates, and applied Bayesian coalescent simulations to test our reconstructed model of hyena population history.

**Materials and methods**

**Samples**

We collected samples of Late Pleistocene fossil hyenas from Lingxian Cave, Qinghangdao City in Hebei Province (n=6); Tonghe Bridge, Zhaodong County in Heilongjiang Province (n=1); and Da’an Cave, Tonghua County in Jilin Province (n=3), which are all in northern China (Fig. S1, Supporting information). Together with other faunal assemblages (see Supporting information), these records indicate that eastern Asian late Pleistocene populations of cave hyena were present across a wide variety of mammalian habitats. Samples in Lingxian Cave were estimated to be >50 kya in age through faunal comparison, via the presence of Coelodonta and other late Pleistocene taxa. At Tonghe Bridge, a horse bone (Equus sp) found in association with the hyena specimens has been AMS-dated to 34.9 kya (Weinstock et al. 2005). In Da’an cave, one of
the 3 hyena teeth was dated by the Quaternary Geology & Archaeological Chronology Laboratory at Peking University at 35.52 ± 0.23 kya, while a deer bone (Cervus sp.) in the same layer and 15 cm from the hyena specimens was AMS-radiocarbon-dated at 34.47 ± 0.37 kya (see Supporting information). Prior to DNA analyses, the samples had been stored in closed, dry containers at room temperature for several years without any chemical treatment (Fig. S6, Supporting information).

Ancient DNA extraction and amplification

Ancient DNA extractions and PCRs were performed at the China University of Geosciences, Wuhan. Extractions and PCR setups were performed in a laboratory dedicated to ancient DNA research situated on the eastern campus. All downstream steps were performed in a molecular biology laboratory located on the western campus. Ancient DNA was extracted from 270 to 345 mg of bone/teeth powder following the methods of Rohland & Hofreiter (2007). Extract and PCR blanks were performed throughout all experiments to monitor contamination. We attempted to sequence a 713-bp-long fragment of the mitochondrial cyt b gene, using four overlapping primer pairs from Rohland et al. (2005) and five overlapping primer pairs specifically designed for this study (Table S1 & Fig. S2, Supporting information). Amplifications were performed in 20-µL volumes using a two-step multiplex approach (Römler et al. 2006). Reagent concentrations and cycling conditions were the same as described in Römler et al. (2006). The nine primer pairs were separated into two nonoverlapping sets (indicated in Table S1, Supporting information) and amplified using multiplex PCR (first step), before each primer pair was used individually in singleplex PCRs with the corresponding multiplex PCR product as a template (second step). The annealing temperatures were set at 52 °C in both steps.

PCR products were purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and cloned into the pMD18-T vector (Takara, Japan) following the supplier’s instructions. The recombinant plasmids were transformed into competent E. coli DH5α. White transformants obtained from LB plates containing Amp (0.1 mg/mL), X-Gal (0.04 mg/mL) and IPTG (0.024 mg/mL) were screened by PCR with the M13 primer pair. For each fragment, a minimum of eight clones, four from each of two independent primary amplifications, were sequenced at Shanghai Sangon Ltd. Company using an ABI 3700 sequencer following manufacturers’ instructions. Consistent differences were found between the first and the second PCR products in 14 of the products, probably due to sequence errors resulting from template damage, so a third amplification was performed (shown in Table S1, Supporting information) to determine which sequence was reproducible (Hofreiter et al. 2001).

For two of the specimens from Da’an Cave in Jilin Province (DARD-1 and DARD-3), three fragments of cyt b (indicated in Table S1, Supporting information 279 bp in total) were independently replicated at the Australian Centre for Ancient DNA (ACAD) (see Supporting information).

Alignment and phylogenetic analyses

Sequence alignments were carried out using the software package GENEIOUS PRO. 5.3.4 (Drummond et al. 2011), and the assemblies were checked manually. The three newly determined DNA sequences were deposited in GenBank (Accession #KC117379-81). A total of 40 cyt b sequences were retrieved from GenBank: 14 fossil spotted hyena, 19 extant spotted hyena, two striped hyena (Hyaena hyaena), two brown hyena (Hyaena brunnea) and three aardwolf (Proteles cristatus) (Table S2, Supporting information). Three data sets were used to initiate different analyses:

- Data set 1 comprises 14 sequences: three Chinese Pleistocene cave hyenas, four extant spotted hyenas, two striped hyenas, two brown hyenas and three aardwolf for which 713 bp of cyt b were available. This data set was used to establish the phylogenetic position of the Chinese cave hyena in the Hyaenidae family.

- Data set 2 comprises 32 sequences (366 bp) for which specific sampling locations could be traced: three Chinese Pleistocene cave hyenas, 14 fossil spotted hyenas from Western Eurasia and the Pacific coast of Russia, and 15 modern spotted hyena samples. This data set was used to investigate the relationships among haplotypes of spotted hyenas through network analyses.

- Data set 3 comprises 32 sequences (366 bp) for which dates can be associated with the samples: three Chinese Pleistocene cave hyenas, 10 fossil spotted hyenas from Western Eurasia and the Pacific coast of Russia that have radiocarbon dates, and 19 modern spotted hyena samples. This data set was used for phylogenetic analyses and molecular dating between spotted hyena populations.

We first compared the three new Chinese C. crocuta ultima sequences (DARD-1, DARD-2 and DARD-3) to the four extant spotted hyena sequences in GenBank for the 713 bp of cyt b (Accessions: AY048786, AY1928676, AY170114, AF511064; see Table S3, Supporting information). To establish the position of the Chinese cave hyena in the Hyaenidae family, a phylogenetic analysis of Data set 1 was performed using the program RAxML v8.3 (Guindon et al. 2010). The best of NNI and SPR moves were used for the tree topology search, and the substitution model TN+I was selected through
comparison of Bayesian Information Criterion scores in MODELGENERATOR v0.85 (Keane et al. 2006). To investigate the relationships among haplotypes of spotted hyenas, we conducted a median joining network analysis from Data set 2 using the program NETWORK v4.6.0.0 (Bandelt et al. 1999).

We then performed Bayesian analyses on Data set 3 using BEAST v1.6.1 (Drummond & Rambaut 2007) to address the phylogenetic relationships and dates between the cave hyenas from China, C. crocuta spelaea specimens from other locations in Eurasia, and living spotted hyenas in Africa. The substitution model HKY+G was selected through comparison of Bayesian Information Criterion scores in MODELGENERATOR v0.85 (Keane et al. 2006). The MCMC analyses were run for 100 000 000 iterations, with posterior samples drawn every 10 000 steps. Results were checked in Tracer v1.5 after 10% burn-in, where all parameters showed sufficient sampling, indicated by effective sample sizes above 200 (Rambaut & Drummond 2007). A strict molecular clock was used, and a constant population size was set as tree prior (see Supporting information).

To deal with the issue of time dependence of molecular rates (Ho et al. 2008, 2011a), and the effect of deep calibrations on intraspecific dating (Ho et al. 2008), two sets of dates were used as calibration points to calculate the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of fossil and extant spotted hyenas: (i) the radiocarbon dates associated with the ancient sequences (‘internal calibration’); (ii) the radiocarbon dates, as per (i), plus the divergence between spotted hyenas and striped/brown hyenas (here represented by a striped hyena specimen) using a log normal distribution with a minimum bound of 9 Ma and 95% of the prior probabilities younger than 9.5 Ma (‘external calibration’).

To check if the signal from the radiocarbon dates associated with the ancient sequences was sufficient to calibrate the spotted hyena phylogeny, a ‘date randomization test’ was performed (Ho et al. 2011b), in addition to a replicated BEAST run with the ‘prior only’ option (see Supporting information).

Model comparison and hypothesis testing

A Bayes factor analysis of the two timescale models (internal and external calibrations) given additional independent fossil dates (earliest appearance of C. crocuta in China and the estimated time of arrival of cave hyena in western Eurasia) was calculated empirically from the BEAST estimates of the two basal nodes (see Supporting information). To statistically test the plausibility of the palaeontological fossil record against each timescale model separately, hypothesis testing was used to estimate the strength of evidence for each model via P-values (see Supporting information). In each case, the null hypothesis was that the palaeontological fossil dates stem from the same population history as the posterior distribution of dates for each node (calculated in BEAST using the appropriate calibration model). The alternate hypothesis is that the palaeontological fossil dates do not stem from the same population history as the posterior distribution of dates for each node.

Serial coalescent simulations

Bayesian Serial Simcoal (BAYESSC, Anderson et al. 2004) was used to simulate data sets under three demographic models: a null model of panmixia, an Out-of-Africa model proposed by Rohland et al. (2005) using fossil calibrations and a Eurasian origins model proposed in this study using tip dated ancient DNA samples. The models differed in terms of the prior distributions on divergence order/times between clades, with the null panmictic model having no divergence events. Four different mutation rate estimates were used in the simulations: two constant mutation rates calculated from BEAST analyses (external calibrations as per Rohland et al. (2005), and internal calibrations from this study), and two time-dependent mutation rates (using linear and exponential decay equations; see Supporting information). The summary statistics used to compare the simulations to the observed data sets (Data set 3) was F_{ST} and private alleles between each of the 5 clades (A1, A2, B, C and D). Bayesian Serial Simcoal was used to generate 10 million simulations under each of the eight divergence models, plus 500 000 simulations under null models of panmixia for each of the four mutation rates.

Results and discussion

Sequence variation in Pleistocene fossil and extant spotted hyenas

Ancient specimens contain only trace amounts of highly fragmented DNA molecules, and it is important to demonstrate that the ancient DNA sequences have not been affected by contamination or DNA damage (Poinar 2003; Knapp et al. 2011). Therefore, the ten Late Pleistocene hyena specimens from northern China were analysed using appropriate ancient DNA techniques (Cooper & Poinar 2001) including independent extraction and replication, and multiple sequencing reactions (Table S1, Supporting information). Nine overlapping fragments (size range is 127–171 bp including primers) of a 713-bp-long region of the mitochondrial cyt b gene were amplified for the three specimens from
Da’an Cave at Tonghua County in Jilin Province using multiplexed PCR (Table S1 and Fig. S2, Supporting information). These amplified fragments were used to build the 713-bp contigs for each specimen with the sequences obtained from multiple extractions, amplifications and cloning with clean control reactions. Most importantly, identical sequences were obtained when experiments were independently replicated at the State Key Laboratory for Biogeology and Environmental Geology at China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) and the Australian Centre for Ancient DNA (ACAD) at the University of Adelaide, Australia (Table S1, Supporting information). Only one 100-bp-long fragment could be amplified for a sample collected at Tonghe Bridge in Zhaodong County, Heilongjiang Province, while no fragments could be recovered for six Lingxian Cave samples collected from Qinhuangdao City, Hebei Province, despite multiple attempts. As a result, we considered only the Da’an Cave samples for further phylogenetic analyses.

The three new Chinese C. crocuta ultima sequences (DARD-1, DARD-2 and DARD-3) differed from each other at 1–2 positions across the full 713-bp sequence, and from a reference modern spotted hyena sequence (GenBank #AY048786) at 36, 37 and 35 polymorphic sites (~5%), respectively. In contrast, the other three homologous sequences for living spotted hyena available from GenBank have only 18, 18 and 24 polymorphic sites (~3%) across the same fragment (Table S3, Supporting information). The Da’an Cave sequences are identical to the only Far East Asian Late Pleistocene cave hyena sequenced to date (#DQ157555) for the 366 bp where they overlap. DQ157555 is a 366-bp fragment of cyt b generated from a specimen from the Pacific coast of Russia in a previous study (Rohland et al. 2005). The short 100-bp sequence obtained from the Tonghe Bridge specimen was also identical. The lack of genetic diversity in such widely geographically distributed samples suggests a marked lack of diversity in the far eastern population of Late Pleistocene C. crocuta.

Phylogenetic tree and network

The phylogenetic position of the three new Chinese cave hyenas (C. crocuta ultima) in the Hyaenidae was inferred using Data set 1 (713 bp) in a maximum-likelihood analysis, with homologous sequences of the four extant species in Hyaenidae. The Chinese specimens form a monophyletic clade, sister to the living spotted hyenas (Fig. 1). The rest of the phylogeny is consistent with previous morphological (Kurtén 1956) and molecular studies based on nuclear genes (Koepfli et al. 2006).

A network analysis of the three new Chinese ancient DNA sequences with 29 published sequences (Data set 2; 366 bp) representing both extinct cave hyenas and living spotted hyenas retrieved a similar overall network profile as in Rohland et al. (2005), distributed into four haplogroups (Fig. 2a): an extinct western Eurasian and extant northern African lineage A; an extinct western European lineage B; an extant southern African lineage C; and an extinct Far East Asian lineage D. Lineage D includes the three new Chinese sequences from this study, plus the previously sequenced specimen from the Russian Pacific Coast.

Previous molecular studies (Rohland et al. 2005) have suggested that the Late Pleistocene Eurasian cave hyenas (C. crocuta spelaea), lineages A and B in Fig. 3, were unlikely to represent either distinct species or subspecies as these lineages are nested within the genetic variation of living spotted hyena populations. In contrast, the Far East Asian cave hyena specimens form the basal lineage (D), with a notably long branch. While such mtDNA patterns are insufficient to draw taxonomic conclusions, it is notable that the Far East Asian C. crocuta ultima can be distinguished from Late Pleistocene cave hyenas through a much more robust and shorter-limbed skeleton. It also differs from extant C. crocuta in having a hypertrophied dentition with extreme hypsodonty of the bone-cracking premolars (Kurtén 1956).
Bayesian analyses were used to investigate the phylogenetic relationships and divergence dates of the various cave and spotted hyena populations. The alignment was limited to the 366 bp region to facilitate comparison with previously published sequences from radiocarbon-dated ancient cave hyena bones, and aligned with modern spotted hyena sequences (Data set 3). To contrast the molecular timescales obtained from different calibration sources, two analyses were conducted: (i) using a striped hyena sequence as an outgroup and the palaeontological record to calibrate the root of the tree (external calibration), as per Rohland et al. (2005); and (ii) using radiocarbon dates of the ancient samples (AMS) as calibration points for the tips of the tree (internal calibration). To investigate whether the structure and distribution of the AMS-dated Late Pleistocene specimens contained sufficient evolutionary information to generate a meaningful internally calibrated rate estimate, the dates were randomized with respect to the sequences and re-analysed. The 95% High Posterior Density (HPD) of the rate calculated from the randomized analyses showed no overlap with the mean rate estimated without randomization, indicating that the radiocarbon dates associated with the ancient samples contain sufficient temporal information to calibrate the phylogeny (Ho et al. 2011b, Fig. S4a, Supporting information). Replication of the analysis with no data (prior only) also shows that the temporal inferences are not only driven by the priors, but are a result of the phylogenetic signal from the genetic data combined with the calibration dates (Fig. S4b, Supporting information). In addition, node ages and molecular rates are consistent when using either the 35.52 kya direct AMS dating of DARD-3 or the 34.47 kya (deer bone AMS dating proxy) calibration dates for the three Chinese specimens (See Fig. S5, Supporting information).

Both Bayesian analyses (using internal and external calibrations) yielded the same tree topology. Lineage D sequences from Far East Asia (China and Russia) formed a long basal branch within C. crocuta supported
by a bootstrap value of 100%, followed by the divergence of lineage A (Europe and northern Africa), which separated from the ancestor of lineages B and C (Figs 3 & S3, Supporting information).

When Miocene (9–9.5 Ma) fossils marking the divergence of Crocuta and Hyaena/Parahyaena (Turner et al. 2008) were used as calibration points (external calibration), the divergence events within the spotted hyena phylogeny were estimated to be quite ancient (1.15–3.22 Ma), similar to those obtained in Rohland et al. (2005) which used a 10 Ma point calibration (Fig. S3, Supporting information). The Rohland et al. study estimated divergence dates for lineage D at 3.48 Ma (2.25–5.09 Ma), and lineages A/B/C between 1.46–1.26 Ma (0.83–2.4 Ma), and used these dates to explain the Pliocene phylogeography of spotted hyena by suggesting that they represented three migrations out of Africa to Eurasia (see Fig. 4). However, there are several reasons to question a multiple migration scenario out of Africa. First, the estimated dates are inconsistent with the palaeontological data since Crocuta fossils from the Late Pliocene or early Pleistocene do not appear to be C. crocuta (Qiu et al. 2004; Weideln & Lewis 2008). For example, the 3.4-Ma-old C. eturolo in west Turkana, 2.3-Ma-old C. honanensis in China and 1.6- to 1.2-Ma-old C. ultras in Israel and the Levant are all morphologically distinct from modern C. crocuta (Kurtén 1968; Weideln & Lewis 2008; Martinez-Navarro et al. 2009). Instead these fossils are more likely to record the geographical appearance of the genus Crocuta in these areas, rather than the species C. crocuta. Second, the phylogeographic distribution does not easily fit an Out-of-Africa dispersal model. For example, the close genetic connection between the extinct western European lineage B and the extant southern African lineage C is difficult to explain without a contribution from the geographically intermediate northern African extant lineage A. Similarly, the absence of lineages B and D in Africa would require either in situ evolution of each lineage in different geographic areas, or multiple lineage extinction events to have occurred within the source population.

When the phylogenetic analyses were repeated using only the radiocarbon dates associated with the ancient sequences as tip calibration points (internal calibration), the topology remained the same, but the estimated divergence dates were almost an order of magnitude younger. Lineages D, A and B/C were estimated to diverge around 430 (134–860), 224 (84–435) and 163 (65–315) kya (Fig. 3). The difference in timescales inferred from calibrations using either Miocene fossils or AMS-radiocarbon dates has been previously observed in other groups, including carnivores (Austin et al. 2013). In general, radiocarbon dates at the tips of the tree will provide better calibration points (i.e. less biased and more accurate) when inferring the timescale of intrapopulation phylogenies, such as the spotted hyena, than fossil dates deeper in time which are considerably further away from the events of interest (Ho et al. 2008). Furthermore, analyses of recent events are known to be inaccurate when older fossil calibration points are used, due to the temporal dependence of molecular rates (i.e. termed the ‘rates curve’; Ho et al. 2005, 2011a), where evolutionary rates calculated over short timescales (e.g. at intraspecific level) appear faster than those calculated for deep periods of time (e.g. at interspecific levels).

Importantly, the younger dates obtained with internal calibrations appear to be a far better fit for the palaeontological record of C. crocuta in both Asia and Europe,
with the earliest *C. crocuta ultima* in China detected around 400–230 kya (Turner 1990; Zhou et al. 2000; Qiu et al. 2004), while the cave hyena appeared in western Eurasia sometime after 300 kya (García & Arsuaga 2001; Baryshnikov & Tsoukala 2010). To examine the discrepancy between date estimates obtained using either internal (radiocarbon dates) or external (root) calibrations, the posterior distribution of estimated dates for the two basal nodes of the spotted hyena phylogeny was plotted against the first appearance dates in China and western Eurasia. As the latter two dates were not used in the phylogenetic analyses, they provide an independent validation test for the two timescales (Fig. 5). Hypothesis testing of the mismatch between the externally calibrated timescale and the palaeontological record found significant differences at the 5% level (node representing the earliest *C. crocuta ultima* in China, \( P\)-value = 0.003; node representing appearance of cave hyena in western Eurasia, \( P\)-value = 0.021). In contrast, the internally calibrated timescale was congruent with the palaeontological record (earliest *C. crocuta ultima* in China, \( P\)-value = 0.653; first appearance of cave hyena in western Eurasia, \( P\)-value = 0.337). A Bayes Factor analysis of the fit of both timescales against the first appearance dates produced decisive support for the internally calibrated model (BF = 872, see Supporting information). We therefore suggest that a single dispersal event introducing lineages A and C into Africa from an ancestral population in Eurasia is a more parsimonious explanation of the observed phylogeographic pattern (Fig. 4).

To evaluate the support for the two evolutionary models (i.e. the externally calibrated Out-of-Africa model of Rohland et al. (2005), and the internally calibrated Eurasian model proposed here) given the genetic data and fossil dates available, Bayesian coalescent simulations were performed using two constant and two time-dependent molecular evolutionary rates (see Supporting information). The support for a null hypothesis of total panmixia was also evaluated. The internally calibrated model proposed here had the lowest Akaike Information Criterion value of the models considered (Model H in Fig. S7 Supporting information, with an AIC = 258.18). The preferred model proposes that clade D diverged from clades A/B/C 225 kya, which fits relatively well with the fossil record in China where the earliest *C. crocuta ultima* specimen dates to 400–230 kya (Markova et al. 1995; Jenks & Werdelin 1998; Werdelin

---

**Fig. 5** Comparison of date estimates from both calibration methods against the palaeontological record. Marginal posterior densities of the two basal nodes of the spotted hyena phylogeny, reported from the BEAST analysis using radiocarbon dates (upper section of the figure) or external fossil dates (lower section) as calibrations. The earliest appearance of *C. crocuta* in China and the estimated time of arrival of cave hyena in western Eurasia from the palaeontological record are reported as red and blue bars, respectively, on the chronology (central section of the figure). There is a clear fit between the estimated dates for the two basal nodes of the spotted hyena phylogeny and the palaeontological chronology when tip calibrations are used. However in contrast, the 95% high posterior density of estimated dates for the same nodes using external fossil calibration do not overlap with the palaeontological chronology.
Clades B/C diverged from clade A 186 kya, clade B diverged from clade C 128 kya and clade A1 and A2 diverged from each other only 64 kya (see Table S6, Supporting information for 95% CIs of nodes for all models). The combination of this model and the time-dependent linear decay mutation rate has a 99.93% chance of being the best model among the set of twelve candidate models considered (Table S5, Supporting information).

Recent central Eurasian evolutionary history of spotted hyenas

The combined picture from the phylogenetic trees, the fossil and molecular dates, and the coalescent simulations suggest an alternative scenario for the recent evolutionary history of spotted hyena, based around the fragmentation of an ancestral population located across the large Eurasian steppe ecosystem. The steppe ecosystem stretched from central to western Eurasia in the mid-Early Pleistocene and provided a habitat for both ungulates and other carnivores, such as cave lions (Yamaguchi et al. 2004; Barnett et al. 2006, 2009). We propose that an ancestral Eurasian hyena population across this area contained ancestral A*, B*, C* and D* lineages, among others, before being fragmented by changing environmental conditions in the middle Pleistocene (Figs 4 and 6). The far eastern portion of the population is suggested to have become isolated sometime after 430 kya, separating the ancestor of lineage D.

Fig. 6 Revised model of the recent evolutionary history of spotted hyenas synthesizing fossil and molecular data. (a) A large ancestral population is proposed to have existed across the steppe habitat of central Eurasia in the mid-Early Pleistocene, containing ancestral lineages of A, B, C and D (indicated with italics and asterisks); (b) The ancestral population began to fragment in the late middle Pleistocene, potentially in response to environmental changes. At some point after 430 kya, the ancestral lineage D* was isolated in Far East Asia; (c) the A1 (Eurasian) and A2 (northern African) lineages are estimated to have separated around 90 kya (95% CI 145–53 kya), implying Lineages A1 and B were isolated in western Eurasia at some point after this, as the remnant Eurasian population retreated into the middle East/Africa; (d) The African population was subsequently sorted into northern (A2) and southern (C) lineages while lineages A, B and D became extinct in Eurasia during the Late Pleistocene. Grey areas in (a) and (b) show the inferred distribution of the ancestral populations for different lineages. Coloured areas in (c) and (d) show the known distribution of spotted hyenas, identified by either fossil records or extant populations. The time frame in (b) and (d) is based on the *C. crocuta ultima* fossil record and in (c) on molecular dating (the estimated 145–53 kya separation of A1 and A2), constrained by the 50 kya radiocarbon date of the oldest ancient sample. The # sign represents the geographical location of the oldest *C. crocuta* remains with modern morphology (Martinez-Navarro et al. 2009).
The western Eurasian population (containing lineages A1 and B) must have become isolated after lineages A1 and A2 are estimated to have diverged, around 90 kya. The remnant ancestral Eurasian population subsequently re-treated into Africa where lineage A2 and C eventually became sorted into northern and southern populations (Fig. 6). The low diversity within each haplogroup in C. crocuta indicates that the spotted hyena populations have been subjected to population bottlenecks in the past, which is compatible with the loss of lineages from different areas. This could explain the phylogeographic structure, such as the fixation of lineage D in far eastern populations and its absence elsewhere, or the lack of lineage C in Europe.

Furthermore, modern African hyena populations (both lineages A2 and C) are morphologically distinct from fossil populations (Kurtén 1956). This distinctiveness appears very late in the fossil record and is observed first in the Middle East in Israel (Martinez-Navarro et al. 2009; marked with # on Fig. 6d). The exact timing of the appearance of the modern morphology is, however, unclear, because the record of Late Pleistocene C. crocuta is poor outside Europe and China, but must have occurred after 1 Ma, when an archaic morph of C. crocuta is present at Olorgesailie, Kenya, in sediments dated 0.99 Ma (Werdelin & Lewis 2005). Such a late morphological shift would be compatible with the remnant Eurasian population entering, or being confined to, Africa as described in the Eurasian origins model. As a consequence, this model provides a good fit for both the timing and morphological shifts observed within the palaeontological record, and complements the initial view of C. crocuta evolution proposed by Kurtén (1956).

This work establishes the importance of the Chinese Pleistocene fossil record as a valuable source of DNA for the analysis of mammalian evolution across Eurasia, and the former distribution of species across the Old World. As such it complements previous studies, which have been mostly restricted to either caves in Europe or the permafrost areas of northern Siberia. The use of direct tip calibrations provides a powerful means to estimate timescales of evolution that are not dependent on deeper palaeontological records, which are necessarily less complete and accurate, and more subject to biases. Together with the statistical testing and coalescent simulations of contrasting models of population history using first appearance data and other independent palaeontological dates, this provides a new approach to the study of other Late Pleistocene mammal populations. In the case of the C. crocuta, it challenges the interpretation of divergent mtDNA lineages as representing population dispersal events rather than signatures of long-term population processes, including the random loss of lineages through genetic drift and population bottlenecks.

Importantly, the new Eurasian origins model for C. crocuta repositions Africa as a potential refugium for species from the steppe grasslands of Eurasia as this habitat re-treated in the Pleistocene. Further investigation will be required to obtain a definitive timescale and phylogeographic description of the evolution of spotted hyenas (e.g. additional nuclear loci, samples and dates), but the approach here (direct tip calibrations and validation against the palaeontological record) provides an important new model that will be applicable to a number of other Late Pleistocene Eurasian and African mammal populations. It appears possible that other steppe grassland adapted species that are currently restricted to Africa may also have Eurasian origins and that the role of central Eurasia in recent mammal evolution needs re-evaluation.
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